

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Dieudonné P-E, Tortosa T, Torcida Fernández-Baldor F, Canudo JI, Díaz-Martínez I (2016) An Unexpected Early Rhabdodontid from Europe (Lower Cretaceous of Salas de los Infantes, Burgos Province, Spain) and a Re-Examination of Basal Iguanodontian Relationships. PLoS ONE 11(6): e0156251. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156251

Editor: Andrew A. Farke, Raymond M. Alf Museum of Paleontology, UNITED STATES

Received: December 27, 2015

Accepted: May 11, 2016

Published: June 22, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Dieudonné et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: The work is partially subsidized by the project CGL2014-53548-P of the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, the European Regional Development Fund, the European Social Fund, and the Government of Aragón ("Grupos Consolidados"). The fieldwork was financed by the "Dirección General de Patrimonio de la Junta de Castilla y León" and the "Fundación para el estudio de los dinosaurios de Castilla y León."

RESEARCH ARTICLE

An Unexpected Early Rhabdodontid from Europe (Lower Cretaceous of Salas de los Infantes, Burgos Province, Spain) and a Re-Examination of Basal Iguanodontian Relationships

Paul-Emile Dieudonné¹*, Thierry Tortosa², Fidel Torcida Fernández-Baldor³, José Ignacio Canudo¹, Ignacio Díaz-Martínez⁴

1 Grupo Aragosaurus–IUCA, Área de Paleontología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Zaragoza, Pedro Cerbuna 12, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain, 2 Réserve Naturelle Nationale Sainte-Victoire, Conseil Départemental des Bouches-du-Rhône, 52 avenue de Saint-Just, 13256 Marseille Cedex 20, France, 3 Museo de Dinosaurios de Salas de los Infantes and Colectivo Arqueológico–Paleontológico Salense (CAS), Plaza Jesús Aparicio 9, 09600 Salas de los Infantes, Burgos, Spain, 4 CONICET—Instituto de Investigación en Paleobiología y Geología, Universidad Nacional de Río Negro, General Roca 1242, 8332 Fisque Menuco (General Roca), Río Negro, Argentina

* dpolmil@gmail.com

Abstract

Disarticulated and incomplete remains from a new diminutive ornithopod are described. They come from the Cameros Basin in the north of Spain and were collected from the red clays of the Castrillo de la Reina Formation, ranging from Upper Barremian to Lower Aptian. The new omithopod described here is slender and one of the smallest ever reported. An up-to-date phylogenetic analysis recovers this taxon as a basal iguanodontian. Its unique combination of characters makes it more derived than slender omithopods like *Hyphilophodon* and *Gasparinisaura*, and bring very interesting insights into the basal iguanodontian phylogeny. Though possessing a minimum of three premaxillary teeth, this taxon also bears an extensor *ilio-tibialis* groove on the distal part of its femur. Moreover, its dentary and maxillary teeth are unique, remarkably similar to those regarded as having a "rhabdomorphan" affinity. This unknown taxon is suggested to be a stem taxon within Rhabdodontidae, a successful clade of basal iguanodonts from the Late Cretaceous of Europe. The Gondwanan ornithopods share the strongest affinities with this family, and we confirm *Muttaburrasaurus* as a sister taxon of the Rhabdodontidae within a newly defined clade, the Rhabdodontomorpha.

Introduction

Seeley [1] was the first to recognize two orders within Dinosauria: Saurischia and Ornithischia. Ornithischia is recognized by a typically posteriorly oriented publis. Within Ornithischia, Romer [2] considered Ornithopoda as a suborder that includes all the relatively unspecialized,

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

PLOS ONE

bipedal ornithischians. Since then, there has been an increasing awareness that all the main suborders of ornithischians in fact arose from a "paraphyletic plexus" of small, unarmored and bipedal forms, commonly called the "hypsilophodontids" [3]. Nonetheless, concepts of "hypsilophodontid" have changed over time. At one time Sereno [4] considered Hypsilophodontidae to be monophyletic within Ornithopoda, though later it was again considered paraphyletic [5-[]. In its most recent conception, Hypsilophodontidae contains only a single taxon, Hypsilophodon foxii [8]. The original sense of the suborder Ornithopoda sensu Galton [5] also changed to be more restrictive, notably by eliminating the fabrosaurids and heterodontosaurids [7] until the point that, in the most recent work of Boyd [8], most of the previously thought basal ornithopods were placed outside of Ornithopoda into the new clade Parksosauridae and as sister taxa to Cerapoda. This considerable change results in the only non-iguanodont basal ornithopod being Hypsilophodon foxii. Basal iguanodontian are numerous and very diverse, and their origins could date to as early as in the Middle Jurassic [9]. The monophyly of Iguanodontia has also been questioned many times, because some basal iguanodonts share numerous plesiomorphic characters with those animals previously called "hypsilophodontids" [10-13]. Recent discoveries found many "basal iguanodonts" that were not graviportal, as occurs for *Gasparinisaura* [14], *Anabisetia* [15], *Talenkauen* [16] and *Macrogryphosaurus* [17]. These taxa often raised questions about their real systematic position and suggested a deeply nested origin of Iguanodontia within Ornithopoda [7, 15–17]. The most recent phylogeny of Boyd [8] discards the iguanodontian affinities of Talenkauen and Macrogryphosaurus and set them within the new family Parksosauridae.

Among basal iguanodontians, Rhabdodontidae constitutes a peculiar family endemic to the Late Cretaceous of Europe [18]. This clade is composed of three genera with six species: *Mochlodon (M. suessi, M. vorosi)* from Austria and Hungary [18], *Rhabdodon (R. priscus, R. septimanicus)* from France and Spain [19–21] and *Zalmoxes (Z. robustus* and *Z. shqiperorum)* from Romania [22, 23]. Historically, the first attempt to place the rhabdodontids into an ornithopod phylogeny was achieved by Pincemaille [24], who created the informal group of 'rhabdomorpha". Ruiz-Omeñaca [25] proposed an initial definition of this clade. The family Rhabdodontidae was then created by Weishampel *et al.* [23] and represented a node-based taxon defined as "The most recent common ancestor of *Zalmoxes robustus* and *Rhabdodon priscus* and all the descendants of this common ancestor". Unfortunately, the family definition suffered from the fragmentary nature and unknown relationships of many of the species associated with the group and the risk of the specifiers falling outside of the traditional clade [26]. A new definition of Rhabdodontidae *sensu* Sereno 2005 [26] corresponds to a stem-based family, defined as the most inclusive clade containing *Rhabdodon priscus* Matheron 1869 [20] but not *Parasaurolophus walkeri* Parks 1922 [27].

Ornithopods from the lowermost Cretaceous (Berriasian–Hauterivian) are poorly known in Europe. During the Barremian, they suddenly appear in greater numbers, extending from England to the Iberian Peninsula. In England, *Hypsilophodon foxii* is one of the best-known and best-documented species among dinosaurs [28]. In Spain, postcranial remains from a new "hypsilophodontid" species have been reported near Igea [29]. A new ornithopod genus and species, *Gideonmantellia amosanjuanae*, has recently been described in the Barremian of Galve [30]. In Spain (e.g. Vallipón [31] and La Solana [25]) and in France (Angeac-Charente [32]), many isolated teeth found from these ages present a morphotype close to that of *Hypsilopho-don foxii* [33, 34]. However, some of these isolated teeth clearly stand out as distinct morphotypes, resembling those borne by the so-called "rhabdomorpha" [25]. Upper and lower teeth are spade-like, maxillary teeth have many sub-equal labial ridges and no prominent primary ridge, and dentary teeth bear one very prominent lingual ridge. The Peñascal teeth (Barremian-Aptian of Salas de los Infantes) were classified as "Ornithopoda nov. gen. et sp.", with a

noticeable "rhabdomorphan" affinity [35]. The teeth belonging to the material we describe herein are very similar to the former, and come from a locality named Vegagete, in very close proximity to the Peñascal site. Another interesting Early Cretaceous maxillary crown from the deposits of La Cantalera has been mentioned; it was assigned to Rhabdodontidae? indet. [36]. However, given the current state of knowledge for the "rhabdomorphans" in the Early Cretaceous of Europe, any assignment to this group should be taken very cautiously.

The use of "Rhabdomorpha" as a clade name is problematic because 1) it was never clearly defined; and 2) its name conflicts as a junior synonym with the crustacean *Rhabdomorpha* Fukui, 1965 [<u>37</u>]. Even though this genus is a junior synonym, and now rejected, we have to follow the article 23.3.6 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. It stipulates that the principle of priority continues to be applied to an available name when treated as a junior synonym because it may be available for the case an author considers the synonymy to be erroneous. So, the informal clade name "Rhabdomorpha" must be abandoned.

The Vegagete ornithopod was initially interpreted to belong to "*Hypsilophodon* cf. *foxii*" in a very brief description given by Fuentes Vidarte and Meijide Calvo [<u>38</u>]. Later, these remains were classified as "Ornithopoda indet." [<u>39</u>]. However, no detailed study has yet been made of this material. The first aim of this paper is to provide a complete anatomical description of all the Vegagete ornithopod remains. We follow with a phylogenetic analysis that includes this taxon. This recovers some surprisingly primitive characters, with others usually found in more derived ornithopods. Some important ontogenetic issues are addressed, based on the different individual sizes found.

Geographical and Geological Context

The Vegagete dig-site is located in the province of Burgos, between the municipalities of Salas de los Infantes and Villanueva de Carazo (Fig 1). Its geographic coordinates are 4649825N / 30T474828E [38]. The deposit was excavated in 1998, from the surface of a red clay lens extending over an area of roughly three square meters. Vegagete lies in the north-western sector of the Cameros Basin. This basin was infilled above an extensive fault several kilometers deep, between the Tithonian and the Albian, during the avulsion of the rift that opened along the Iberian Range axis [40]. The Cameros sedimentary megasequence is subdivided into five stratigraphic units [41]. The geological formation containing the Vegagete dig site is Castrillo de la Reina, belonging to the Urbión lithostratigraphic group [42]. Despite the lack of any clear magnetostratigraphic discontinuity, the biozonation of this group, based on charophytes and ostracods, gives a late Barremian to early Aptian age [42, 43]. It is characterized by alternating banks of white fluviatile sandstones with floodplain red clay deposits. The red clay yielded the ornithopod remains under study in this paper. This formation has already produced a number of terrestrial vertebrate fossil remains, such as the varanoid *Arcanosaurus ibericus* [44] and the rebbachisaurid sauropod *Demandasaurus darwini* [45].

Material and Methods

All of the material described is curated at the Dinosaur Museum of Salas de los Infantes—MDS (Salas de los Infantes, Burgos, Spain). This material was obtained from two distinct sources. Part of it was given by the cultural association "Colectivo Arqueológico y Paleontológico Salense" to the town hall of Salas de los Infantes for curation at the Dinosaur Museum. Note that the MDS is legally integrated into the Museum system of the Castilla y León Autonomous Community (Order CYT/1210/2007, from June 15, 2007). The second part of the material was retrieved during a prospecting campaign that aimed to inventory the different paleontological deposits from the Salas de los Infantes region. This latter campaign was promoted by the



Fig 1. Geographic and geological context surrounding the Salas de los Infantes municipality. The stratigraphic position of the Vegagete deposit is indicated with the dot. It consists principally of floodplain red clays deposits. The geological map has been taken from [44].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156251.g001

PLOS ONE

Heritage General direction of the Castilla y León Autnomous Community (number of document 05/020-BU, Section: Research and development JDVR/MCP). Authorization to access the material was given by Fidel Torcida, the Museum conservator.

The material under study is composed of numerous fragments, numbered from MDS-VG,1 to MDS-VG,280, and many of the fragments used in the description do not have an inventory number. The collection represents at least five fragmentary individuals from a single ornithopod taxon. This estimation was achieved by counting left and right distal fragments of metatarsal II. Three ontogenetic stages stand out, based on size differences for similar bones. These are distributed as follows: one very small (ontogenetic stage 1), three medium sized (ontogenetic stage 2), and one bigger individual (ontogenetic stage 3). The latter individual was estimated at 65 to 70 cm in body length [38]. No detailed taphonomical study could be made efficiently at Vegagete, because of the very small proportions of the dig site, a sedimentary lens no deeper than 5 cm, and no larger than 3 m², as well as the very small size of the material and its apparent disarticulation at the surface. Despite this, we can make the following statements. The assemblage is monospecific. Most of the material is fractured and preserves only the extremities

of the long bones, except for some pedal phalanges. We note that the material is in a few cases embedded in a dolomitic matrix. In many cases, even the tiniest bones preserve a smooth and intact bony surface. We attribute the fragmentary nature of the material both to post-mortem diagenetic breakage under short distance transport as well as to the original lack of bone ossification. The death of the five individuals simultaneously is likely to have been due to a sudden inundation, which carried and buried the cadavers a relatively short distance away. The finding of these different-sized individuals altogether suggests they were living in a herd.

The following bones are described here: three fragments of dentaries (MDS-VG,7, 8, 16/17/ 152), one fragment of a premaxilla (MDS.VG, unlabelled) and another of a maxilla (MDS-VG,9), one premaxillary tooth (MDS-VG,3), three maxillary teeth (MDS-VG,9, 35, 37), four dentary teeth (MDS-VG,33, 34, 42, 16/17/152), a laterosphenoid (MDS.VG, unlabelled), three cervical vertebrae (MDS-VG,50, 53, 56), seven dorsal vertebrae (MDS-VG,57, 59, 64, 66, 67, 69, 75), three sacral vertebrae (MDS-VG,77, 79, 81), eight caudal vertebrae (MDS-VG,55, 72, 86, 87, 95, 100, 101, 102), one proximal fragment of a scapula (MDS.VG, unlabelled), one coracoid (MDS.VG, unlabelled), one proximal fragment of humerus (MDS-VG,113), one distal fragment of humerus (MDS-VG,168), one proximal fragment of ulna (MDS-VG,202), one distal fragment of ulna (MDS.VG, unlabelled), one partial ilium (MDS.VG, unlabelled), three proximal femora fragments (MDS-VG,108, 109, 159), one femoral diaphysis (MDS-VG,122), three distal femora fragments (MDS-VG,132, 134, 135), three proximal tibia fragments (MDS-VG,136, 137, and unlabelled), one distal tibia fragment (MDS-VG,140), one proximal fibula fragment (MDS-VG,107), one distal fibula fragment (MDS-VG,199), and one foot recomposed with the help of the distal first, proximal and distal second, third and fourth metatarsals (MDS-VG,171, 177, 160, 174, 163, 178, 169 respectively), the phalanges of digit I (MDS-VG,239), digit II (MDS-VG,232, 210, 233), digit III (MDS-VG,209, 237, 254, 245), digit IV (MDS-VG,211, 215, 243, 240, 247), and the claws from digit I, II, III and IV (MDS-VG,266, 262, 272, 258 respectively). All of these bones are listed in Table 1, with their given size-related ontogenetic category and their respective measurements.

Systematic Paleontology

DINOSAURIA Owen, 1842 [46] ORNITHISCHIA Seeley, 1887 [1] NEORNITHISCHIA Cooper, 1985 [47] CERAPODA Sereno, 1986 [4] ORNITHOPODA Marsh, 1881[48] *sensu* Butler *et al.* 2008 [7] IGUANODONTIA Dollo, 1888 [49] RHABDODONTOMORPHA nov.

Etymology: From the genus of the first representative of this clade *Rhabdodon priscus* [20] and "-morpha" the suffix indicating an ancient variant or morph for this clade.

Phylogenetic definition: Rhabdodontomorpha is phylogenetically defined as a node-based taxon consisting of the most inclusive clade containing *Rhabdodon priscus* Matheron, 1869 [22] and *Muttaburrasaurus langdoni* Bartholomai and Molnar, 1981 [50]. Rhabdodontomorpha currently includes *Mochlodon suessi* [51], *M. vorosi* [18], *Muttaburrasaurus langdoni* [50], *Rhabdodon priscus* [20], *R. septimanicus* [52], *Zalmoxes robustus* [22] and *Z. shqiperorum* [22].

Diagnosis: Rhabdodontomorpha is defined by the combination of the following synapomorphies (see <u>phylogenetic analysis</u>): 1) the maxillary process of the jugal is subrectangular and overlaps the maxilla with parallel dorsal and ventral margins, 2) the humerus shaft is strongly bowed from an anteroposterior view, 3) the ilium has a lateral deflection of the preacetabular process equaling or exceeding 30°, 4) the ilium has a dorsal margin of the preacetabular Table 1. List of the bones referred in the text, followed with their respective measurements and detailed information. Abbreviations: APPEND., appendicular skeleton; ONTO., ontogenetical stage; j., juvenile; subad., subadult; ad., adult; F., fused centra; nF., non-fused centra; MDS.VG, inventory number for the Vegagete specimen; N.I., non-inventoried; Fr. details, if fragmentary: fragment location onto the bone; L, length; W, width; H, height; (ant.), anterior; (post.), posterior; (prox.), proximal; (dist.), distal; NA, non-applicable. Measures are in millimeters. N.B.1: Teeth measurements are exclusively done on their crowns. N.B.2: vertebrae measurements are exclusively done on their centra.

CRANIUM	ONTO.	MDS. VG	Fr. details	L	W	н		
Premaxillary	?	N.I.	?	NA	?	NA		
Maxillary	?	9	Posterior	NA	?	NA		
Dentary	?	7	Anterior	NA	?	NA		
Dentary	?	8	-	NA	?	NA		
Dentary	?	16/17/152	Posterior	NA	?	NA		
Pmx. tooth	?	3	Broken	NA	NA	NA		
Maxillary tooth	?	9	-	3.2	1.5	2.9		
Maxillary tooth	?	35	-	3.3	1.9	3.6		
Maxillary tooth	?	37	-	2.8	1.6	3.2		
Dentary tooth	?	16	-	2.7	1.4	2.2		
Dentary tooth	?	33	-	4.5	2.2	3.9		
Dentary tooth	?	34	-	3.1	1.9	3.9		
Dentary tooth	?	42	-	2.7	1.8	3.7		
Laterosphenoïd	?	N.I.	-	10.7	5.6	5.9		
AXIAL	ONTO.	MDS.VG	Details	L	W (ant.)	H (ant.)	W (post.)	H (post.)
Dorsal vertebra	1. nF.	64	Anterior	7.4	5.1	4.6	5.3	4.8
Dorsal vertebra	1. nF.	75	Anterior	7.9	5.6	5.4	6.0	4.0
Dorsal vertebra	1. nF.	57	Mid-series	8.7	6.3	5.3	6.3	5.6
Dorsal vertebra	1. F.	67	Posterior	9.2	7.4	6.1	7.0	5.7
Dorso-sacral v.	1. F.	79	Dorso-sacral	8.4	5.9	6.2	7.3	5.2
Sacral vertebra	1. F.	81	First sacral	8.7	8.0	5.0	7.8	4.7
Caudal vertebra	1. nF.	87	Anterior	10.0	6.6	6.6	6.1	6.6
Caudal vertebra	1. nF.	95	Anterior	8.9	6.0	6.2	5.9	6.7
Caudal vertebra	1? F.	55	Posterior	7.2	3.8	3.4	2.2	1.0
Cervical vertebra	2? F.	53	Anterior	9.7	7.6	4.5	6.1	5.1
Cervical vertebra	2? nF.	56	Mid-series	8.7	6.2	4.7	6.2	4.5
Cervical vertebra	2? nF.	50	Posterior	8.2	5.7	4.9	5.2	5.0
Dorsal vertebra	2? nF.	59	Anterior	8.2	5.9	5.3	6.1	5.0
Dorsal vertebra	3. F.	66	Mid-series	10.9	7.8	6.2	7.8	7.2
Dorsal vertebra	3. F.	69	Posterior	11.2	9.3	7.7	8.8	8.3
Sacral vertebra	3. F.	77	Posterior	11.0	7.4	8.1	7.4	6.8
Caudal vertebra	3. F.	72	Anterior	11.0	8.4	7.8	8.0	6.8
Caudal vertebra	3. F.	101	Anterior	10.8	5.7	5.6	5.3	5.4
Caudal vertebra	3. F.	86	Mid-series	10.5	6.1	6.5	6.5	6.6
Caudal vertebra	3. F.	102	Mid-series	10.9	4.8	6.3	5.0	6.3
Caudal vertebra	3. F.	100	Posterior	12.8	5.7	5.6	5.3	5.4
APPEND.	ONTO.	MDS.VG	Fr. details	L	W (prox.)	H (prox.)	W (dist.)	H (dist.)
Left scapula	3.	N.I.	Post-distal	NA	NA	NA	5.4	NA
Right humerus	3.	113	Proximal	NA	10.9	NA	NA	NA
Right humerus	2?	168	Distal	NA	NA	NA	8.1	5.8
Left ulna	2?	202	Proximal	NA	5.3	7.8	NA	NA
Left ulna	2?	N.I.	Distal	NA	NA	NA	6.8	3.2
llium (left)	1.	N.I.	-	NA	NA	NA	3.4	NA

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Femur (right)	1.	159	Proximal	NA	12.3	10.6	NA	NA
Femur	2.	108	Proximal	NA	15.8	NA	NA	NA
Femur (right)	3.	109	Proximal	NA	17.5	NA	NA	NA
Femur (right)	2?	122	Diaphysis	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Femur (left)	2.	132	Distal	NA	NA	NA	NA	?
Femur (left)	2.	134	Distal	NA	NA	NA	15.1	11.9
Femur (right)	3.	135	Distal	NA	NA	NA	17.6	NA
Tibia (left)	2.	137	Proximal	NA	7.0	4.3	NA	NA
Tibia (left)	2.	N.I.	Proximal	NA	8.4	NA	NA	NA
Tibia (left)	2.	136	Prox., crushed	NA	8.6	16.7	NA	NA
Tibia (right)	3.	140	Distal	NA	NA	NA	18.5	8.4
Fibula (left)	2.	107	Proximal	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Metatarsal I (left)	3.	171	Distal	NA	NA	NA	5.6	4.7
Metatarsal II (left)	2.	177	Proximal	NA	6.6	NA	NA	NA
Metatarsal II (left)	2.	160	Distal	NA	NA	5.2	5.3	NA
Metatarsal III (left)	2.	174	Proximal	NA	4.9	7.6	NA	NA
Metatarsal III (left)	2.	163	Distal	NA	NA	NA	6.8	5.1
Metatarsal IV (left)	2.	178	Proximal	NA	5.5	5.8	NA	NA
Metatarsal IV (left)	2.	169	Distal	NA	NA	NA	5.6	5.6
Phalanx I-1 (left)	2.	239	Distal	NA	NA	NA	4.0	3.4
Claw I (left)	3.	266	Proximal	NA	4.7	3.6	NA	NA
Phalanx II-1 (left)	2.	232	Proximal	NA	5.6	6.1	NA	NA
Phalanx II-1 (right)	2.	210	Distal	NA	NA	NA	5.0	5.1
Phalanx II-2 (left)	2.	233	Distal	NA	NA	NA	4.1	?
Claw II (left)	2.	262	Complete	?	3.6	3.6	NA	NA
Phalanx III-1 (left)	2.	209	Complete	14.2	8.4	5.6	6.7	5.0
PhalanxIII-2(right)	2.	237	Proximal	NA	6.6	NA	NA	NA
Phalanx III-2 (?)	2.	254	Distal	NA	NA	NA	5.8	?
Phalanx III-3 (left)	2.	245	Complete	8.2	5.7	?	4.5	?
Claw III (left)	2.	272	pprox Complete	pprox 9.0	4.6	3.9	NA	NA
PhalanxIV-1(right)	2.	211	Proximal	NA	6.1	6.0	NA	NA
PhalanxIV-1(right)	2.	215	Distal	NA	NA	NA	6.1	?
Phalanx IV-2 (left)	2.	243	Complete	8.2	5.4	?	5.1	?
Phalanx IV-3 (left)	2.	240	Complete	7.2	4.8	?	4.2	?
Phalanx IV-4 (left)	2.	247	Complete	5.7	4.4	4.6	3.9	?
Claw IV (right)	3.	258	Proximal	NA	4.9	4.4	NA	NA

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156251.t001

process transversely expanded to form a narrow shelf, 5) the dorsal margin of the ilium is mediolaterally thickened at the level above its ischiac peduncle, 6) the femur has a shallow and non-constricted trochanteris fossa on its proximal articular surface (not present in the more derived taxa *Zalmoxes* and *Rhabdodon*).

RHABDODONTIDAE Weishampel, Jianu, Csiki & Norman, 2003 [22] sensu Sereno, 2005 [26]

Emended diagnosis: Rhabdodontidae is defined by the combination of the following synapomorphies (see <u>phylogenetic analysis</u>): 1) a humerus with a flat proximal anterior surface, i.e. devoid of any bicipital sulcus, 2) a humerus with a concave lateral border between the head and the deltopectoral crest in anteroposterior view, 3) an ulna with a relatively large olecranon process. A potential apomorphy would be a femur with a crest-like, non-pendant fourth trochanter.

Gen. et sp. indet.

Description

Skull

Premaxilla. One left premaxilla fragment was found (still unnumbered) and preserves a tooth row consisting of three hollow roots. As is usually found in premaxillae, there is neither a labial nor lingual emargination of the tooth row. The lateral margins are not everted, but are completely vertical toward the tooth row. Dorsally, a massive and unrecognized bony process seems to be either stuck to, or part of, the premaxilla (Fig 2). The postero-dorsal portion of the premaxilla displays part of the nasal fossa (Fig 2A₁). The postero-medial side presents a diagonal, narrow horizontal groove which twists to the vertical more anteriorly and may have served for the insertion of the anteromedial maxillary process (Fig 2A₂).

Maxilla. One fragmentary maxilla (MDS-VG,9) was found. It preserves three teeth that are arranged "*en échelon*", one behind the other, with the distal side of each tooth labially affixed. On the bone itself, a curious notch, located on the lingual side rises in a posterodorsal direction. This would have articulated with the palatine ($\underline{Fig 2B_2}$). As a consequence, this fragment should belong to the posterior part of the maxilla. The labial surface is marked by tight, sub-parallel ligament insertions.



Fig 2. Snout elements from the Vegagete taxon. (A) premaxillary fragment (non-inventoried) in labial (A_1) and medial (A_2) views; (B) posterior maxillary fragment (MDS-VG,9) in labial (B_1) and lingual (B_2) views; (C) posterior dentary fragment (MDS-VG,16/17/152) in lingual (C_1), labial (C_2) and occlusal (C_3) views. Abbreviations: amp, anteromedial maxillary process; bp, bony process; mc, Meckelian canal; cor, coronoid insertion area; cr, curved root; dep, depression for the adductor jaw musculature; Im, labial emargination; nf, narial fossa; pal, palatin insertion area; pr, mesially bent primary ridge. Scale: 1cm.

Laterosphenoid. The laterosphenoid is an anteroposteriorly elongate bone located behind the orbit, which forms the junction with the prootic, supraoccipital, parietal, frontal and postorbital. Its posterior margin is straight, and it bends ventrally to contact both the prootic and supra-occipital. At its posterior extremity, the shape of the bone is roughly that of a quarter of cylinder that encloses the ventral part of the parietal (Fig 3D). The posterior basal floor is thick and straight. Interestingly, the presence of the oculomotor nerve foramen (CN III) can be observed, running longitudinally on the ventral side of the posterior part (Fig 3C). The floor of the laterosphenoid is bordered and wrapped laterally by a vertically rising wall, which remains dorsally horizontal all along the bone, thus being higher posteriorly than anteriorly. The basal floor is bent upward anteriorly until the point where it is level with the horizontal lateral wall. An orbitosphenoid boss is located along the ascending medial margin (Fig 3A and 3D). The passage for the trochlear nerve (CN IV) is located immediately anterior to this boss. Anteriorly and at the top of the basal floor, the bone forms a dorsal horizontal shelf, or "head", constituted by a single, thin sheet of bone. A lip bends ventrally again for a very brief distance more anteriorly. The dorsal surface of the head would probably make contact medially with the posterolateral end of the frontal. The head spreads laterally to contact the medial process of the postorbital. The trigeminal, or prootic foramen, is not observed to notch the posterior end of the laterosphenoid.

Dentary. All dentary fragments are slightly curved, concavo-convex dorsoventrally (Fig $2C_{1,2}$). MDS-VG,7 is an anterior dentary fragment, though its anteriormost portion is not preserved. The Meckelian canal narrows slightly before it ends abruptly anteriorly. From a dorsal view, this dentary has almost no labial emargination, and it is curved with a convex lingual side and a concave labial side. On MDS-VG,8, three neurovascular foramina are aligned labially, equidistantly one behind the other, along a parapet that overhangs a strong ventrolateral convexity. This convexity probably represents the beginning of a more posterior labial emargination. MDS-VG,16/17/152 is the most complete and represents a posterior fragment of a right dentary. Its Meckelian canal is broken in its anterior portion (Fig $2C_1$). Postero-labially, a slightly striated, posteriorly climbing diagonal groove may have served as the anteriormost part of the coronoid insertion area (Fig $2C_2$). Immediately anterior to this groove appears a well-marked depression that may have served as an extended insertion for the external jaw adductor musculature (Ösi *et al.* 2012). In dorsal view, the mandible is smoothly convex lingually, and straight labially. The tooth row curves lingually at mid-length so that a strong labial emargination is created (Fig $2C_3$). The labial emargination disappears again completely posteriorly.

Teeth

Premaxillary teeth. The premaxillary teeth are small and fragile, and their pulp cavities may have reached as far as the base of the crown. The only premaxillary crown found



Fig 3. Right laterosphenoid in dorsal (A), medial (B), lateral (C) and posterior (D) views. Abbreviations: hI, anterior head of laterosphenoid; ob, orbitosphenoid boss; vlg, ventral laterosphenoid groove for the *ramus ophtalmicus* (CN IV). Scale: 1cm.

(MDS-VG,3) was studied and photographed, though it later broke off accidentally. The transition between the root and the crown takes the form of a very short and shallow incision (Fig 4A). The crown preserves a circular section, with dimensions identical to the root. It shrinks abruptly at mid-height on one–either labial or lingual–side, producing a sort of "wedge". The other side remains vertical. Occlusally, the wedged side of the crown flattens and ends up curving as a small tip onto the opposite labiolingual side. An enlarged view of the apicodistal side enables us to see very small emerging denticles (Fig 4A). This tooth is very small, but whether this tooth belonged to a ontogenetic stage 1, 2 or 3 could not be determined with certainty. We cannot reject the possibility that the characteristics of this tooth are variable ontogenetically.

Maxillary teeth. The labial sides of the maxillary crowns are ornamented and more strongly enameled. The crown is spatulate. The base of the crown is slightly mesiodistally compressed, being more compressed mesially than distally (Fig 4B). The mesial side is thickened at



Fig 4. Premaxillary, maxillary and dentary teeth. (A) premaxillary tooth in distal view, (B) standard right maxillary tooth in labial (B₁) and lingual (B₂) views, (C) one of the posteriormost left maxillary teeth in labial view, (D) and (E) right dentary teeth in lingual (D₁, E₁) and labial (D₂, E₂) views. Specimen numbers are MDS-VG,3 (A), MDS-VG,35 (B), MDS-VG,9 (C), MDS-VG,33 (D), MDS-VG,34 (E). Abbreviations: cr, curved root; dtcs, denticles; mpr, mesially bent primary ridge. Scales: 5mm (B, D, E) and 1mm (A, C).

its base and presents a replacement groove, as also occurs in *Zalmoxes* [22]. In contrast, the base of the crown is thinner distally. The cingulum rises on the mesial side. Jointly, the mesial side is lowest and the most worn, so that apically it is much sharper. The central ridge is as prominent as the secondary ridges. It is observable because it always reaches the cingulum, and bends distally towards the apex. Secondary ridges rise above the first third of the crown's height, and undulate together in a subparallel way, though this latter character could vary depending on the crown considered. An erupting maxillary tooth crown (MDS-VG,9) reveals that every apical denticle extends into secondary ridges. The sharp, vertical mesiodistal borders bear denticles that extend into shorter tertiary ridges. The maximum number of ridges, including the central one, may be up to ten. Only one maxillary tooth preserves its root (MDS-VG,35). In this specimen, the maxillary crown is low and reaches a height of 0.46 times the total height of the tooth. The root is hollow and not curved (Fig 4B).

Of the three *in situ* maxillary teeth found on the fragment MDS-VG,9, the morphology and ornamentation of the second, the best-preserved and most diagnosable crown (Figs $2B_1$ and 4C), differs substantially from those of the standard isolated maxillary crowns found in this material (Fig 4B). This crown displays a posteriorly shifted prominent central ridge, together with another prominent, more mesial ridge (Fig 4C). These two ridges are indented into further smaller ridges toward the occlusal rim. The distal side is eroded. The relative prominence of these two ridges is very similar to the base of the crown, and this maxillary tooth crown morphology resembles that of *Hypsilophodon foxii* [34]. However, because of its similar overall proportions to the other maxillary teeth and a mostly enameled labial side, for the sake of consistency, MDS-VG,9 is assumed to belong to the same taxon. This specimen provides rare evidence of heterodonty in an ornithopod. Note that a similar issue has already been discussed in the case of the ornithopod *Anabisetia saldiviai* [53].

Dentary teeth. The enamel layer is more developed on the ornamented lingual side. This side presents a prominent, mesially inclined central ridge (Fig $2C_3$). The cingulum rises on the posterior side of the tooth. A minimum of three secondary ridges are observed; the first is mesial, the second rises onto the distal flank of the central primary ridge, and the third one is distal. Each secondary ridge is prolonged as a denticle. There are many other denticles, which are the prolongation of smaller tertiary ridges. These denticles are gathered occlusally along the mesial and distal margins of the crown. Some of them are observed in pairs in a single dentary tooth (MDS-VG,42). With respect to the central primary ridge, the distal portion of the crown is usually more worn and lower in height than the mesial portion of the crown (Fig $2D_2$ and $2E_2$). A maximum of six denticles has been counted on the mesial margin. Labially and below the wear surface, the crown shows in some cases some very smooth, inconspicuous ridges (Fig $4D_2$). The root is hollow and curved, being concavo-convex labiolingually. The observed degree of curvature for the dentary tooth roots is highly variable. For instance, it is strong in MDS-VG, 33 and MDS-VG,16/17/152 (Figs 4D and 2C₃), but it is weaker in MDS-VG,34 (Fig 4E). The root curvature would have varied gradually depending on the position which the tooth would have occupied along the mandible. Most probably, the greatest curvature would have been acquired where the mandible had the widest labial emargination, (i.e. posteriorly here). The crown is slightly smaller than the root, and has a ratio of 0.48 with respect to the total height of the tooth (cf. MDS-VG,33 and 34).

Axial skeleton

Cervical vertebrae. The cervical centra are characterized by their anterodorsally located parapophyses. These centra are rectangular in lateral outline and are more elongated than the other centra ($\underline{\text{Fig } 5A_1-5C_1}$). They are biconcave laterally. Their ventral surface forms a straight



Fig 5. Vertebral column reconstruction of the Vegagete ornithopod using most representative vertebrae. Cervical (A-C); dorsal (D-F); one posterior sacral and caudal vertebrae (G-L) are represented. These are the lateral (A₁-M₁) and dorsal views (A₂-M₂) of the same vertebrae. Their identifying number are respectively MDS-VG,53 (A), -56 (B), -50 (C), -59 (D), -66 (E), -69 (F), -77 (G), -72 (H), -101 (I), -86 (J), -102 (K), -100 (L). Abbreviations: d, diapophysis; l.s, suture line; p, parapophysis; p.tr, transversal process. Scale:1 cm. N.B.: all vertebrae probably belong to ontogenetic stage 2 and/or 3, except for (A-D) which could belong either to ontogenetic stage 1 and/or 2.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156251.g005

PLOS ONE

ventral keel that is more or less sharp depending on the specimen. All of the cervical centra are amphiplatyan to slightly amphicoelous. MDS-VG,53 corresponds to an anterior cervical vertebra (maybe the third cervical centrum). The anterior articular surface is pentagonal, whereas the posterior surface is heart-shaped. The ventral surface is wedge-like and one of the widest. MDS-VG,56 is from a more posterior position than MDS-VG,53. Its two lateral edges are more vertical; the centrum is narrower. The neurocentral suture remains well visible, so the neural arch may have been partially fused to the centrum. It produces a clearly visible bulge anteriorly, as occurs in *Hypsilophodon foxii* [28]. In dorsal view, the neural canals of MDS-VG,56 and MDS-VG,50 remain shallow all along and widen substantially anteriorly (Fig 5B₂ and 5C₂). MDS-VG,50 would be from a more posterior position than MDS-VG,56. The ventral ridge of the former is thinner, and more rounded as well, as also occurs in anterior dorsal vertebrae. Its posterior articular surface is heart-shaped.

Dorsal vertebrae. As in *Hypsilophodon foxii* [28], all of the dorsal vertebrae are slightly amphicoelous. Their ventral surfaces are rounded. Three additional features vary continuously along the dorsal column (Fig 5D–5F). Firstly, the dorsal centra display a distinct lateral compression at their mid-length, which results in a butterfly-like dorsal outline. Then, the neural canal deepens inside the centrum, to varying degrees, midway in the anteroposterior direction. Finally, the ventral surfaces of the dorsal centra are variably concave in lateral view. All three features are exaggerated in the middle of the trunk (e.g. MDS-VG,66), but they are all weaker in more anterior (e.g. MDS-VG,59) or posterior (e.g. MDS-VG,69) positions. In MDS-VG,59, a thin and straight ventral keel is preserved, as in cervical vertebrae, but this disappears in more posterior dorsal vertebrae. At mid-length, the neural canal makes a shallow incision, and the centrum narrows only moderately mediolaterally. The posterior dorsal centrum MDS-VG,69 keeps a slightly concave ventral surface; its neural canal no longer shows the typical butterfly-like outline. The neural canal is relatively shallow.

Sacral vertebrae. The only dorsosacral vertebra found is from the smallest individual (MDS-VG,79). Its ventral surface is smoothly concave in lateral view, as for the posterior dorsal vertebrae. The neural canal is shallow, but curiously narrows suddenly at mid-length, being restricted to a thin strip posteriorly. Other sacral vertebral centra may be recognized by their flat ventral surfaces. Their neural canals remain shallow and are rectangular in dorsal view (Fig 5G and 5H). There are some lateral insertion surfaces for the sacral ribs, but these are not yet fused. The typical anterior sacral centrum is wide and dorsoventrally low (e.g. MDS-VG,81). More posterior sacral vertebrae are taller, and more contracted laterally (e.g. MDS-VG,77). The same arrangement was described in *Gideonmantellia amosanjuanae* by Ruiz-Omeñaca *et al.* [30].

Caudal vertebrae. MDS-VG,72 is considered the anteriormost caudal centrum, on the basis of a rounded and slightly concave ventral surface that differs from the distinctly flat and straight ventral surface of the sacral vertebrae. However, it is noteworthy that MDS-VG,72 is devoid of any chevron articular facets. The broken transverse processes are visible at their base and arise from slightly above the neurocentral suture. The second caudal centrum is MDS-VG,101, with transverse processes being angled steeply upward. No suture line can be made out, but these transverse processes may have risen slightly above the neurocentral suture line as well. MDS-VG,101 bears chevron articular facets posteriorly. These are almost not visible anteriorly. MDS-VG,72 and MDS-VG,101 are considered slightly opisthocoelous, in that they have a flat anterior and a concave posterior articular surface. They are shorter than the more anterior sacral vertebrae (Fig 5H and 5I). All of the other more posterior centra are amphicoelous and bear transverse processes on their neurocentral suture line. At a certain point, the caudal centra start increasing in length and narrowing lateromedially (Fig 5J and 5K). The mid-tail series bears the most concave ventral surfaces. Their transverse processes become restricted to a single mass. Finally, the transverse processes completely disappear and

the heights of the centra start decreasing (MDS-VG,100; Fig 5L). Only at this point in the series do the chevron articular facets disappear (MDS-VG,55, unfigured). The last caudal vertebrae, MDS-VG,100 and MDS-VG,55, stand out in that they present a much flatter ventral surface than any of the other caudals.

Forelimb

Scapula. A posteroproximal fragment of the left scapula is preserved. Its medial surface is roughly planar. The deltoid fossa is wide and shallow and lies on the lateral side; it extends from the proximal extremity toward the posterior side. The glenoid cavity lies at the posteroproximal extremity, and here it forms a distinct lateral step (Fig_{6A_2}). The posterior process above the glenoid cavity is short and angles almost to 90°, unlike most other ornithopods in





which this posterior process is flatter and forms a sharper angle posteriorly (new character #191, see <u>S1 Text</u>). A very similar configuration is found in *Zalmoxes shqiperorum*, specimen UBB SO-4 [54], and *Mochlodon vorosi* [18].

Coracoid. A fragmentary left coracoid was recovered, with some edges partially broken. Its small size suggests that it belonged to the smallest individual. The coracoid foramen is centrally located ($\underline{Fig} 6B_2$). The dorsal contact for the scapula is flat, wide, and widens even more posteriorly. A deep glenoid cavity is observed posteriorly, with a high lateral edge ($\underline{Fig} 6B_1$).

Humerus. The right proximal head (MDS-VG,113) is stout, with a triangular outline in proximal view (Fig $6C_3$). The proximal articular surface is steeply inclined medially (Fig $6C_1$), as is usually observed in other ornithopods. Although the humeral head (or condyle) is partially broken, we can clearly deduce that it was on the posterior side, slightly inset from the medial border. A deeply concave fossa occurs on its posterolateral surface (Fig $6C_2$). This unusual configuration would have served for the insertion of a powerful extensor muscle. In contrast, the anterior side is completely flat (character #197 (1), see S1 Text), a morphology also found in Mochlodon *vorosi* [18]. The lateral and medial borders diverge proximally (Fig $6C_1$), the lateral side being made out as concave (even though it is incomplete proximodistally) from an anteroposterior view. The deltopectoral crest is distinct proximally, although it may rise more distally from the anterolateral border. The left distal extremity of the humerus MDS-VG,168 exhibits a typically diagonally-oriented medial condyle, whereas the lateral condyle remains straight (Fig 6D). The ulnar (medial) condyle is rounded medially, whereas the radial (lateral) condyle is characteristically flat laterally. The radial articular surface is well developed, anteriorly and posteriorly. It extends largely anteriorly ($Fig 6D_1$), whereas posteriorly it reaches a point ($Fig 6D_2$). The distal extremity is typically concave for articulation with the olecranon process of the ulna. The anterior coronoid fossa is very narrow, whereas the posterior olecranon fossa is wider.

Ulna. The proximal extremity of ulna MDS-VG,202 displays a large olecranon process, along with a sharp and anteriorly projected coronoid process (Fig 6). A radial boss is present on the proximal extremity of the lateral side (Fig $6E_1$ and $6E_2$), anteriorly to which the radius would be located. The medial side is concave anteriorly (Fig $6E_3$). The distal extremity of the ulna (Fig 6F, unnumbered fragment) consists of a rather thick plate of bone, anteroposteriorly compressed, with a very convex and rounded posterior side and a flat anterior one (Fig $6F_1$). A small, smoothly beveled distolateral surface probably articulated with the distal extremity of the radius (Figs $6F_1$ and $5F_2$). Distally, the medial side of the ulna is thinner and sharp.

Hindlimb

Ilium. Only one fragment of left ilium is preserved, belonging to the smallest individual (Fig 7). The element lacks its anterior process as well as both pubic and ischial peduncles. Its



Fig 7. left ilium (unnumbered specimen) belonging to the smallest individual in lateral (A), posterior (B) and medial (C) views. Abbreviations: bsh, brevis shelf; dmt, dorsomedial thickening on the postacetabular process. Scale: 1cm.

entire medial surface is damaged so that no intact bony surface remains visible in medial view. Notwithstanding, an interesting observation could be made out. A bony outgrowth appears bulging postero-laterally, indicating that there was probably some medial thickening of the posterodoral margin (Fig 7B and 7C). But, as no bony surface remains intact medially, the exact morphology of this outgrowth cannot be determined. The principal features of the ilium are visible from the lateral view. These are apparently plesiomorphic for ornithischians: 1) the dorsal margin of the ilium is almost straight to slightly convex; and 2) the brevis shelf doesn't form a distinct "step" but it faces completely ventrolaterally, so that it seems completely absent at first sight (Fig 7A and 7B).

Femur. Proximally, the inner articular head is well elevated upward, forming an average angle of 22° with respect to the horizontal plane (measured on MDS-VG,108 and MDS-VG,109, Fig 8A₁ and 8B). The *fossa trochanteris* is shallow (Fig 8A₁). The posterior surface of the inner articular head is concave to receive the *capitis femoris* ligament (Fig 8A₃). The



Fig 8. Femora. The proximal extremity belonging to the largest sized individual, MDS-VG,109, is figured in A₁ posterior, A₂ lateral, and A₃ proximal views. The diaphysis fragment (B) belongs to the medium-sized individual and is in medial view. The distal fragments MDS-VG,135 (C), MDS-VG,132 (D), and MDS-VG,134 (E) belong respectively to the largest and two medium-sized individual. They are in posterior (C), anterior (D₁/E₁) and distal (D₂/E₂) views. Abbreviations: 4th.tr, fourth trochanter; cfl, *caudifemoralis* muscle scar; eg, extensor groove; fg flexor groove; g.tr, greater trochanter; ifg, *ilio-fibularis* muscle groove; l.tr, lesser trochanter; lcf, sulcus for the *ligamentum capitis femoris*; tr.f, *trochanteris fossa*. Scale: 1 cm.

articular surface of this head is well expanded and plunges anteriorly. The greater trochanter is flat to slightly concave laterally. The posterolateral edge is rounded for the M. ilio-trochantericus insertion. The smooth concavity located more anteriorly serves for the M. pubo-ischiofemoralis internus I insertion [28]. The lesser trochanter is a digit-like process, rounded in lateral view and flat in medial view, inserted anterolaterally into the proximal part of the greater trochanter. In MDS-VG,109 (Fig 8A), the lesser trochanter is characteristically expanded anteroposteriorly and narrow mediolaterally. It does not quite reach the same height as that of the greater trochanter (Fig 8A₂). No fourth trochanter was preserved, it was found broken off from its medial insertion on the femur diaphysis MDS-VG, 122. Nevertheless, we can deduce from the same fragment that its insertion was proximodistally expanded (Fig 8B). Medially, the M. caudifemoralis longus scar is elongated proximodistally and quite anteriorly located. This muscle scar merges roughly with the broken fourth trochanter, though a smooth separation can be seen punctually at mid-length proximodistally, between this scar and the fourth trochanter insertion (Fig 8B). All of the distal extremities of the femora display an anterior intercondylar groove for the extensor M. ilio-tibialis (Fig 8D2 and 8E2), except MDS-VG,135, which is highly damaged in this zone. On the posterior side, a deep flexor groove is visible, which is not overlapped by the medial condyle. The medial condyle is typically flat in its inner medial surface, whereas the lateral one is more rounded externally. All of the distal parts of the femora are much wider mediolaterally than tall dorsoventrally (Fig 8D and 8E). The largest femur, MDS-VG,135, clearly displays a medially deviating posterolateral condyle (Fig 8C). This opens a posterolateral notch for the M. ilio-fibularis passage. The same notch is observed in MDS-VG,132, although its posterolateral condyle is inwardly crushed (Fig 8D₂). In the smaller MDS-VG,134, the lateral deflection of this posterolateral condyle is absent, and an equivalent inclined surface is observed. In distal view the medial condyle protrudes largely beyond the lateral condyle anteriorly (character #261, S1 Text and Fig 8E₂).

Tibia. The proximal fragments of the tibiae are anteroposteriorly expanded. The medial surface is straight and flattened. The cnemial crest is robust proximally and sharper distally (unfigured proximal tibia MDS-VG,136). On the posterior side, the inner condyle is stout, but no lateral condyle is identified. This latter condyle could have disappeared by itself or by fusion with the more anterior accessory condyle [55]. We named this condyle the "fibular condyle", as it would have articulated with the proximal articular head of the fibula. This fibular condyle thus rises at mid-length anteroposteriorly. In proximal view it forms a large plateau, but shrinks immediately more distally to articulate with the proximal extremity of the fibula (Fig 9A3). Introduced as a term by Parks for the tibia of Parksosaurus warreni [56], the precnemial crest forms another ridge anteriorly located with respect to the fibular condyle (Fig 9B₂, unnumbered) and forms an anterior buttress for the head of the fibula ($Fig 9A_1 and 9B_1$). In MDS-VG,137 (Fig $9A_2$) the precnemial crest is not prominent, so the more anterior *incisura* tibialis cannot be observed in proximal view. The distinctly pronounced incisura tibialis is noteworthy in two larger ontogenetic stage 2 specimens (Fig $9B_2$ and $9C_2$), in which the fibular condyle and the precnemial crest are both stouter and more robustly developed. The tibial diaphysis is long, thin and tubular, and expands lateromedially toward its distal extremity. It bears an anterior longitudinal groove, which is accentuated distally just before it reaches the two distal malleoli. The medial malleolus is thicker than the lateral one. The anterior ascending process of the astragalus is shown here in the largest specimen (MDS-VG,140, Fig 9D₂) as a large blade of bone attached to the anterodistal side of the tibia, which ends as a spike dorsally. The narrow lateral malleolus has a flat anterior surface for the contact with the distal end of the fibula.

Fibula. The fibula MDS-VG,107 is a left proximal fragment. It is bifid and anteroposteriorly expanded. A triangular cavity is located proximomedially to receive the fibular condyle of



Fig 9. Tibiae and fibulae. The proximal articular extremities of tibiae MDS-VG,137 (A), unnumbered tibia (B) and MDS-VG,136 (C) in lateral (A₁, B₁, C₁) and proximal (A₂, B₂, C₂) views. MDS-VG,107 fits with MDS-VG,137, as shown in the drawing (A₃) in proximolateral view. The distal extremity of tibia MDS-VG,140 is represented in posterior (D₁) and anterior (D₂) view. The proximal extremity of fibula MDS-VG,107 is represented in medial view (E). The distal extremity of fibula MDS-VG,199 is represented in lateral (F₁), anterior (F₂) and distal view (F₃). Abbreviations: apa, anterior ascending process of the astragalus; cfc, cavity for the fibular condyle; fc, fibular condyle; cc, cnemial crest; cra, crushed area around the fibular condyle; ic, inner condyle; pc, precnemial crest; ppa, posterior process of the astragalus. Scale: 1 cm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156251.g009

the tibia (Fig 9E). Indeed, MDS-VG,107 fits perfectly with the left proximal part of tibia MDS-VG,137 (Fig 9A₃). The left distal extremity of fibula MDS-VG,199 (Fig 9E) would have belonged to the smallest (ontogenetic stage 1) individual. Its posterior surface is flat and would have been superposed over the lateral condyle of the tibia. Its distal articular surface forms a sort of lip which rises facing slightly anteriorly (Fig 9F₁). Overall, the distal extremity of the fibula is thicker anteroposteriorly on the medial side than on the lateral side (Fig 9F₂ and 9F₃).

First metatarsal. The very thin and fragile structure of the broken proximal portion of the first metatarsal (MDS-VG,171) suggests a nearly absent articulation with the astragalus. A lack of an articular surface for the astragalus has already been observed in *Gideonmantellia* [31], *Othnielosaurus* [57] and *Parksosaurus* [56]. The cross-section of the proximal first metatarsal is in the form of a thin isosceles triangle. This feature has already been observed in the articulated pes of *Muttaburrasaurus* [50], in which case the small base was told to be anteriorly located. Basing on this character, we deduce that MDS-VG,171 was a right distal fragment. The distal condyle strongly bulges distally (MDS-VG,171). In most basal neornithischians, the distal condyle of metatarsal I bulges in anterior direction with ligamentary fossae oriented mediolaterally [28, 57]. However in the Vegagete ornithopod the presumed plantar surface is unusually flat. We suggest that the flat posterior side actually faced laterally toward the medial side of the second metatarsal and that the anterior bulge was directed medially. This has been found to occur in the Gondwanan ornithopods *Anabisetia* [53] and specimen VOPC III [58]. Let's note that Herne [58] describes for VOPC III an orthogonal torsion of the whole first digit

starting from the proximal articulation of phalanx I_1 , which makes the first pedal digit being redirected planto-posteriorly as occurs for the other pedal digits. This configuration used as a hypothesis for the reconstruction of the Vegagete ornithopod foot (Fig 10).

Second metatarsal. The proximal part of the second metatarsal (MDS-VG,177) would have been elongated anteroposteriorly, being wider dorsally and narrowing drastically in its ventral part (Fig 10A). The thinner ventral surface was unfortunately broken off. The proximal articular surface is strongly concave to articulate with the medial tarsal. The lateral side is concave so that it could smoothly enclose the third metatarsal. By contrast, the medial side is more planar overall, probably to accommodate the adjacent first metatarsal. The shaft narrows drastically distally (MDS-VG,160). The distal articular head is very close in shape to that of *Hypsilophodon foxii* [28]. It is convex, very prominent, and displays a trapezoid outline.

Third metatarsal. The proximal extremity of the third metatarsal (MDS-VG,174) is expanded anteroposteriorly and compressed mediolaterally. The posterolateral side of the third metatarsal together with the posteromedial side of the fourth metatarsal bears a cavity that probably served as an articular facet for the fifth metatarsal. Ventrally, a smooth longitudinal cavity may have hosted the *M. gastrocnemius internus* [59–61], (Fig 10B). Insertions for the *M. gastrocnemius pars lateralis et medialis* are poorly developed. In the distal portion (MDS-VG,163), the shaft becomes smaller in height and expands notably mediolaterally. Distally, the shaft is marked by a small mediodistal bump (Fig 10A). Butler *et al.* [62] explain this feature as related to the ability of digit III to support hyperextension.

Fourth metatarsal. Proximally, the fourth metatarsal (MDS-VG,178) is sub-triangular in outline. The ventral part is shallowly concave to host the *M. gastrocnemius internus* insertion. The shaft thins rapidly and twists medially. The ventrolateral part becomes widely concave only a short distance from the proximal extremity, and hosted the insertions of the *M. gastrocnemius pars lateralis et medialis* [59, 61], (Fig 10B). The distal-most extremity of the fourth metatarsal (MDS-VG,169) bulges anteriorly. A posterolateral crest floors the well-developed lateral ligamentary fossa for the insertions of the *M. gastrocnemius pars lateralis et medialis*.

Fifth metatarsal. The fifth metatarsal is identified here as a very small bone attached to the very proximolateral side of the third metatarsal (MDS-VG,174). It is broader and plate-like in the first third of its length, after which it thins abruptly until its distal end (Fig 10B).

Pedal proximal phalanges. A hypothetical left pes belonging to a medium-sized individual is reconstructed based on isolated pedal phalanges and metatarsals. Phalangeal positions are based on proposals of Thulborn [55], Galton [28], and Ruiz-Omeñaca [63]. The phalangeal formula should be (2-3-4-5-0), a plesiomorphic condition for many ornithopods. The extensor ligament insertions, or "hyperextensional pits" [61], are dorsally situated between the two distal articular pulleys. In the Vegagete ornithopod, these pits have the form of small diamonds that are found on the first phalangeal row of every digits (I1, II1, III1, IV1) and which persist until the second phalangeal row of digit II and the third phalangeal row of digits III and IV (Fig 10A). The overall length of the phalanges diminishes distally. The phalanges are attributed to their respective digit on the basis of their proportions [63]: the thinnest belong to digit I; the longest phalanges are attributed to the digit II; the widest belong to digit III; and the shortest and most robust ones are attributed to the digit IV (Fig 10). To identify the proximodistal location of the phalanges, we differentiate among proximal, intermediate and distal (or ungual) phalanges. The proximal phalanges bear a unique proximal articular facet. From the second row until the last distal phalanges, a thin median sinus separates the proximal cavity into two articular facets [28]. The extensor ligament insertion of the phalanges is sometimes observed in the proximal and intermediate phalanges, in the form of small diamond-shaped pits, dorsally situated between the two distal articular pulleys. In order to correctly reconstruct the foot and to distinguish phalanges from a right or from a left foot, we looked for some asymmetrical



Fig 10. Reconstruction of the Vegagete left foot in anterior (10A) and posterior (10B) views. MDS-VG,171 (reversed) represents the distal extremity of the first metatarsal, MDS-VG,177 and 160, 174 and 163, 178 and 169 represent respectively the proximal and distal extremities of the second, third, and fourth metatarsals. Pedal phalanges are noted « X-n » for referring to the "nth" phalanx of digit X: distal I-1 (MDS-VG,239), claw I (MDS-VG,266), proximal II-1 (MDS-VG,232), distal II-1 (MDS-VG,210 reversed), distal II-2 (MDS-VG,233), claw II (MDS-VG,276), entire phalanx III-1 (MDS-VG,209), proximal III-2 (MDS-VG,237 reversed), distal III-2 (MDS-VG,254), entire phalanx III-3 (MDS-VG,245), claw III (MDS-VG,272), proximal IV-1 (MDS-VG,211 reversed), distal IV-1 (MDS-VG,215 reversed), and entire phalanges IV-2,3,4 (respectively MDS-VG,243, 240, 247), claw IV (MDS-VG,258 reversed). Specimens MDS-VG,210, 237, 211, 215, and -258 belong to a right foot. Abbreviations: dpa, distal pulleys anterior articular surface; dpp, distal pulleys posterior articular surface; mt.5, fifth metatarsal; GI: insertion zone for M. *gastrocnemius internus*; GLM: insertion zone for M. *gastrocnemius pars lateralis et medialis*; hep, hyper-extensional pit. All bones except metatarsal I, claw I and claw IV belong to the medium-sized individual; for them the scale represents 1 cm. Metatarsal I, claw I and claw IV belong to the larger individual; for them the scale represents 1.25 cm.

mediolateral features of the phalanges (see Fig 11A). The lateral edge of digit II phalanges is the highest, straightest and most vertical edge. The opposite is the case for the digit III phalanges, in which this applies to the medial edge. This criterion is less applicable to digit IV. Instead, digit IV phalanges display a conspicuous lateral angular process, in the form of a well-marked, sudden horizontal shelf on their lateral edge. This proximolateral angular shelf can in some instances become very faint to non-existent in more distal phalanges. Digit III phalanges display a very discrete obtuse ventrolateral angle [5]. The distal pulleys could also be diagnostic to distinguish phalanges from a left or from a right foot. In digit II, the medial pulley is characteristically more expanded anteroposteriorly. Moreover, it is also more expanded proximodistally in the second phalanx (II₂), (Fig 10A). The distal pulleys of the digit III phalanges are symmetrical, with no distinguishable criterion of asymmetry. In digit IV, the medial pulley is wide mediolaterally, and displays a flat, anteriorly-facing articular surface. This feature was also observed by Ruiz-Omeñaca [31] in *Gideonmantellia. amosanjuanae*. Phalanx I₁ could only be oriented following Ruiz-Omeñaca [31]. It appears that in *G. amosanjuanae*, the hallux bears a more angled distolateral pulley.

Pedal unguals. Four claw morphotypes have been found. Each of them may correspond to one of the four pedal digits. Claws from digits II, III, and IV (respectively MDS-VG,262, 272,



Fig 11. Some features of the pedal phalanges. Proximal outline of the first phalangeal row of digit IV (MDS-VG,211 reversed to left), III (MDS-VG,209), and II (MDS-VG,232) in a hypothesized left pes (A) and right claw-like phalange from digit III (MDS-VG,262) in lateral (B1) and medial (B2) views. Abbreviations: cr.1 and 2, types 1 and 2 ventrolateral ridges. Scale: 5mm.

258) have been determined thanks to their proximal outline, which is related with that of their adjoining proximal phalanges. The last claw morphotype from the assemblage was deduced to belong to the first digit (MDS-VG,266). As in *Anabisetia* [53] and *Lesothosaurus* [55], it is distinguished by its dorsoventrally flattened nature and its very flat ventral surface. Ventro-proximally, a slight, centered concavity served as the attachment area for the *M. flexor hallucis longus* [64]. It is noteworthy that part of the digit II claw sample displays a similar muscle attachment site, but this time for the insertion of the *M. flexor digitorum longus* [64]. The *M. flexor digitorum longus* attachment area is fainter in the claws of the other digits. In the Vegagete specimen, the digit I claws present a proximomedially placed ligamentary pit, probably for the insertion of the *M. extensor hallucis longus* [61]. This pit is faint to completely absent in other digits.

All of the unguals possess two ventral ridges that are clearly distinguishable from one another. These rise more or less for the first third of the total claw length. The first type of ridge is narrow and remains close to the body of the claw. It is thicker and more rounded ventrally. A furrow excavates well above the ridge into the body of the claw (Fig 11B2). The second type of ridge is wider lateromedially, and angles out strikingly from the body of the claw proximally. It is thin and sharp. It forms the floor of the body of the whole claw in digits II, III and IV, but not in the first digit, in which it rises a bit higher. The furrow is smoother above it. Whichever the claw in question, the first type of ridge should be found medially and the second type of ridge should be found laterally (Fig 11B1). However, detailed information on articulated feet from other ornithopods is still unavailable to confirm this.

Discussion

Ontogenetic considerations

Vertebral column. Specimen MDS-VG,57 is a centrum that belongs to a mid-series dorsal vertebra from the smallest individual of the sample, i.e. a juvenile individual (first ontogenetic stage, see Fig 12C). It is homologous in position to the ontogenetic stage 3 dorsal centrum MDS-VG,66 (Fig 5E). Apart from their typical mid-dorsal series characteristics, the two specimens differ from each other in an important feature: the smaller centrum MDS-VG,57 has



Fig 12. Partial reconstruction of the tiniest individual's back from the anterior dorsal vertebrae (left) to the anterior part of the sacrum (right). Views are dorsal (A_1-F_1) and lateral (A_2-F_2) . Dorsal vertebrae are from (A) to (D), the dorsosacral is (E) and articulates with the first true sacral which is (F). Their identifying numbers are respectively MDS-VG,64 (A), MDS-VG,75 (B), MDS-VG,57 (C), MDS-VG,67 (D), MDS-VG,79 (E), MDS-VG,81 (F). Scale: 1cm.

anteroposterior articular surfaces that are well inclined from the vertical (up to 20°) and thus convergently angled ventrally. Among the few juvenile dorsal vertebrae found, MDS-VG,57 is the only one that bears such characteristics. There is one vertical fracture observed in the middle of the centrum, but is unlikely to be the result of compression, because the centrum kept a very regular profile and such fractures were also observed in many other centra, seemingly undeformed as well. MDS-VG,57 would have kept its natural proportions. In contrast, the articular facets from the ontogenetic stage 3 centrum (MDS-VG,66) lacks any kind of ventral inclination. MDS-VG,57 is very likely to have acted as a keystone for the downward arching of the juvenile's back. This bending would disappear later during more advanced ontogenetic stages. It should be remembered that this phenomenon in the dorsal vertebrae has already been reported in each of the rhabdodontid genera. Anteroposterior articular facets in Mochlodon are inclined up to 5° from the vertical [18]. In Zalmoxes, both Z. shqiperorum and Z. robustus display this inclination, but no measurement is given [22]. With regard to Rhabdodon, Chanthasit [65] states that the posterior dorsal vertebrae display this ventral convergence, but no measurement is given. To conclude, the Vegagete ornithopod shares with rhabdodontids the ventrally converging articular facets of the dorsal centra, but only at the most juvenile stage. More importantly, this feature points toward a change of posture from a downwardly arching dorsal column in the juvenile toward a straighter dorsal column in the adult. Although we could not assess whether the juvenile individual from Vegagete was quadrupedal or not, its body would have been more strongly arched downwardly than bigger individuals from the same species. A transition from a juvenile quadrupedal stance to an adult bipedal stance has already been demonstrated for Dysalotosaurus lettowvorbecki [66]. In the Vegagete ornithopod, the structure of any of the ontogenetic stage 2 feet bones is slender, which suggests a digitigrade posture typical of bipedal ornithopods [67].

Femur. MDS-VG,109 should belong to the largest individual (ontogenetic stage 3), MDS-VG,108 to one medium-sized individual (ontogenetic stage 2), and MDS-VG,159 to the smallest individual (ontogenetic stage 1). Of note is the strikingly anteroposteriorly expanded lesser trochanter in MDS-VG,109. Interestingly, the anterior (or lesser) trochanter of MDS-VG,108, though broken and lost, would not have been so expanded anteroposteriorly as in MDS-VG,109 (Fig 13B₂). This is deducible because otherwise the lesser trochanter would have had to bulge anteriorly in its base. MDS-VG,159 stands out by its small proportions (e.g. the shortness of its articular head), and by the fact that the lesser trochanter is stuck to the greater trochanter medially and by a proximal cap of bone. A trough is still observable laterally between both the greater and the lesser trochanters. Two arguments are in favor of the smallest individual belonging to the same taxa. Firstly, the evolution of the proximal femoral extremities' shapes passing from MDS-VG,159 through MDS-VG,108 and to MDS-VG,109 is gradual and thus consistent with a continuous growth series (Fig 13). The fossa trochanteris may deepen gradually with growth, as the femoral head becomes more prominent and angles more upward medially. Congruently, the lesser trochanter is very likely to split itself progressively in the anterior direction with age. In MDS-VG,159, the proximal extremity is globular. The proximal articular head and greater trochanter become both slenderer in aspect in MDS-VG,108 and even more so in MDS-VG,109 (Fig $13A_3-13C_3$). Identical observations have been made on femora from *Leaellynasaura amicagraphica* [68-69], where the numerous juvenile femora have very little separation between the femoral head and the greater trochanter, and there appears to have been no separation between lesser and greater trochanter. These features develop in the more adult individuals: (NMV 179564) and Victorian ornithopod femur type 1. A small femur specimen, MHNAIX-PV.2015.13.1, from the Late Cretaceous of Aix-En-Provence is strikingly similar to MDS-VG,159. Its general aspect is more globular and its femoral head and greater trochanter are very shortly expanded. We argue that these femoral charateristics are most probably typical of juvenile individuals.



Fig 13. Evolution of the proximal extremity of femur's shape throughout ontogeny. The three proximal extremities are numbered MDS-VG,159 (A), MDS-VG,108 (B) and MDS-VG,109 (C), representing ontogenetic stages 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Views are posterior (A_1 , B_1 , C_1), lateral (A_2 , B_2 , C_2), proximal (A_3 , B_3 , C_3). Abbreviations: I.tr, lesser trochanter; tr.f, *trochanteris fossa*; Scale: 1cm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156251.g013

Studies on ontogenetic variation in the femora of the theropod *Allosaurus* [70] and the archosaur *Silesaurus opolensis* [71] pointed out that the anteroposterior length of the greater trochanter grows with age more than the proper mediolateral width of the articular head. In the Vegagete ornithopod, however, a close look at the proximal femoral extremities (Fig 13) shows the opposite. The articular head of MDS-VG,109 is strikingly wider mediolaterally than that of MDS-VG,108, and the greater trochanter is only a little longer than that of MDS-VG,108.

In the Vegagete ornithopod, the distal femoral fragment MDS-VG,134 bears an *ilio-fibularis* notch that is less developed than seen MDS-VG,132 and MDS-VG,135. It has been previously argued that a more developed posterolateral "notch" for this muscle is associated with a more

adult stage in the ontogeny of *Hypsilophodon foxii* [34]. It is probably the same for the Vegagete ornithopod. However, MDS-VG,132 and MDS-VG,134 are both medium-sized (ontogenetic stage 2). Because the specimens all apparently belong to the same species, one might hypothesizes that the sexual identity of MDS-VG,132 and MDS-VG,134 was different, and that this would have led to the appearance of a differentially stronger or weaker *ilio-fibularis* notch during the growth of the two individuals. Another possibility is mere inter-individual variability.

Tibia. The proximal extremities of three tibiae are present in the material (MDS-VG,136, MDS-VG,137 and another unnumbered proximal extremity). Only the first two are completely preserved anteroposteriorly. MDS-VG,137 apparently belongs to an early ontogenetic stage 2, whereas MDS-VG,136 and the unnumbered fragment would belong to a slightly more advanced ontogenetic stage 2. MDS-VG,136 is somewhat crushed mediolaterally onto its shaft and more proximally onto its fibular condyle (as shown in Fig 9C). However, because it is not broken, MDS-VG,136 allows us to discuss two noticeable morphological features that may be linked to ontogeny. In MDS-VG,137 the origin of the cnemial crest is very narrow, beginning distally with respect to the proximal extremity and thus forming a slight step (see Fig $9A_1$). However, in MDS-VG,136, the cnemial crest protrudes immediately from the proximal articular surface (Fig 9C₁). In MDS-VG,137, the *incisura tibialis* is almost non-existent in front of the precnemial crest and the cnemial crest is very short anteroposteriorly ($Fig 9A_2$). In the two bigger specimens (MDS-VG,136 and the other unnumbered fragment), the fibular condyle and the precnemial crest stand out so that the incisura tibialis becomes very clearly observable in front of the precnemial crest; as well the cnemial crest appears to be more expanded anteriorly (Fig $9B_2$ and $9C_2$).

Phylogenetic analysis

The Vegagete taxon was included in a maximum parsimony analysis combining four recent phylogenetic studies [8, 18, 72, 73] with modifications and additions of characters and characters states. The combination of these matrices allows a better discrimination of the monophyletic group Rhabdodontidae within a global ornithischian phylogeny [18], and also enhances the resolution of basal iguanodontians [73] and that of basal ornithopods [72]. We add five new characters (#191, #197, #277, #278, #279). The character list and all modifications are available in the <u>S1 Text</u>.

The phylogenetic analysis was run under equally-weighted maximum parsimony using TNT (Tree Analysis using New Technology) [74]. A heuristic search of 1000 replications of Wagner trees (with random addition sequence) was performed, followed by a Tree Bisection Reconnection branchswapping algorithm (holding 10 trees per replicate and using the collapsing rule 3 for zero-length branches). Zero-length branches among any of the recovered most parsimonious trees (MPTs) were collapsed. Characters were treated as unordered except for: #126, #159, #167, #168, #170, #222, #223, #225, and #262, the same characters that were ordered in Ösi *et al.* (2012). Bremer and bootstrap indices were obtained using TNT. *Herrerasaurus ischigualastensis* was used as the outgroup taxon.

Though discussed in the text, *Gideonmantellia* was not included a posteriori in the phylogenetic analysis because of its incompleteness. For additional resolution, the following OTUs were not used in this analysis: *Euparkeria, Marasuchus, Silesaurus, Asilisaurus, Sanjuansaurus, Tawa*, NHMUK RUA 100, Stegosauria, Ankylosauria, *Micropachycephalosaurus, Stenopelix, Wannanosaurus, Goyocephale, Homalocephale,* Pachycephalosauridae, *Chaoyangsaurus, Liaoceratops, Archaeoceratops, Albalophosaurus, Leaellynasaura, T. assiniboiensis, T. garbanii, Notohypsilophodon, Oryctodromeus,* Kaiparowits Orodromiines, *Atlascopcosaurus, Qantassaurus, Elrhazosaurus, Valdosaurus, Ouranosaurus. Stormbergia dangershoeki* was previously recognized as undiagnostic [75] and was therefore omitted from this analysis too. Dryosauridae was split into *Dryosaurus altus* and *Dysalotosaurus lettowvorbecki*. We completely recoded *Rhabdodon priscus* Matheron [20], based on a revision of historical material (Tortosa, in progress). Actually, *Rhabdodon* character scores were originally amalgamated with many undiagnosed *Rhabdodon*-relative specimens from the same locality. Recent discoveries suggest the presence of distinctly separate species from this assemblage [18], which is the reason why we rescored *Rhabdodon* sp. 1, a partial individual from Vitrolles, originally described by Pincemaille-Quilleveré [21] and now under review. We also added the basal iguanodontoid *Muttaburrasaurus langdoni* [50]. The taxon-specific bibliography that was used for coding is provided in <u>S2 Table</u>.

The analysis produced 20 most parsimonious trees with a length of 765 steps (CI = 0.433, RI = 0.636). Major differences are observed between the topology obtained in this analysis (Fig 14) and that obtained in the most recent phylogeny of Boyd (2015). If we consider the two Asian genera *Changsunsaurus* and *Haya* to be part of the Thescelosaurinae (as occurs in [8]), this subfamily becomes polyphyletic. If we omit them from the Parksosauridae, as we will consider here, this subfamily becomes a paraphyletic plexus of forms more derived than *H. foxii*. The Orodromiinae are recovered as the sister taxa of an Asian clade comprising *Haya*, *Changsunsaurus* and *Jeholosaurus*; and these two latter clades form a paraphyletic group basal to *Hypsilophodon foxii*. All of these differences observed between our results and those of Boyd [8] may be explained by the fact that row matrices coded differently for the same characters, or focused on different parts of the tree. The present results tries to embrace all of the previous matrices, though it should also be improved with addition of new taxa, and/or an exhaustive revision of coding already done on some OTUs with first hand material observation.

Significant new phylogenetic relationships have been recovered in this paper. Within Iguanodontia, we find a monophyletic group containing Ankylopollexia, the dryosaurids, *Tenontosaurus* and *Anabisetia*. We also find another monophyletic group containing *Muttaburrasaurus* and the Rhabdodontidae (Fig 14). We must say that the latter clade was already recovered one time by McDonald *et al.* [73], but this finding was poorly resolved and not discussed. Relationships between *Muttaburrasaurus* and Rhabodontidae will be dealt in more details herein. The Vegagete taxon is resolved as the most basal member of the Rhabdodontidae. *Zalmoxes* and *Mochlodon* do not form a clade anymore, as was found in a previous analysis [18]. Instead, there is a new clade uniting *Rhabdodon* and *Zalmoxes*, with *Mochlodon* as their new basal sister taxon. This new topology is more congruent with the chronostratigraphy, but it implies a yet unresolved contact between France and Romania during the Maastrichtian.

The Vegagete ornithopod retains many characters that are plesiomorphic for ornithischians. The maxillary teeth crowns are slightly mesiodistally compressed at the base of their cingulum. The crowns of both maxillary and dentary teeth are low, which is a plesiomorphic character according to Weishampel *et al.* [22]. A ventrally convex dentary occurs in the largest individual of the Vegagete ornithopod. This character is found in basal neornithischians such as *Agilisaurus* [77], *Orodromeus* [78], and basal ornithopods such as *Hypsilophodon* [28]. Note that this character is still present in a juvenile specimen of *Mochlodon* but not in the adult [18]. A shallow *fossa trochanteris* is preserved on the Vegagete ornithopod femur (Fig 6A₁ and 6B₁). The lesser trochanter does not completely reach the proximal end of the greater trochanter, and this character is observed in more basal ornithischians such as *Lesothosaurus* [55], *Laquintasaura* [79], *Heterodontosaurus* [80] and *Agilisaurus* [77]. Note that this character is also widespread in the Victorian ornithopod femorae [81]).

In accordance with Weishampel *et al.* [22] and the latest conception of Boyd [8], the Vegagete taxon should be rooted within Cerapoda on the basis of the asymmetrical distribution of





doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156251.g014

enamel on its upper and lower teeth [7]. Noteworthy is the fact that the ornithopod *Thescelo-saurus neglectus* still possesses equally enameled upper and lower teeth (lingually and labially) [82]. Another unequivocal character indicating that the Vegagete taxon belongs to Cerapoda is

the presence of a prominent central ridge on the lingual side of the dentary teeth. This is absent in the neornithischian *Othnielosaurus* [83] but occurs in *Thescelosaurus* and more strongly so in *Hypsilophodon foxii* [28, 84]. The premaxillary tooth morphology of the Vegagete ornithopod is unique and clearly stands out from that of any other premaxillary crowns described to date in any other ornithopod. Unlike the thescelosaurids [85], this crown does not bear a flaring cingulum at its base (Fig.3C). Unlike *H. foxii* [28] and the Proctor Lake hypsilophodontid [86], this premaxillary tooth lacks carinae.

Further data suggests the Vegagete taxon is among the most primitive iguanodonts. Firstly, an anterior intercondylar groove is present on the distal portion of its femur. This character is derived and cited as a typical iguanodontian apomorphy [12, 22, 87]. Secondly, the posterior mandible fragment MDS-VG,16/17/152 bears a wide labial emargination (Fig 2C₂): this derived character is shown by Zalmoxes robustus [22], Dryosaurus altus [34] and Mantellisaurus [88]. Thirdly, a newly added character which relates to the proximal configuration of metatarsals (character #277) seems to be a strong argument for including the Vegagete ornithopod within Iguanodontia. Actually, the second metatarsal overlaps a small medial outgrowth on the proximal extremity of third metatarsal (character #277 (1)). This is observed in all iguanodonts (Tenontosaurus, Dryosaurus altus, Anabisetia (Fig 15D-15F), Mantellisaurus [88] and Ouranosaurus [89] for example) excepted for Valdosaurus [90] and Gasparinisaura [91]. In *Iguanodon*, this character is less developed probably because the joint is more complex [92]. Unfortunately, most other publications lack a description or illustration for this character, notably those for rhabdodontids. Regarding Muttaburrasaurus langdoni, Bartholomai and Molnar [50] described a second metatarsal that is expanded dorsally, compressed ventrally and "more angular antero-laterally" (i.e., toward the third metatarsal).

Unlike all other studied maxillary teeth, the posterior maxillary tooth of the Vegagete ornithopod (MDS-VG,9, Fig 3E) bears one prominent ridge near the mesial margin of its crown; the posterior margin is partly eroded. In *Muttaburrasaurus* [81, 93] two of the three maxillary teeth described bear a more prominent distal primary ridge, due to the presence of an adjacent sulcus along the posterior margin of the crown. The Argentinean iguanodont



Fig 15. Proximal arrangement of metatarsals for diverse ornithopods in proximal views. (A) *Hypsilophodon foxii* [28]; (B) *Gideonmantellia amosanjuanae* (reversed) [65]; (C) Vegagete taxon; (D) *Anabisetia saldiviai* [53]; (E) *Tenontosaurus tilletti* (reversed) [87]; (F) *Dryosaurus altus*(reversed) [5]. Characters #277 and #278 are shown in states (0) and (1). Abbreviations: mt.5, fifth metatarsal. Scales: 5 mm (A-C), 10 cm (E-F), unknown (D).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156251.g015

PLOS ONE

Anabisetia is the only non-rhabdodontomorphan dinosaur having marked heterodonty: i.e. the association of a spade-like maxillary tooth without prominent apical ridge and non-spadelike maxillary teeth bearing one prominent labial ridge [15, 34]. Concerning the hindlimb, the Vegagete ornithopod shares a plesiomorphic lower-leveled lesser trochanter with respect to the greater trochanter on the femur with all of the Victorian ornithopods [81], the Argentinean Notohypsilophodon comodorensis [94], and the South African Kangnasaurus coetzeei [47]. This character also occurs in the North American Othnielosaurus [59] and the European Gideon*mantellia* [30]. Moreover, the distal medial condyle protrudes anteriorly with respect to the lateral one (character #261 (1)). This occurs in many Gondwanan taxa as Notohypsilophodon [94], Kangnasaurus [47], Anabisetia [34], the Australian specimen VOPCII [58], and Muttaburrasaurus [50]. Kangnasaurus, Anabisetia, and Muttaburrasaurus share with the Vegagete taxon the presence of an extensor groove on the distal part of their femora, as well as a medially drawn posterolateral condyle, which is not found in Notohypsilophodon. However, Kangnasaurus is more "dryosaurid-like," with the scar for the M. caudifemoralis longus well separated anteriorly from the fourth trochanter. In Anabisetia and Muttaburrasaurus, the descriptions and figures do not specify whether the M. caudifemoralis longus scar merges at the base of the fourth trochanter or is separated from it anteriorly. In the Vegagete material, the M. caudifemoralis longus scar is proximodistally enlarged and quite anteriorly expanded. We assume that this scar may have been fused to the base of the fourth trochanter, despite the fourth trochanter being broken (Fig 8B). In view of the considerations above, the Vegagete ornithopod presents undisputable Gondwanan affinities.

Concerning the pes, the Vegagete taxon shares with Gasparinisaura cincosaltensis [91] a very singular feature, which is a fifth metatarsal placed just between the third and fourth metatarsals (Fig 15C). This feature was previously hardly given any attention, and very few descriptions of the proximal arrangement of metatarsals exist in the literature on basal ornithischian and ornithopods dinosaurs. Taxa such as Anabisetia saldiviai [15], Dryosaurus altus [5], Hypsilophodon foxii [28] and Heterodontosaurus tucki [95, 96] present a fifth metatarsal articulated proximally with the beveled posterior surface of distal tarsal 2, 3 or 4, depending on the taxon. The fifth metatarsal shaft is preserved mediodistally across metatarsal IV in D. altus, and across metatarsal IV to the ventral aspect of metatarsal III in the others. These differences concerning the fifth metatarsal position could be explained by a displacement due to taphonomic processes. Nevertheless, the posterior concave articulation surface observed between metatarsal III and IV in Gasparinisaura and in the Vegagete specimen makes the space to receive the fifth metatarsal. Up to now, though such a position is bizarre and rarely reported, it would appear of great interest to look at where the fifth metatarsal is located in other taxa, so we could assess or reject the taxonomical value of this character in the future. We could not reject a possible postmortem displacement of the fifth metatarsal as the result of tendons relaxing after the death of the individual. To avoid this bias, a new character (#279) has been created to deal with the presence or absence of a proximal concavity between metatarsal III and IV, whether it hosted the fifth metatarsal or not. In the Vegagete ornithopod, the proximo-medial surface of the fourth metatarsal is slightly concave to accommodate a slight convexity on the proximo-lateral surface of the third metatarsal (Fig 15C). However, from a systematic point of view, the appearance of the joint between the third and the fourth metatarsal is roughly flat in appearance, which corresponds to the primitive condition (character #278 (0)) also observed in Hypsilophodon foxii [28] and Othnielosaurus [57]. By contrast, a protrusive posteromedial process on the proximal part of the fourth metatarsal (character #278 (1)) is clearly observed in the basal iguanodontian Gasparinisaura [91], Valdosaurus [90] and Anabisetia [53]. G. amosanjuanae is similar to the Vegagete ornithopod in having the distal ligamentary fossae of the first metatarsal anteroposteriorly directed [30]. Herne [58] separately describes a similar condition in the Australian

specimen VOPC III. He states (p. 260) that "the distal end of the (first) metatarsal is likely to be plantolaterally". Consequently and though not described, the orientation of the distal ligamentary fossae of the first metatarsal are likely to be anteroposterior in this case too. *A. saldiviai* is also striking in its first pedal digit configuration [15, 53], which looks very similar to that of VOPC III. However the current state of knowledge does not allow us to be more precise. The Vegagete ornithopod still differs from *G. amosanjuanae* in that some twisting is observed distally on the first metatarsal of *G. amosanjuanae* [33], whereas the signs of such twisting have completely disappeared in the Vegagete ornithopod. It also differs from the VOPC III specimen in that the first metatarsal shaft is cylindrical in VOPC III, whereas it is plate-like in the Vegagete ornithopod.

Among iguanodonts, our taxon would be nested within the Rhabdodontomorpha. This clade was initially referred informally as "Rhabdomorpha" by Pincemaille-Quilleveré [24, 97] to group Rhabdodon, Tenontosaurus, and Muttaburrasaurus. Weishampel et al. [98] found that these three taxa form rather an unresolved polytomy with Euiguanodontia (sensu Coria and Salgado, 1996 [14]). At that time, "Rhabdodon" was used to group the French form Rhabdodon priscus [20], the Romanian form Rhabdodon robustus, and the Austrian form Mochlodon suessi. M. suessi was thought at that time to be synonymous with Rhabdodon [99]. Then, Weishampel et al. [22] created the family Rhabdodontidae, including Rhabdodon priscus from France and *Rhabdodon robustus* from Romania, the latter being renamed at the same time within the new genus Zalmoxes as Zalmoxes robustus. In recent work, Ösi et al. [18] reassessed the validity of the genus Mochlodon [51] and created the new species M. vorosi. Here, we confirm that the few similarities observed by Pincemaille [24] between Rhabdodon, Muttaburrasaurus, and Tenontosaurus are inaccurate because they were based primarily on plesiomorphic characters. Muttaburrasaurus is the basalmost member of a new monophyletic group including the Rhabdodontidae and excluding any relation with *Tenontosaurus*. The phylogeny of McDonald et al. [73] was the first to group Rhabdodontidae and Muttaburrasaurus within a clade, and we support that result. McDonald et al. [73] introduced an interesting character (#64 in this matrix) as an apparent synapomorphy for the rhabdodontomorphan dinosaurs. The anterior process of the jugal overlapping the maxilla with parallel dorsal and ventral margins is present in Muttaburrasaurus [50], Z. robustus [22] and Z. shqiperorum [23] exclusively. A low dentary tooth count (character #126 (0), fewer or equal to ten dentary teeth) also supported Rhabdodontidae [18]. This character had also been alleged to draw some rhabdodontid taxa closer with the Australian ornithopod *Qantassaurus intrepidus* [81]. By contrast, the Laurasian iguanodonts usually bear a higher dentary tooth count, including Tenontosaurus dossi [13], which bears eleven or twelve dentary teeth. Even though we do not know the dentary tooth count of Muttaburrasaurus, other taxa such as Mochlodon, Zalmoxes, Rhabdodon priscus, probably the Vegagete taxa, and Qantassaurus intrepidus bear up to ten dentary teeth. It is probable that a smaller number of dentary teeth (up to ten) could be symplesiomorphic for Rhabdodontomorpha.

The scapulae of *Muttaburrasaurus langdoni* [50], *Z. shqiperorum* [54], *Z. robustus* [22], *Mochlodon vorosi* [18], *Rhabdodon* sp.1 [21] and the Vegagete taxon all bear a short posteroventral process just above the glenoid fossa, the posterior edge of which is strongly vertically oriented (character #191 (1)). By contrast, in *T. tilleti* and *T. dossi* [13, 85], dryosaurids [5, 100] and *Camptosaurus dispar* [101], this posterior process is more divergent and turns to the horizontal posteriorly (character #191 (0)). It is not evident whether character #191 (1) is really a synapomorphy for the clade Rhabdodontomorpha, because it is also present in *Gasparinisaura* [14], *Parksosaurus* [56], *Thescelosaurus* [102], as well as in basal ornithischians such as *Lesothosaurus diagnosticus* [55].

The complete absence of a brevis shelf (character #224 (2)) was recorded only in rhabdodontomorphan dinosaurs, plus Koreanosaurus [103]. This is clearly the case, though not being described, in Z. robustus [22] and Z. shqiperorum [23] In Muttaburrasaurus langdoni, Bartholomai and Molnar [50] mention "the mesial shelf developed toward the base may disappear before reaching the posterior extremity". However, no brevis shelf was illustrated in their drawing (Fig 9C). The absence of a brevis shelf in *Muttaburrasaurus* is confirmed by Herne (pers. comm.). By contrast, a weak brevis shelf marked by a distinct step was described for Tenontosaurus tilleti, which is visible only from a medial view [87]. Muttaburrasaurus, Z. shqiperorum and Z. robustus share a dorsal margin of the preacetabular process which is transversely expanded to form a narrow shelf (character #219, (1)). Muttaburrasaurus (Herne, pers. com.), Z. shqiperorum and Z. robustus [22,23] also have an ilium mediolaterally thickened dorsally above the acetabular portion (character #222, (2), (3)). Notwithstanding, we note that the postacetabular process of *M. langdoni* thins and ends up as a sharp blade more posteriorly (character #222, (2)). In change, the dorsal thickening of the Zalmoxes ilia is maintained and propagates until the post-acetabular process where we observe a strongly everted dorsal margin [22, 23] (character #222, (3)). A dorsal thickening also seems plausible in the postacetabular process of the Vegagete ornithopod, despite its medial margin was broken (Fig 7). A strong lateral deflection of the preacetabular process of ilium is shared exclusively by Muttaburrasaurus [50], Z. shqiperorum and Z. robustus (character #217 (1)). Though ilia are not available for all of the rhabdodontomorphans and notably for the Rhabdodon species referred herein, the shape of this element seems like to be very diagnostic for this group.

Finally, in the distal extremity of the femora, the anterior protrusion of the medial condyle with respect to the lateral one (character #261) appears to be plesiomorphic for the clade Rhabdodontomorpha. This character was detected in all of the afore-mentioned rhabdodontomorphan genera, including two *Rhabdodon* species: *R. priscus* and *R.* sp.1 (Tortosa *et al.*, in progress) and the very small individual, *cf. Rhabdodon* MHNAIX-PV.2008.1.11 (Tortosa *et al.* in progress) from the neighbouring of Aix-en-Provence, (Fig 16).

We reinforce the diagnosis made by Ösi *et al.* [18] for the family Rhabdodontidae, and include the Vegagete ornithopod as a new rhabdodontid. The lateral border between the



Fig 16. Rhabdodontid femora in distal views. The four distal extremities are right (A, C) and left (B, D). Specimens are (A) *Z. robustus* NHMUK 3834; (B) *Z. shqiperorum* NHMUK R4900; (C) cf. *Rhabdodon* MHNAIX-PV.2008.1.11; (D) Vegagete ornithopod MDS-VG,134. Character #261 is shown in state (1). Scale: 1cm.

humerus' head and deltopectoral crest was found to be concave exclusively in the Vegagete ornithopod, M. vorosi, Z. shqiperorum and Z. robustus (character #198 (1)). Unfortunately, this character is unknown for Mochlodon suessi and for Rhabdodon. In the Vegagete ornithopod, the proximal head of the humerus displays a flat to smoothly convex anterior side, with no development of any bicipital sulcus (character #197 (1)). To date, this character was only appreciable in Mochlodon vorosi (Ösi et al. 2012, Fig 6G). This character is also present in Zalmoxes robustus (Fig 17). Some isolated humeri: MC-4765 and CM-45 1, [65] and a juvenile specimen MHNAIX-PV.2015.13.24 (Tortosa et al., in progress) attributed to cf. Rhabdodon confirm the presence of this character (Fig 17). Hence, we consider the absence of proximal bicipital sulcus as a new valid synapomorphy to define the Rhabdodontidae. In Muttaburrasaurus, Bartholomai and Molnar [50] mention that the anterior surface of the humerus was nearly flat proximally; however, based on the illustrations, we do not consider it flat enough to code this character as present. Therefore, this character appears to exclude Muttaburrasaurus (184 (0)) [47] from the Rhabdodontidae (184 (1)). On the other hand, we found that the bigger Laurasian iguanodonts (e.g. Dryosaurus altus, Dysalotosaurus lettowvorbecki, Tenontosaurus *tilletti* [5, 87]) and also other primitive ornithopods (e.g. *Hypsilophodon foxii* [28]) contrast in having a much deeper and irregular bicipital sulcus.

Concerning the femur, and among iguanodonts, we code for a non-pendant, crested fourth trochanter ([18] #253 (1)) only and exclusively in rhabdodontids (*Mochlodon*, *Zalmoxes*, and *Rhabdodon* sp.1 from Vitrolles). *Muttaburrasaurus* lies basally with respect to the rhabdodon-tids, and it has a pendant fourth trochanter as occurs in other Laurasian iguanodonts. Though the fourth trochanter is not preserved in the Vegagete ornithopod, we suggest that a crested,



Fig 17. Rhabdodontid humeri in proximal views. The proximal extremities are left (A, C) and right (B, D reversed). Specimens are (A) *Z. robustus* NHMUK R3814; (B) *Mochlodon vorosi* MTM-V-2010.128.1 [18]; (C) cf. *Rhabdodon* MHNAIX-PV.2015.13.24; (D) Vegagete ornithopod MDS-VG,113. Character #197 is shown in state (1). The first scale-bar is 1cm for (A-C), the second scale-bar is 1cm for (D).

non-pendant fourth trochanter could be synapomorphic for Rhabdodontidae. We find that among iguanodonts, only and exclusively the rhabdodontids diagnosable for this character–i.e. the Vegagete taxon, *Z. robustus* and *Z. shqiperorum*–have a fully open posterior intercondylar groove on the distal part of their femora (character #259 (0)). However the Rhabdodontomorpha may have borne the plesiomorphical state (1) for this character. Actually *Muttaburrasaurus* does possess a laterally inflated medial condyle posteriorly. This character should have been reversed to state (0) latter in the lineage leading to the Rhabdodontidae. The Vegagete ornithopod shares an exclusive character with *Mochlodon* (Sachs and Hornung, 2005; Ösi *et al.* 2012), which is the presence of a deep muscle insertion on the posterolabial side of the mandibule (# 127 (1)). This character is not observed in the latter two rhabdodontids genera, i.e. *Zalmoxes* (Godefroit *et al.* 2009; Weishampel *et al.* 2003) and *Rhabdodon priscus* (Matheron, 1869).

Rhabdodontidae origin and palaeobiogeography

Within Rhabdodontidae, the Vegagete taxon is more similar to the Campano-Maastrichtian Rhabdodon septimanicus [52] than to any Maastrichtian Transylvanian rhabdodontids (S1 Table) [22, 23], in bearing a tooth row that is curved but not medially offset, and therefore aligned to the coronoid process posteriorly (character #122 (0)). This character could not be safely inferred from the upper Campanian R. priscus and R. sp.1., because in these specimens the tooth row seems parallel with the lateral border of the dentary, which is a condition that differs categorically from that observed in all other rhabdodontids. An interesting discovery [104] concerns a mandibular tooth in the Campanian from Romania. No prominent secondary ridges were observed on this tooth. This was explained as a consequence of the erosion of the enameled surface. However, there remains a possibility that this absence of enamel did not completely mask the morphology of the underlying secondary ridges, so that this specimen would in fact be a great deal closer to the Vegagete ornithopod. Within Rhabdodontidae, the dentary teeth of the Vegagete ornithopod are more similar to those of the Campanian Rhabdodon from Laño [19] in that they bear only very few secondary ridges on either side of the very developed primary ridge, which do not reach the base of the crown. Hence, the Vegagete taxon from Lower Cretaceous is the most primitive representative of the European endemic family of Rhabdodontidae [105]. European teeth support the idea of an Early Cretaceous radiation of European rhabdodontids, which would have then evolved in Europe and through the rest of the Cretaceous into many lineages.

Out of Europe, the Vegagete taxon shares much morphology with *Muttaburrasaurus*, and all of the related slender forms of ornithopods already described in Australia [58]. These multiple affinities strongly support a Gondwanan origin of Rhabdodontidae. Notwithstanding, a better understanding of the phylogenetic relationships and systematics of these Southern hemisphere ornithopods is required to refine this statement.

This is not the first time that faunal affinities have been reported between Gondwanan and Western European faunas during the Early Cretaceous. We could cite: 1) the closely related rebbachisaurids *Demandasaurus* from Spain and *Nigersaurus* from Niger [45, 106–108]; 2) the closely related dryosaurids *Valdosaurus* from England and *Elrhazosaurus* from Niger [34, 90]; 3) the baryonychine theropods from Europe and North Africa [109–111]; and 4) the abelisaurid theropod *Genusaurus* from southeastern France [112–114]. The Spanish theropod *Concavenator* and the Nigerian Eocarcharia were found to form a clade at the base of Carcharodontosauridae [115]. As a whole, this faunal similarity points out to a land connection called "the Apulian Route", implying an active migration of taxa in the Upper Barremian between the southernmost European archipelago and Gondwana [106].

Conclusions

The ornithopod of Vegagete is a primitive iguanodontian that possesses a remarkable combination of characters, changing our ideas on some previously accepted basal iguanodontian symplesiomorphies, such as the presence of premaxillary teeth. This character was repeatedly lost in at least two lineages: the one leading to Ankylopollexia through *Tenontosaurus*, and the other one leading to Rhabdodontidae. Contrary to what was previously thought, the original radiation of rhabdodontids occurred deep in the past. The discovery of the Vegagete ornithopod pulls the origin of Rhabdodontidae back to the Upper Barremian of Spain. It exemplifies the fact that much of rhabdodontid diversity could still be obscured in the fossil record. The Rhabdodontidae are rooted in a much wider Gondwanan clade that we define here as the new clade Rhabdodontomorpha.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Matrix of characters. The first row corresponds to the actual character number. The second row corresponds to the previous reference of that character with its corresponding number. MD = McDonald *et al.* 2010; O = Ösi *et al.* 2012; Br = Brown *et al.* 2013; Bd = Boyd, 2015. (XLSX)

S2 Table. Bibliography used for coding and/or emending each taxon's character. (XLS)

S1 Text. New character list used in the present phylogenetical analysis. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

This paper is part of the collaboration between the "Colectivo Arqueológico y Paleontológico Salense, CAS", the "Museo de los Dinosaurios de Salas de los Infantes" and Zaragoza University. It is partially subsidized by the project CGL2014-53548-P of the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, the European Regional Development Fund, the European Social Fund, and the Government of Aragón ("Grupos Consolidados"). The fieldwork was financed by the "Dirección General de Patrimonio de la Junta de Castilla y León" and the "Fundación para el estudio de los dinosaurios de Castilla y León". We are very grateful to the Museo de Dinosaurios de Salas de los Infantes for their kind welcome and for permitting the access and study of the material from Vegagete. We are very grateful to the Museum d'Histoire Naturelle de Marseille (Sylvie Pichard and Stéphane Jouves), and the Museum d'Histoire Naturelle d'Aix-en-Provence (Gilles Cheylan and Yves Dutour) for the access and the help brought on their material and collections. We are very also as much grateful to the British Museum of Natural History (Sandra Chapman, Paul Barrett) for kindly sending photos of the views required of the Zalmoxes specimens, which resulted in discoveries absolutely essential to the aim of this article in the first steps of its construction. We thank Luis Angel Izquierdo (Museo de Dinosaurios de Salas de los Infantes), Miguel Moreno and José Manuel Gasca (Zaragoza University), Matthew Herne (University of Queensland) for providing us extremely valuable help as well as useful commentaries and information. For their part, the Rhabdodon discoveries from Provence were largely possible thanks to the financial support of VINCI autoroutes company (Christian Caye, Philippe Laroche, and Samuel Maurice), and thanks to the valuable help of Frederic Pauvarel. Hans Larsson and Rupert Glasgow reviewed and edited the English of this paper.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: PED FTFB JIC. Performed the experiments: PED TT. Analyzed the data: PED TT IDM. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: PED TT IDM. Wrote the paper: PED TT FTFB JIC IDM. Discovery and inventory of the material: FTFB. Reconstruction of the foot: PED IDM. Construction of the new matrix: PED TT.

References

- 1. Seeley HG (1887) On the classification of the fossil animals commonly named Dinosauria. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London 43:165–71.
- 2. Romer AS (1956) Osteology of the Reptiles. Chicago, Ilinois: The University of Chicago Press. 772 p.
- 3. Thulborn RA (1971) Origin and evolution of ornithischian dinosaurs. Nature 234:75-8.
- 4. Sereno PC (1986) Phylogeny of the bird-hipped dinosaurs (Order Ornithischia). National Geographic Research 2:234–56.
- 5. Galton PM (1981) *Dryosaurus*, a hypsilophodontid dinosaur from the Upper Jurassic of North America and Africa; postcranial skeleton. Palaeontologische Zeitschrift 55(3–4):271–312.
- 6. Buchholz P. W. 2002. Phylogeny and biogeography of basal Ornithischia; pp. 18–34 in Brown D. E. (ed.), The Mesozoic in Wyoming. Tate Geological Museum, Casper College, Casper, Wyoming.
- 7. Butler RJ, Upchurch P, Norman DB (2008) The phylogeny of the ornithischian dinosaurs. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 6(1):1–40.
- 8. Boyd C. A. (2015). The systematic relationships and biogeographic history of ornithischian dinosaurs. PeerJ, 3, 1–62.
- Ruiz-Omeñaca J. I., Pereda Suberbiola, X., & Galton P. M. (2007). *Callovosaurus leedsi*, the earliest dryosaurid dinosaur (Ornithischia: Euornithopoda) from the Middle Jurassic of England. Horns and Beaks: Ceratopsian and Ornithopod Dinosaurs. Indiana University Press, Bloomington, 3–16.
- 10. Dodson P (1980) Comparative osteology of the American ornithopods *Camptosaurus* and *Tenonto-saurus*. Memoires de La Société Géologique de France 59(139):81–5.
- 11. Weishampel DB (1984) The evolution of jaw mechanisms in ornithopod dinosaurs. Advances in Anatomy, Embryology and Cell Biology 87(1–110).
- 12. Milner AR, Norman DB (1984) The biogeography of advanced ornithopod dinosaurs (Archosauria: Ornithischia)–a cladistic-vicariance model. In: Reif W-E, Westphal F, editors. Third Symposium on Mesozoic Terrestrial Ecosystems; Tubingen, West Germany: Tubingen University Press.
- 13. Winkler DA, Murry PA, Jacobs LL (1997) A new species of *Tenontosaurus* (Dinosauria; Ornithopoda) from the Early Cretaceous of Texas. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 17(2):330–48.
- 14. Coria RA, Salgado L (1996) A basal iguanodontian (Ornithischia, Ornithopoda) from the Late Cretaceous of South America. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 16(3):445–57.
- Coria RA, Calvo JO (2002) A new iguanodontian ornithopod from Neuquen basin, Patagonia, Argentina. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 22(3):503–9.
- Novas FE, Cambiaso AV, Ambrosio A (2004) A new basal iguanodontian (Dinosauria, Ornithischia) from the Upper Cretaceous of Patagonia. Ameghiniana 41(1):75–85.
- Calvo J, Porfiri J, Novas F (2007) Discovery of a new ornithopod dinosaur from the Portezuelo Formation (Upper Cretaceous), Neuquén, Patagonia, Argentina. Arquivos Do Museu Nacional 65(4):471– 83.
- Ősi A, Prondvai E, Butler R, Weishampel DB (2012) Phylogeny, histology and inferred body size evolution in a new rhabdodontid dinosaur from the Late Cretaceous of Hungary. PLoS ONE 7(9):1–44.
- 19. Pereda Suberbiola X, Sanz JL (1999) The ornithopod dinosaur *Rhabdodon* from the Upper Cretaceous of Laño (Iberian Peninsula). Est Mus Cienc Nat de Alava. 14. p. 257–72.
- Matheron P (1869) Notice sur les reptiles fossiles des dépots fluvio-lacustres crétacés du bassin à lignite de Fuveau. Mémoire de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences, Belles-Lettres et Arts de Marseille:345–79.
- 21. Pincemaille-Quillevere M (2002) Description d'un squelette partiel de *Rhabdodon priscus* (Euornithopoda) du Crétacé supérieur de Vitrolles (Bouches du Rhône, France). Oryctos 4:39–70.
- **22.** Weishampel DB, Jianu C-M, Csiki Z, Norman DB (2003) Osteology and phylogeny of *Zalmoxes* (n. g.), an unusual euornithopod dinosaur from the latest Cretaceous of Romania. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 1(2):65–123.

- Godefroit P, Codrea V, Weishampel DB (2009) Osteology of Zalmoxes shqiperorum (Dinosauria, Ornithopoda), based on new specimens from the Upper Cretaceous of Nălaţ-Vad (Romania). Geodiversitas 31 (3):525–53.
- 24. Pincemaille M (1997) Un Ornithipode (Ornithopode) du Crétacé supérieur de Vitrolles (Bouces du Rhône) *Rhabdodon Priscus*. Montpellier, France: Université Montpellier II.
- Ruiz-Omeñaca JI (2001) Dinosaurios hipsilofodontidos (Ornithischia: Ornithopoda) en la Peninsula Iberica. Actas de las I Jornadas Internacionales sobre Paleontologia de Dinosaurios y su entornourgos (entorno. Burgos); Salas de los Infantes.
- 26. Sereno PC. Stem Archosauria—TaxonSearch 2005 [updated version 1.0, 2005 November 7]. Available from: URL http://www.taxonsearch.org/dev/file_home.php.
- 27. Parks WA (1922) *Parasaurolophus walkeri*, a new genus and species of crested trachodont dinosaur. University of Toronto Studies (Geological Series) 13:1–32.
- Galton PM (1974) The ornithischian dinosaur *Hypsilophodon* from the Wealden of the Isle of Wight. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Geology Series 25(1):3–152.
- 29. Torres JA, Viera LI (1994) *Hypsilophodon foxii* (Reptilia, Ornithischia) en el Cretácico Inferior de Igea (La Rioja, Espana). Munibe 46:3–41.
- Ruiz-Omeñaca JI, Canudo JI, Cuenca-Bescós G, Cruzado-Caballero P, Gasca JM, Moreno-Azanza M (2012) A new basal ornithopod dinosaur from the Barremian of Galve, Spain. Comptes Rendus Palevol 11:435–44.
- Ruiz-Omeñaca JI, Canudo JI, Cuenca-Bescós G (1996) Dientes de dinosaurios (Ornitischia, Saurischia) del Barremiense superior (Cretácico inferior) de Vallipón (Castellote, Teruel). Mas de las Matas 15:59–103.
- Néraudeau D, Allain R, Ballevre M, Batten DJ, Buffetaut E, Colin JP, et al. (2012) The Hauterivian-Barremian lignitic bone bed of Angeac (Charente, south-west France): stratigraphical, palaeobiological and palaeogeographical implications. Cretaceous Research 37(1–14).
- 33. Ruiz-Omeñaca JI (2006) Restos directos de dinosaurios (Saurischia, Ornithischia) en el Barremiense (Cretácico Inferior) de la Cordillera Ibérica en Aragón (Teruel, España) [PhD thesis]: Universidad de Zaragoza.
- Galton PM (2009) Notes on Neocomian (Lower Cretaceous) ornithopod dinosaurs from England— Hypsilophodon, Valdosaurus, "Camptosaurus", "Iguanodon"—and referred specimens from Romania and elsewhere. Revue de Paléobiologie 28(1):211–73.
- 35. Torcida Fernandez-Baldor F, Ruiz-Omeñaca JI, Izquierdo Montero LA, Montero Huerta D, Perez Martinez G, Huerta Hurtado P, et al. (2005) Dientes de un enigmático dinosaurio ornitópodo en el Cretácico inferior de Burgos (España). Revista Española de Paleontología X:73–81.
- 36. Canudo JI, Gasca JM, Badiola A, Cruzado-Caballero P, Gómez-Fernández D, Moreno-Azanza M, et al. (2010) La Cantalera: An exceptional window onto the vertebrate biodiversity of the Hauterivian-Barremian transition in the Iberian Peninsula. Journal of Iberian Geology 36(2):205–24.
- 37. Fukui T (1965) On some parasitic copepods of Japan. Researches on Crustacea (2:):60-6.
- 38. Fuentes Vidarte C, Meijide Calvo M (2001) Presencia de un grupo de juveniles de Hypsilophodon cf. foxii (Dinosauria, Ornithopoda) en el Weald de Salas de los Infantes (Burgos, Esp). In: Salas CA, editor. Actas de las I Jornadas Internacionales sobre Paleontologia de Dinosaurios y su entorno; Burgos, Spain.
- Izquierdo Montero LA, Torcida Fernandez-Baldor F, I. R-OJ, D. MH, P. MG, P. HH, et al. (2005) Restos de un pequeño ornitópodo en Burgos (España). III Trobada de Joves Investigadors en Paleontologia; St. Cornelli (Barcelona).
- 40. Mas JR, Alonso A, Guimerá J (1993) Evolución tectonosedimentaria de una cuenca extensional intraplaca: La cuenca finijuráscia-eocretácica de Los Cameros (La Rioja-Soria). Revista de la Sociedad Geologica de España 6:129–44.
- Beuther A (1966) Geologische Untersuchungen in Wealden und Utrillas im Westteil der Sierra de los Cameros (nordwestliche Iberische Ketten, Spanien). Beihefte geologisches Jahrbuch 44:103–22.
- **42.** Martin-Closas C, Alonso Millan A (1998) Estratigrafia y bioestratigrafia (Charophyta) del Cretacico inferior en el sector occidental de la cuenca de Cameros (Cordillera Iberica). Revista de la Sociedad Geológica de España 11(3–4):253–69.
- **43.** Schudack U, Schudack M (2009) Ostracod biostratigraphy in the Lower Cretaceous of the Iberian chain (eastern Spain). Journal of Iberian Geology 35(2):141–68.
- 44. Houssaye A, Rage J-C, Torcida Fernández-Baldor F, Huerta P, Bardet N, Pereda Suberbiola X (2013) A new varanoid squamate from the Early Cretaceous (Barremian–Aptian) of Burgos, Spain. Cretaceous Research 41:127–35.

- **45.** Torcida Fernandez-Baldor F, Canudo JI, Huerta P, Montero D, Pereda Suberbiola X, Salgado L (2011) *Demandasaurus darwini*, a new rebbachisaurid sauropod from the Early Cretaceous of the Iberian Peninsula. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 56(3):535–52
- **46.** Owen R (1842) Report on British fossil reptiles, part. II. Report of the British Association for the Advancement of Science 11:60–294.
- **47.** Cooper MR (1985) A revision of the ornithischian dinosaur *Kangnasaurus coetzeei* Haughton, with a classification of the Ornithischia. Annals of the South African Museum 95:281–317.
- Marsh OC (1881) Principal characters of American Jurassic dinosaurs. American Journal of Science 21:417–23.
- Dollo L (1888) Iguanodontidae et Camptonotidae. Comptes rendus de l'Académie des sciences de Paris 106:775–7.
- Bartholomai A, Molnar RE (1981) Muttaburrasaurus, a new iguanodontid (Ornithischia: Ornithopoda) dinosaur from the Lower Cretaceous of Queensland. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 20 (2):319–49.
- 51. Bunzel E (1871) Die Reptilfauna der Gosauformation in der Neuen Welt bei Wiener-Neustadt. Abhandlungen der Kaiserlich-Königlichen Geologischen Reichsanstalt 5:1–18.
- Buffetaut E, Le Loeuff J (1991) Une nouvelle espèce de *Rhabdodon* (Dinosauria, Ornithischia) du Crétacé supérieur de l'Hérault (Sud de la France). Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Série 2 312(8):943–8.
- Cambiaso AV (2007) Los ornitópodos e iguanodontes basales (Dinosauria, Ornithischia) del Cretácico de Argentina y Antártida [PhD Thesis]: Universidad de Buenos Aires.
- Codrea VA, Godefroit P (2008) New Late Cretaceous dinosaur findings from northwestern Transylvania (Romania). Comptes Rendus Palevol 7(5):289–95.
- **55.** Thulborn RA (1972) The postcranial skeleton of the Triassic ornithischian dinosaur *Fabrosaurus australis*. Palaeontology 15(1):29–60.
- Parks WA (1926) Thescelosaurus warreni, a new species of orthopodous dinosaur from the Edmonton formation of Alberta. University of Toronto Studies, Geological Series 21:1–42.
- Galton PM, Jensen JA (1973) Skeleton of a Hypsilophodontid Dinosaur (*Nanosaurus* (?) rex) from the Upper Jurassic of Utah. Brigham Young University Research Studies, Geology Series 20, part 4 (4):137–57.
- Herne MC (2013) Anatomy, systematics and phylogenetic relationships of the Early Cretaceous ornithopod dinosaurs of the Australian-Antarctic rift system [PhD Thesis]: University of Tasmania, Murdoch University.
- Schachner ER, Manning PL, Dodson P (2011) Pelvic and hindlimb myology of the basal archosaur *Poposaurus gracilis* (Archosauria: Poposauroidea). Journal of Morphology 272:1464–91. doi: <u>10.</u> 1002/jmor.10997 PMID: 21800358
- **60.** Dilkes DW (2000) Appendicular myology of the hadrosaurian dinosaur *Maiasaura peeblesorum* from the Late Cretaceous (Campanian) of Montana. Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Earth Sciences 90(2):87–125.
- Carrano MT, Hutchinson JR (2002) Pelvic and hindlimb musculature of *Tyrannosaurus rex* (Dinosauria: Theropoda). Journal of Morphology 253(3):207–28. PMID: <u>12125061</u>
- 62. Butler RJ, Liyong J, Jun C, Godefroit P (2011) The postcranial osteology and phylogenetic position of the small ornithischian dinosaur *Changchunsaurus parvus* from the Quantou Formation (Cretaceous, Aptian-Cenomanian) of Jilin Province, north-eastern China. Palaeontology 54(3):667–83.
- **63.** Ruiz-Omeñaca JI (1996) Los dinosaurios hipsilofodontidos (Reptilia: Ornithischia) del Cretácico Inferior de Galve (Teruel) [Bc Thesis]: Universidad de Zaragoza.
- 64. Díaz-Martínez JI (2013) Icnitas de dinosaurios bípedos de La Rioja (Cuenca de Cameros, Cretácico Inferior): icnotaxonomía y aplicación paleobiológica [PhD Thesis]: Universidad de La Rioja.
- **65.** Chanthasit P (2010) The ornithopod dinosaur *Rhabdodon* from the Late Cretaceous of France: anatomy, systematics and paleobiology [PhD thesis]: Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1.
- Heinrich RE, Ruff CB, Weishampel DB (1993) Femoral ontogeny and locomotor biomechanics of Dryosaurus lettowvorbecki (Dinosauria, Iguanodontia). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 108:179–96.
- Moreno K, Carrano MT, Snyder R (2007) Morphological changes in pedal phalanges through ornithopod dinosaur evolution: A biomechanical approach. Journal of Morphology 268:50–63. PMID: <u>17146773</u>

- **68.** Rich TH and Vickers-Rich P (1989) Polar dinosaurs and biotas of the Early Cretaceous of southeastern Australia. National Geographic Research 5 (1): 15–53.
- **69.** Rich TH and Vickers-Rich P (1999) The Hypsilophodontidae from southeastern Australia. National Science Museum Monographs, (15), 167–180.
- **70.** Foster JR, Chure DJ (2006) Hindlimb allometry in the Late Jurassic theropod dinosaur *Allosaurus*, with comments on its abundance and distribution. New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin 36:119–22.
- 71. Piechowski R, Tałanda M, Dzik J (2014) Skeletal variation and ontogeny of the Late Triassic dinosauriform *Silesaurus opolensis*. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 34(6):1383–93.
- 72. Brown CM, Evans DC, Ryan MJ, Russell AP (2013) New data on the diversity and abundance of small-bodied ornithopods (Dinosauria, Ornithischia) from the Belly River Group (Campanian) of Alberta. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 33(3):495–520.
- McDonald AT, Kirkland JI, DeBlieux DD, Madsen SK, Cavin J, Milner ARC, et al. (2010) New basal iguanodonts from the Cedar Mountain Formation of Utah and the evolution of thumb-spiked dinosaurs. PLoS ONE 5(11):1–35.
- 74. Goloboff PA, Farris JS, Nixon K (2008) TNT, a free program for phylogenetic analysis. Cladistic 24:774–86.
- Knoll F, Padian K, de Ricqlès A (2010) Ontogenetic change and adult body size of the early ornithischian dinosaur *Lesothosaurus diagnosticus*: Implications for basal ornithischian taxonomy. Gondwana Research 17(1):171–9.
- 76. Gradstein F, Ogg J, Schmitz M, Ogg G (2012) The geologic time scale 2012: Elsevier Science Ltd. 1176 p.
- 77. Peng G (1992) Jurassic Ornithopod *Agilisaurus louderbacki* (Ornithopoda: Fabrosauridae) from Zigong, Sichuan, China. Vertebrata PalAsiatica 30(1):39–51.
- Scheetz RD (1999) Osteology of Orodromeus makelai and the phylogeny of basal ornithopod dinosaurs [PhD Thesis]: Montana State University-Bozeman.
- Barrett PM, Butler RJ, Mundil R, Scheyer TM, Irmis RB, Sanchez-Villagra MR (2014) A palaeoequatorial ornithischian and new constraints on early dinosaur diversification. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 281:20141147. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2014.1147 PMID: 25100698
- **80.** Santa Luca AP (1980) The postcranial skeleton of *Heterodontosaurus tucki* (Reptilia, Ornithischia) from the Stormberg of South Africa. The Annals of the South African Museum 79(7):159–211.
- Agnolin FL, Ezcurra MD, Pais DF, Salisbury SW (2010) A reappraisal of the Cretaceous non-avian dinosaur faunas from Australia and New Zealand: evidence for their Gondwanan affinities. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology 8:257–300.
- 82. Boyd CA (2014) The cranial anatomy of the neornithischian dinosaur *Thescelosaurus neglectus*. PeerJ 2:e669. doi: 10.7717/peerj.669 PMID: 25405076
- **83.** Galton PM (1983) The cranial anatomy of *Dryosaurus*, a hypsilophodontid dinosaur from the Upper Jurassic of North America and East Africa, with a review of hypsilophodontids from the Upper Jurassic of North America Geologica et Palaeontologica 17:207–43.
- Galton PM (1974) Notes on *Thescelosaurus*, a conservative ornithopod dinosaur from the Upper Cretaceous of North America, with comments on ornithopod classification. Journal of Paleontology 48 (5):1048–67.
- Brown CM, Druckenmiller P (2011) Basal ornithopod (Dinosauria: Ornithischia) teeth from the Prince Creek Formation (early Maastrichtian) of Alaska. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 48(9):1342– 54.
- 86. Winkler DA, Jacobs LL, Branch JR, Murry PA, Downs WR, Trudel P (1988) The Proctor Lake dinosaur locality, Lower Cretaceous of Texas. Hunteria 2(5):1–8.
- Forster CA (1990) The postcranial skeleton of the ornithopod dinosaur *Tenontosaurus tilletti*. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 10(3):273–94.
- **88.** Norman DB (1986) On the anatomy of *Iguanodon atherfieldensis* (Ornithischia: Ornithopoda). Bulletin de l'Institut Royal Des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique Sciences de La Terre 56:281–372.
- Taquet P (1976) Geologie et paleontologie du gisement de Gadoufaoua (Aptien du Niger). Cahier de Paleontologie:1–191.
- **90.** Barrett PM, Butler RJ, Twitchett RJ, Hutt S (2011) New material of *Valdosaurus canaliculatus* (Ornithischia, Ornithopoda) from the Lower Cretaceous of southern England. Special Papers in Palaeontology 86:131–63.

- Salgado L, Coria RA, Heredia SE (1997) New materials of *Gasparinisaura cincosaltensis* (Ornithischia, Ornithopoda) from the Upper Cretaceous of Argentina. Journal of Paleontology 71 (5):933–40.
- Norman DB (1980) On the ornithischian dinosaur Iguanodon Bernissartensis from the Lower Cretaceous of Bernissart (Belgium). London: Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique. 1–104 p.
- Molnar RE (1996) Observations on the australian ornithopod dinosaur, *Muttaburrasaurus*. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 39(3):639–52.
- Martínez RD (1998) Notohypsilophodon comodorensis gen. et sp. nov. Un Hypsilophodontidae (Ornithischia: Ornithopoda) del Cretacico Superior de Chubut, Patagonia central, Argentina. Acta Geologica Leopoldensia XXI(46/47):119–35.
- Sereno PC (2012) Taxonomy, morphology, masticatory function and phylogeny of heterodontosaurid dinosaurs. ZooKeys 226:1–225. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.223.2840 PMID: 23166462
- **96.** Galton PM (2014) Notes on the postcranial anatomy of the heterodontosaurid dinosaur *Heterodontosaurus tucki*, a basal ornithischian from the Lower Jurassic of South Africa, 33 (1), 97–141. Revue de Paléobiologie 33(1):97–141.
- **97.** Pincemaille M (1999) Discovery of a skeleton of *Rhabdodon priscus* (Ornithopoda, Dinosauria) in the Upper Cretaceous of Vitrolles (Bouches du Rhône, France) IV European Workshop on Vertebrate Palaeontology; Albarracín.
- Weishampel DB, Jianu C-M, Csiki Z, Norman DB (1998) *Rhabdodon*, an unusual euornithopod dinosaur from the Late Cretaceous of western Romania. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 18(Supplement to Number 3):85A.
- Nopcsa F (1915) Die dinosaurier der Siebenburgischen landesteile Ungarns. Mitt Jahr königl Ungarisch geol Reichs Vol. 23:1–16.
- Janensch W (1955) Der Ornithopode Dysalotosaurus der Tendaguruschichten. Palaeontographica 7 (3):105–76.
- Gilmore CW (1909) Osteology of the Jurassic reptile *Camptosaurus*: with a revision of the species of the genus, and description of two new species. Proceedings of the United States National Museum, 36(197–332).
- Gilmore CW (1915) Osteology of *Thescelosaurus*, an orthopodous dinosaur from the Lance formation of Wyoming. Proceedings of the United States National Museum, 49:591–616.
- 103. Huh M, Lee D-G, Kim J-K, Lim J-D, Godefroit P (2010) A new basal ornithopod dinosaur from the Upper Cretaceous of South Korea. Neues Jahrbuch Fuer Geologie Und Palaeontologie Abhandlungen 259(1):1–24.
- 104. Grigorescu D, Hartenberger J-L, Radulescu C, Samson P, Sudre J (1985) Découverte de Mammifères et Dinosaures dans le Crétacé supérieur de Pui (Roumanie). Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris Série 2 301(19):1365–8.
- **105.** Pereda Suberbiola X (2009) Biogeographical affinities of Late Cretaceous continental tetrapods of Europe: a review. Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France 180(1):57–71.
- 106. Canudo JI, Barco JL, Pereda-Suberbiola X, Ruiz-Omenaca JI, Salgado L, Torcida Fernandez-Baldor F, et al. (2009) What Iberian dinosaurs reveal about the bridge said to exist between Gondwana and Laurasia in the Early Cretaceous. Bulletin de la Société Géologique de France 180(1):5–11.
- 107. Fanti F., Cau A., Cantelli L., Hassine M., & Auditore M. (2015). New information on *Tataouinea hannibalis* from the Early Cretaceous of Tunisia and implications for the Tempo and Mode of Rebbachisaurid Sauropod Evolution. PLoS ONE, 10 (4).
- 108. Wilson J. A., & Allain R. (2015). Osteology of *Rebbachisaurus garasbae* Lavocat, 1954, a diplodocoid (Dinosauria, Sauropoda) from the early Late Cretaceous–aged Kem Kem beds of southeastern Morocco. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, 35 (4).
- 109. Canudo JI, Gasulla JM, Ortega F (2008) Primera evidencia de dientes aislados atribuidos a Spinosauridae (Theropoda) en el Aptiano inferior (Cretácico Inferior) de Europa: Formación Arcillas de Morella (España). Ameghiniana 45(4):649–62.
- Mateus O., Araujo R., Natario C., & Castanhinha R. (2011). A new specimen of the theropod dinosaur Baryonyx from the early Cretaceous of Portugal and taxonomic validity of Suchosaurus. Zootaxa, 2827 (5).
- 111. Allain R., Xaisanavong T., Richir P., & Khentavong B. (2012). The first definitive Asian spinosaurid (Dinosauria: Theropoda) from the early cretaceous of Laos. Naturwissenschaften, 99 (5), 369–377.
- 112. Accarie H, Beaudoin B, Dejax J, Friès G, Michard J-G, Taquet P (1995) Découverte d'un Dinosaure Théropode nouveau (*Genusaurus sisteronis* n. g., n. sp.) dans l'Albien marin de Sisteron (Alpes de Haute-Provence, France) et extension au Crétacé inférieur de la lignée cératosaurienne. Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Série II A 320:327–34.

- **113.** Tortosa T, Buffetaut E, Vialle N, Dutour Y, Turini E, Cheylan G (2014) A new abelisaurid dinosaur from the Late Cretaceous of southern France: Palaeobiogeographical implications. Annales de Paléontologie 100(1):63–86.
- 114. Filippi L. S., Méndez A. H., Valieri R. D. J., & Garrido A. C. (2016). A new brachyrostran with hypertrophied axial structures reveals an unexpected radiation of latest Cretaceous abelisaurids. Cretaceous Research, 61, 209–219.
- 115. Carrano M.T., Benson R.B.J. & Sampson S.D. (2012): The phylogeny of Tetanurae (Dinosauria: Theropoda).–Journal of Systematic Palaeontology, 10 (2): 211–300.