# Peer

# A new ornithurine from the Early Cretaceous of China sheds light on the evolution of early ecological and cranial diversity in birds

Jiandong Huang<sup>1</sup>, Xia Wang<sup>2</sup>, Yuanchao Hu<sup>1</sup>, Jia Liu<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer A. Peteya<sup>3</sup> and Julia A. Clarke<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Research, Anhui Geological Museum, Anhui, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Geological Sciences, Jackson School of Geoscience, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, United States

<sup>3</sup> Department of Biology & Integrated BioScience Program, University of Akron, Akron, OH, United States

#### ABSTRACT

Despite the increasing number of exceptional feathered fossils discovered in the Late Jurassic and Cretaceous of northeastern China, representatives of Ornithurae, a clade that includes comparatively-close relatives of crown clade Aves (extant birds) and that clade, are still comparatively rare. Here, we report a new ornithurine species Changzuiornis ahgmi from the Early Cretaceous Jiufotang Formation. The new species shows an extremely elongate rostrum so far unknown in basal ornithurines and changes our understanding of the evolution of aspects of extant avian ecology and cranial evolution. Most of this elongate rostrum in Changzuiornis ahgmi is made up of maxilla, a characteristic not present in the avian crown clade in which most of the rostrum and nearly the entire facial margin is made up by premaxilla. The only other avialans known to exhibit an elongate rostrum with the facial margin comprised primarily of maxilla are derived ornithurines previously placed phylogenetically as among the closest outgroups to the avian crown clade as well as one derived enantiornithine clade. We find that, consistent with a proposed developmental shift in cranial ontogeny late in avialan evolution, this elongate rostrum is achieved through elongation of the maxilla while the premaxilla remains only a small part of rostral length. Thus, only in Late Cretaceous ornithurine taxa does the premaxilla begin to play a larger role. The rostral and postcranial proportions of *Changzuiornis* suggest an ecology not previously reported in Ornithurae; the only other species with an elongate rostrum are two marine Late Cretacous taxa interpreted as showing a derived picivorous diet.

**Subjects** Biodiversity, Paleontology, Zoology **Keywords** Avialae, Fossil, Ontogeny, Ornithurae, Jehol Biota

#### INTRODUCTION

So far more than 16 species of ornithurine birds have been reported from an array of localities of the Early Cretaceous of northern China, Jehol Biota (Fig. 1) Only six are (i.e., *Yanornis martini, Gansus yumenensis, Hongshanornis longicresta, Archaeorhynchus spathula, Iteravis huchzermeyeri* and *Gansus zheni*) represented by multiple specimens.

Submitted 19 June 2015 Accepted 15 February 2016 Published 15 March 2016

Corresponding authors Xia Wang, wangxia8383@gmail.com Julia A. Clarke, julia\_clarke@jsg.utexas.edu

Academic editor John Hutchinson


Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 18

DOI 10.7717/peerj.1765

Copyright 2016 Huang et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS



**Figure 1** Distribution of Ornithurae birds from Early Cretaceous of China. So far more than 16 species of ornithurine birds have been reported from multiple locations of the Early Cretaceous of northern China, Jehol Biota (i.e., *Songlingornis linghensis, Chaoyangia beishanensis, Yanornis martini, Yixianornis grabaui, Gansus yumenensis, Hongshanornis longicresta, Archaeorhynchus spathula, Longicrusavis houi, Parahongshanornis chaoyangensis, Tianyuornis cheni, Archaeornithura meemannae, Jianchangornis microdonta, Schizooura lii, Piscivoravis lii, Iteravis huchzermeyeri, Gansus zheni, Xinghaiornis lini; Hou, 1997; Zhou & Zhang, 2001; Zhou & Zhang, 2005; Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006; You et al., 2006; Zhou & Zhang, 2006; Zhou, Zhang & Li, 2009; O'Connor, Gao & Chiappe, 2010; Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2012; Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Chiappe et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014; Zheng et al., 2014; Zhou, O'Connor & Wang, 2014a; Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2014b; Wang et al., 2015).* 

The majority of taxa are known from the Jiufotang Formation  $(122.1 \pm 0.3 \text{ Ma}, Chang et al., 2009)$  of Western Liaoning Province, China with Archaeorhynchus is known from both the older Yixian Formation as well as the Jiufotang Formation. Archaeornithura meemannae is the oldest taxon in the Hongshanornithidae; it is known from the Huajiying Formation (*Wang et al., 2015*). Most Chinese ornithurines have been proposed to be volant and semi-aquatic, with Gansus yumenensis, known from the Xiagou Formation (early Aptian, Suarez et al., 2013), proposed to likely represent a foot-propelled diver (*You et al., 2006; Nudds et al., 2013*). Some more basal taxa placed outside of Ornithurae (e.g., Jianchangornis microdonta and Archaeorhynchus spathula), have been proposed to have occupied fully terrestrial niches (*Zhou, Zhang & Li, 2009; Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2013*). However, despite the ever increasing number of avialan specimens discovered from China, Early Cretaceous birds were found to be substantially impoverished in ecology (*Mitchell & Makovicky, 2014*).

Known diversity in rostral shape of these Jehol taxa has also been limited; the majority have relatively short rostra and the only other birds with an elongate rostrum proposed to be part of Ornithurae are *Xinghaiornis lini, Juehuaornis zhangi* and *Dingavis longimaxilla* (*Wang et al., 2013; Wang, Wang & Hu, 2015; O'Connor, Wang & Hu, 2016*). Here, we describe and evaluate the phylogenetic position of a new ornithurine species with an elongate rostrum and gastroliths from a relatively new locality (Sihedang), Early Cretaceous, Jiufotang Formation of Liaoning Province. This species contributes to our understanding of Mesozoic avialan cranial diversity and evolution.

The electronic version of this article in Portable Document Format (PDF) will represent a published work according to the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN), and hence the new names contained in the electronic version are effectively published under that Code from the electronic edition alone. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix "http://zoobank.org/". The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:DE31419A-CFC4-40BC-A6C9-91B0FED3D967. The online version of this work is archived and available from the following digital repositories: PeerJ, PubMed Central and CLOCKSS.

#### SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

Aves Linnaeus, 1758

Ornithurae Haeckel, 1866 sensu Gauthier & De Queiroz, 2001

Changzuiornis ahgmi gen. et sp. nov.

Holotype specimen. A nearly-complete skeleton with feather impressions (Fig. 2; AGB5840; "AGB" refers to the Anhui Gushengwu Bowugan in pinyin, or Anhui Paleontological Museum, which is the Anhui Geological Museum). The skeleton is preserved primarily in lateral view. Parts of the pectoral and pelvic girdles are partially disarticulated as are some of the manual phalanges and caudal vertebrae.


**Locality and horizon**. Sihedang locality, Lingyuan City, western Liaoning Province, China. Jiufotang Formation, Early Cretaceous (Aptian; *Chang et al., 2009*).

**Etymology**: The genus name derives from Chinese pinyin "Changzui" referencing the long beak and Greek word "ornis-" for bird; and the species name refers to Anhui Geological Museum (AHGM) where the holotype specimen is housed.

#### Diagnosis

The placement of *Changzuiornis ahgmi* within the clade Ornithurae is supported by seven unambiguously optimized synapomorphies (listed in the Phylogenetic Analysis section below). It diagnosed by a combination of morphologies not seen in other described ornithurines: The rostrum is elongate, comprising greater than 60% of the total skull

## **Peer**J



**Figure 2** Photograph of the Holotype *Changzuiornis ahgm* (AGB5840). Anatomical abbreviations: co, coracoid; cv, cervical vertebra; f, feathers; fe, femur; fu, furcula; ga, gastrolith; hu, humerus; il, ilium; ins, internarial septum; ios, interorbital septum; mcI–III, metacarpals I–III; pd I–IV, pedal digits I–IV; phI-1, the first phalanx of digit I; phI-2, the second phalanx of digit I; phII-1, the first phalanx of digit I; phI-2, the second phalanx of digit I; phII-1, the first phalanx of digit II; pu, pubis; py, pygostyle; ra, radius; rad, radiale; ri, rib; sc, scapula; sk, skull; ti, tibiotarsus; tm, tarsometatarsus; tv, thoracic vertebra; ul, ulna; uln, ulnare. Numbers (1, 2) show the locations of SEM imaging of feather remains. Insets show melanosome morphologies from the two sample locations.

length. Most of this elongate rostrum is made up of maxilla, a characteristic not present in the avian crown clade in which most of the rostrum and nearly the entire facial margin is made up by premaxilla. The only other avialans known to exhibit an elongate rostrum with the facial margin comprised primarily of maxilla are *Xinghaiornis*, *Juehuaornis and Dingavis* and derived Late Cretaceous ornithurines previously placed phylogenetically as among the closest outgroups to the avian crown clade (i.e., *Ichthyornis*, hesperornithine taxa). It differentiated from *Xinghaiornis* by its much smaller size, many tiny teeth on the lower jaw, U-shaped furcula, metacarpal III sub-equal to metacarpal II in distal extent, a carpometacarpus with both proximal and distal fusion, and a tarsometatarsus that is completely fused. The skull is about 15% longer in *Changzuiornis* and *Juehuaornis* compared to *Dingavis* (Table S1). In addition, the scapula is proportionally longer in *Changzuiornis, Juehuaornis* and *Dingavis* have a manual phalanx II:2 shorter than manual phalanx II:1 while in *Changzuiornis* this phalanx is longer (Table S1). The new species is differentiated from Hesperornithes and *Ichthyornis* by significantly smaller teeth with less recurved crowns, the presence of a distinct dorsal process or "forking" of the posterior dentary, and the presence of a pubic symphysis. It is additionally differentiated from *Ichthyornis* by robust and more abbreviate furcular rami, a narrower sternal margin of the coracoid, and a significantly more elongate scapular acromion. While if new data shows that *Xinghaiornis, Juehuaornis and Dingavis* form a clade that constitutes the same genus, the genus name *Juehuaornis* would have priority for *Dingavis longimaxilla* and *Changzuiornis ahgmi*.

#### DESCRIPTION


#### Skull

The skull (Fig. 3) is preserved in right lateral view. The rostrum is elongate (48 mm), comprising  $\sim$ 68% of the total skull length. It is longer than those reported in the only other longrostrum Jehol taxa, the longipterygid enantironithines, *Longipteryx* (64%), *Rapaxavis pani* (65%), *Longirostravis* (60–64%) and *Shanweiniao* (62%), and comparable in proportions to those of extant woodcocks. The dorsal processes of the premaxillae are not fused to each other posteriorly (Fig. 4). While the right dorsal process is missing, the left one visibly extends posteriorly to contact the frontal. The posterior tip of the facial margin of the left premaxilla is missing while the articulating tip of the maxilla is visible and sharply tapered rostrally (Fig. 4). From the length of the exposed maxilla, the premaxilla comprised less than one half of the facial margin (rostrum), a condition also seen in enantiornithines with long rostra (e.g., *Longirostravis* and *Shanweiniao*; *Hou et al.*, 2004; O'Connor et al., 2009).

The right nasal is laterally exposed with a descending process that lies adjacent to a small dorsal process of the maxilla (Fig. 4). The nasals appear not to have contacted along the dorsal midline but were likely separated by the frontal processes of the premaxillae as seen in *Confuciusornis* (*Chiappe et al., 1999*; Fig. 3) or underlay parts of these processes. However, the right nasal does not appear preserved in life position. The external nares are elongate and relatively narrow. A thin vertical sheet-like element visible in the narial region extends the length of the external nares and is interpreted as an internarial septum (Figs. 3 and 4). The preservation of an internarial septum in *Changzuiornis* is the first known occurrence in a Mesozoic bird. A similar sheet like element is visible below several the preserved scleral ossicles, consistent with at least a partial interorbital septum formed by the mesethmoid; Fig. 4). A mesethmoid is present in an array of basal avialans including *Confucisuornis* and ornithurines such as *Yixianornis* as well as Enantiornithes (e.g., *Longipteryx haoyangensis* and *Schizooura lii; Zhou & Zhang, 2001; Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006; Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2012*).

Parts of the rostral jugal and posterior-most quadratojugal are preserved. Just dorsal to the small rostral portion of the jugal (preserved at its contact with the maxilla), the poorly


### **Peer**J



**Figure 3** Skull of *Changzuiornis ahgm*. Anatomical abbreviations: bh, basihyal; ce, ceratobranchial; de, dentary; fp, frontal process; fpc, frontals and premaxillae contacting area; fr, frontal; ins, internarial septum; la, lacrimal; ma, maxilla; na, nasal; pa, parietal; pd, predentary; pm, premaxillae; q, quadrate. Inset showing the tiny teeth preserved on dentary.

preserved remnants of the right lacrimal are visible (Fig. 3). The quadrate is poorly preserved but shows an arcuate posterior margin and a relatively elongate orbital process (Fig. 3). The frontoparietal suture appears to have been open (Fig. 3). The posterior portion of the skull is severely crushed. A small roughly *t*-shaped element preserved nearly the posterior margin of the orbit could represent a remnant of a postorbital; however, this cannot be ascertained with confidence and a postorbital is currently unknown in Ornithurae (*Martin, 2011*).

The mandibles are relatively straight and taper anteriorly. A predentary bone lies in front of left mandibular ramus (Fig. 3). Such a structure has also been reported in *Hongshanornis*. *Ichthyornis, Hesperornis,* and *Parahesperornis* and an array of other ornithurine birds (e.g., *Zhou & Zhang, 2005*). The dentary is forked posteriorly whereas the posterior dentary lacks a dorsal process in *Ichthyornis* and Hesperornithes (Fig. 4). At least three large mental foramina are visible in a shallow groove near the dorsal margin of the rostral dentary. The mandible is obscured by partly crushing posterior to the dentary.



**Figure 4** Close-up of the skull of *Changzuiornis ahgm*. Anatomical abbreviations: atm, articulating tip of the maxilla; dpm, dorsal process of the maxilla; en, external nares; fd, forked dentary; fp, frontal process; fpc, frontals and premaxillae contacting area; ins, internarial septum; ios, interorbital septum; lfp, left frontal process; so, scleral ossicles.

Both premaxillae are completely edentulous, unlike those in the enantiornithines *Longipteryx, Rapaxavis, Longirostravis* and *Shanweiniao* (*O'Connor & Chiappe, 2011*). Approximately seven tiny, narrow, pointed and unserrated loose teeth are exposed closely between the maxilla and dentary (Fig. 3). One appears to be in situ, indicating an association with the dentary. No teeth seem preserved on the tip of dentary. Small neurovascular foramina are visible on the dorsal surface of the premaxilla consistent with the presence of a rhamphotheca (e.g., *Chiappe et al., 1999*).

Parts of the hyoid elements are preserved. Two recurved ceratobranchials are preserved with breakage separating their rostral-most tips from the rest of their preserved length. These rostral tips lie close to the right mandible (Fig. 3). A small, isolated element preserved below their tips may comprise a very small, ossified basihyal (Fig. 3: bh).


#### Vertebral column


The entire cervical series is preserved. The anterior cervicals are visibly heteroceolic while posterior-most cervicals do not appear heterocoelic, but are poorly exposed. The mid-series (fifth–eighth) cervical vertebrae are elongate. Elongate costal processes are present on the second through eighth vertebrae of the eleven or twelve vertebrae in the series (Fig. 2). The thoracic series is obscured by the right scapula. However, approximately ten thoracic vertebrae are discernable with large lateral fenestrae (Fig. 2) and amphiplatyan centra articulations. The neural spines are craniocaudally elongate. The sacrum is badly crushed, and no morphologies can be discerned. Only the approximate outlines of several free caudal vertebrae are visible while the pygostyle is clearly exposed. It is strongly mediolaterally compressed and short, approximately two anterior caudal vertebrate in length (Fig. 2).

#### Pectoral girdle and limb

The right coracoid is exposed in ventral view (Fig. 2). The left coracoid is obscured by the furcula and the right humerus. A large flange-like procoracoid process and an abbreviated lateral process are present (Fig. 5). The furcula is U-shaped and relatively thin; a furcular apophysis appears to be absent. The omal tips of the rami are severely crushed. The left scapula, lying under the furcula and several ribs, preserves an elongate and hook-shaped acromion process (Fig. 5). The posterior ends of both scapulae are missing. However, their preserved length approaches that of the humeri. The sternum is not visible. Approximately five large gastroliths (~30 mm in diameter; Fig. 2) are visible in the abdominal region, between the coracoid and the posterior thoracic vertebrae. A small quantity of fine grit is intermixed with these larger stones. Unfortunately, a textured depression just posterior to the five preserved stone may indicate a larger quantity of such stones were present but lost during collection or early preparation.


Both humeri are exposed in anterior view (Figs. 2 and 5), and are just slightly shorter than the ulnae. The dorsally-directed deltopectoral crest is recurved and projected approximately equal to shaft width (Fig. 5). It extends distally for just greater than 1/3 of the total humeral length and then grades gently into the shaft. The head is weakly globose, and a small m. acrocoracohumeralis ligament scar is visible. On the distal humerus, the dorsal condyle is more elongate than the ventral. The radii are narrower than the slightly-bowed ulnae. Few





morphologies of the proximal or distal radii and ulnae are visible. The radiale is larger than the ulnare, and the ulnare appears differentiated into distinct dorsal and ventral rami.

The right carpometacarpus is exposed in dorsal view and the left, in ventral view. The metacarpals are fused proximally and distally. Metacarpal III is significantly narrower than metacarpal II. It is straight and closely appressed to metacarpal II. On the left carpometacarpus, a small piciform process is visible (Fig. 6). Metacarpal I bears a very weakly-projected extensor process (Fig. 6); its anterior margin is nearly straight. Digit I:1 extends over the  $\frac{1}{2}$  length of metacarpus and bears a small claw. Manual digit II:1 is approximately the same length as digit II:2. The posterior margin of digit II:1 is strongly compressed dorsoventrally, and digit II:2 shows a distinct fossa that in crown clade Aves is the attachment site for the leading edge primary feather (Fig. 6; *Hieronymus, 2015*). An impression of a small digit II ungual is preserved on the left side. It is only weakly recurved. Phalanx III:1 is not visible.




**Figure 6 Carpometacarpus of** *Changzuiornis ahgm.* Anatomical abbreviations: ep, extensor process; fo, fossa; im, impression; mcI–III, metacarpals I–III; phI-1, the first phalanx of digit I; phI-2, the second phalanx of digit I; phII-1, the first phalanx of digit II; phII-2, the second phalanx of digit II; phII-3, the third phalanx of digit II; pip, piciform process; ra, radius; ul, ulna.

#### Pelvic girdle and limb

Most of the partially-disarticulated pelvic girdle is obscured by crushing. The rod-like pubes (Figs. 2 and 7) have separated from the rest of the pelvic elements and preserve a relatively elongate symphysis. Their distal ends are not visibly expanded. The femur is notably shorter than the tarsometatarsus (Table 1) and its shaft is straight. The trochanteric crest is weakly projected proximally. The attachment of the capital ligament is indicated by a distinct notch on the lateral surface of the proximal femur (Fig. 7). The left tibia preserves a slightly proximally-projected anterior cnemial crest (Fig. 7). The morphology of the distal condyles is poorly preserved, but they appear fused to the tibia and are visibly separated by an intercondylar incisure (Fig. 7). The distal tarsals are fused to the metatarsals.

The tarsometatarsus is mediolaterally compressed. A prominent midline m. tibialis cranialis tubercle is visible on the proximodorsal left tarsometatarsus (Fig. 7). The j-shaped metatarsal I is well-exposed in articulation with a short hallux; pedal digit I:1 is longer than the small ungual, I:2. Pedal phalanges are preserved in association with both tarsometatarsi. They are narrow with deep flexor pits and only weakly recurved unguals. In all digits



**Figure 7** Pelvic girdle of *Changzuiornis ahgm*. Anatomical abbreviations: cc, cnemial crest; fcl, fovea for capital ligament; fe, femur; pu, pubis; sy, symphysis; tc, trochanteric crest; tct, tibialis cranialis tubercle; ti, tibiotarsus; tm, tarsometatarsus.

Table 1 Measurements of the new specimens referred to Changzuiornis angmi (AGB5840) (in cm) L/R.			
Premaxilla length along facial margin	3.22	Phalanx II:3	0.36
Dentary length, total	5.48	Phalanx III:1	-
Rostrum length (from the tip of premaxilla to frontal and premaxilla contact)	4.80	Ilium length total	3.04
Dentary dorsoventral height at anterior tip	0.11	Ilium preacetabular	1.43
Cervical vertebra average length	0.71	Ischium length	3.84 <sup>a</sup>
Sacrum length	3.3 <sup>a</sup>	Pubis length	3.43
Sternum length on midline	-	Pubis average shaft diameter	0.21
Scapula maximum length	4.64R	Pubis symphysis length	1.35
Coracoid height	2.38R	Pelvic limb	
Coracoid sternal margin length	-	Femur maximum length	2.94R
Coracoidal lateral process length	1.19R	midshaft width	0.35
Furcula: length clavicular ramus	1.67	Tibia maximum length, not including cnemial crest	5.37/5.40
Humerus maximum length	5.04/5.01	Tarsometatarsus maximum length	3.60/3.61
Radius length	5.06/4.86	Pedal phalanx I:1 length	0.72R
Radius midshaft width	0.25/0.23	Pedal phalanx II:1	0.95/0.87
Ulna length	5.2/5.36	Pedal phalanx II:2	1.07/0.99
Ulna midshaft width	0.4/0.36	Pedal phalanx III:1	0.89/0.71
Carpometacarpus maximum length	3.01/3.13	Pedal phalanx III:2	0.84/0.68
Metacarpal I length	0.54/0.51	Pedal phalanx III:3	0.59ª/0.63
Metacarpal III width	0.14/0.14	Pedal phalanx IV:1	0.77/0.74
Metacarpal II width	0.22/0.32	Pedal phalanx IV:2	0.72/0.71
Manual phalanx I:1	1.17	Pedal phalanx IV:3	0.54/0.60
Manual Phalanx I:2	0.54R	Pedal phalanx IV:4	0.54/0.65
Manual Phalanx II:1	1.29/1.16	Remiges: maximum length distal primaries (9 & 8?)	15.36
Manual Phalanx II:2	1.44/1.26		

Notes.

<sup>a</sup>Estimated. L, Left; R, Right.

> (Fig. 2), the unguals are shorter than their penultimate phalanges. The flexor tubercles on the unguals are proximally located, unlike the more distally located tubercles used to infer the presence of webbing in Gansus yumenensis (You et al., 2006). In digit II, the second phalanx slightly exceeds the first in length. Both non-terminal phalanges of this digit are the longest of the pedal digits. In digit three, the penultimate phalanx is slightly shorter than the more proximal phalanges. By contrast, in the fourth digit, the penultimate phalanx is slightly longer than the more proximal phalanges.

#### **Feathers**

Feather remains are poorly preserved (Fig. 2). Remnants of body contour feathers are associated with the posterior cranium and the cervical area as well as near wing and leg elements. In addition, several primary feathers are associated with both forelimbs. Traces of rachis and barbs are distinguishable only in certain distal regions of these feathers, which are otherwise preserved as grey-white impressions. One primary feather associated with

left manual digit II:1 is approximately 106 mm in length. The rachis of this asymmetrically veined feather is discernable. The lengths of the rest of the preserved remiges are impossible to determine with confidence. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) results show that wing and leg/tail feather samples contain melanosome molds that are highly aligned, closely spaced and elongate in shape (Fig. 2). Their aspect ratio (length:width ratio:2.29–4.99) is typical of eumelanosomes seen in black feathers (*Vinther et al., 2009; Li et al., 2010; Clarke et al., 2010*).

#### Maturity


The following anatomical features present the holotype specimen have been proposed to indicate an adult at death (*Forster et al., 1998*; *Xu & Norell, 2004*; *Turner et al., 2007*; *Gao et al., 2012*; *Godefroit et al., 2013*): (i) the texture of the bones is regular and well ossified bearing articular facets and muscular scars (e.g., proximal and distal ends of the coracoid, the humerus, the ulna, the pubis and the femur); (ii) the frontals are fused to each other; (iii) cervical ribs and cervical vertebrae co-ossified to enclose transverse foramina; (iv) distal carpals coosified with metacarpals II and III; (v) metatarsals II, III and IV fused throughout their length.


#### **PHYLOGENETIC ANLYSIS**

We investigated the phylogenetic position of Changzuiornis using a dataset of 220 morphological characters modified from that of Li et al. (2014). This dataset (Appendixces S1 and S2) was revised by modifying and ordering one character (relative length of pedal digits; character 217; Appendix S1) and adding Gansus yumenensis. All analyses were performed using PAUP 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2003). Heuristic searches were used given the size of the taxonomic sample (40 ingroup and outgroup taxa). Three thousand replicates of random stepwise addition (branch swapping: tree-bisection-reconnection) were performed holding only one tree at each step. Branches were collapsed to create polytomies if the minimum branch length was equal to zero. One thousand bootstrap replicates with ten random stepwise addition heuristic searches per replicate were also performed with the same settings as in the primary analysis. Bootstrap support for those nodes recovered in greater than 50 percent of the 500 replicates performed and Bremer support values are reported to the right of the node to which they apply (Format: Bootstrap/Bremer in Fig. 8). Bremer support values were calculated by iterative searches for suboptimal trees in PAUP 4.0b10 using the same heuristic search strategy as the primary analysis. 15 most parsimonious trees (MPTs) were recovered (L = 585, CI = 0.50, RI = 0.79, RC = 0.40; PIC only).

The strict consensus tree (Fig. 8) recovers *Changzuiornis ahgmi* within Ornithurae closer to Aves than the clade formed by *Yixianornis*, *Songlingornis* and *Yanornis* but basal to *Gansus yumenensis* and the clade *Gansus zheni* + *Iteravis*. The later clade is supported by one unambiguous synapomorphy, a reversal (170:0, distal end of pubes expanded or flared). The placement of *Changzuiornis ahgmi* within Ornithurae is supported by seven unambiguously optimized synapomorphies (numbers refer to characters and states listed in Appendix S2): 9:1, nasal process of premaxilla long, closely approaching frontal; 87:1,

**Peer**J





coracoid, procoracoid process present; 140:3, semilunate carpal and metacarpals complete proximal and distal fusion; 142:1, metacarpal III, anteroposterior diameter as a percent of same dimension of metacarpal II less than 50%; 151:1, manual digit II, phalanx 1 strongly dorsoventrally compressed, flat caudal surface; 192:1, metatarsal III proximally displaced plantarly, relative to metatarsals II and IV; 218:1, hallux, claw to phalanx proportions, 1:1, shorter. Unlike previous studies (e.g., Clarke & Norell, 2002; Clarke, 2004; Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006; Zhou, Clarke & Zhang, 2008; O'Connor, Gao & Chiappe, 2010), Apsaravis is placed more closely to Aves than Ichthyornis, which is supported by six unambiguous synapomorphies (4:1, dentary teeth absent; 6:1, dentaries joined by a bony symphysis; 52:2, cervical vertebrae with heterocoelous anterior and posterior centra; 204:1, metatarsal II shorter than metatarsal IV, but reaching distally farther than the base of the metatarsal IV trochlea; 212:1, over half of the glenoid facet lies omal to the cotyla in lateral view). The skull characters (especially characters of the dentition) are missing data in Gansus yumenensis, which may influence this optimization. Indeed, when we remove Gansus yumenensis from the phylogenetic analysis, Apsaravis is placed as basal to Ichthyornis + Hesperornithes as in all previous analyses (e.g., Clarke, 2004; Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006; Zhou, Clarke & Zhang, 2008; O'Connor, Gao & Chiappe, 2010; Zhou, Zhou & O'Connor, 2012; Li et al., 2014). Apsaravis shares loss of teeth with Aves, a highly homoplastic character in Avialae, but lacks features seen in *Ichthyornis* and Aves that have a high consistency index including a hypotarsus with grooves and ridges and a medial coracoidal margin that is flat to convex rather than concave with a midline groove otherwise seen in Enantiornithes and more basal avialans (Clarke & Norell, 2002; Clarke, 2004). Hesperornithes, Ichthyornis and Aves also show a deep medial extensor groove on the tibiotarsus absent in Apsaravis (Clarke & Norell, 2002) and an array of other derived states. What is clear is that with the discovery of further diversity in Ornithurae, known homoplasy is also increasing similar to the situation in basal paravian relationships (e.g., Xu et al., 2011).

#### **DISCUSSION**

Jehol Biota continues to reveal important new data on the evolution of morphology in the transition from bipedial terrestrial dinosaurs to volant forms. While character systems tied to locomotor mode have received the most scrutiny, some recent work has begun to address what other changes in ecology and morphology shift as part with this transition, including the gross morphology of the brain (e.g., *Balanoff, Bever & Norell, 2014; Wang, Wang & Hu, 2015; O'Connor, Wang & Hu, 2016*) and cranial shape and ontogeny (*Bhullar et al., 2012*).

The presence of feeding ecologies novel for Dinosauria may be expected to be seen within Avialae enabled by evolution of their novel locomotor mode. However, a recent study by using skeletal morphology to predict ecology in both living and extinct birds proposed that the ecological diversity of Cretaceous birds is anomalously low in the Jehol ecosystem and dominated by ground-foraging granivores/insectivores, similar to sparrows or pigeons (*Mitchell & Makovicky, 2014*). While within living birds, there is enormous diversity in skull shape so far in Mesozoic taxa most diversity has been in dentition (*Li et al., 2014*),

which could be considered one explanation for the low estimates of ecological diversity. Dentition shows complex trends in Avialae including patterns of loss or reduction and evolution of dental morphologies not present in more basal dinosaurs. *Changzuiornis* adds to this known diversity in dentition. The teeth in *Changzuiornis* apprear limited to the more posterior portion of dentary, a condition not seen in other birds. Evolution of tooth loss in this clade is proposed to start in the rostral-most part of the premaxillae (*Clarke, Zhou & Zhang, 2006; Louchart & Viriot, 2011*). However, there is significant diversity making optimization of ancestral traits largely ambiguous; different dental patterns are distributed as follows: (1) edentulous premaxillary tip (e.g., *Yanornis, Yixianornis*); (2) edentulous premaxilla (e.g., *Hesperornis, Ichthyornis, Gansus zheni, Iteravis,* and *Hongshanornis*); (3) edentulous upper jaw (e.g., *Jianchangornis*); (4) edentulous upper jaw and rostral dentary (e.g., *Changzuiornis*); (5) fully edentulous (e.g., *Apsaravis, Archaeorhynchus, Schizooura*).

In marked contrast with dentition, so far known diversity in rostral shape in Jehol taxa has been limited (Li et al., 2014; Wang, Wang & Hu, 2015; O'Connor, Wang & Hu, 2016); Five distinct taxa within ornithurine birds (i.e., Changzuiornis, Juehuaornis, Dingavis, Hesperornithes, Ichthyornis) show elongate rostri along with one enantiornithurine clade (Longiptervidae; Fig. 4). This rostral shape is rare even in more basal maniraptoran dinosaurs. A developmental explanation of some of these data has been proposed (Bhullar et al., 2012; Bhullar et al., 2015). Mesozoic birds have plesiomorphic rostral morphologies not seen in extant birds including premaxillae restricted to the tip or cranial half of the facial margin. Recent studies propose peramorphosis in formation of the distinctive elongate avian beak (comprised primarily of premaxilla) phylogenetically between Confuciusornis and Yixianornis. This shift was hypothesized to be linked to the evolution of the beak into a precise grasping mechanism following increasing specialization of the forelimbs for flight (Bhullar et al., 2012). Supporting the idea of a developmental constraint persisting into basal Ornithurae on rostral development, all Mesozoic taxa with elongate rostra, including Changzuiornis, Dingavis accomplish this elongation through elongation of the maxilla and not the premaxilla (Fig. 4; O'Connor, Wang & Hu, 2016). Given the age and phylogenetic position of the ornithurines that show this morphology, the proposed change in development must occur in the Late Cretaceous, close to the timing of origin for the radiation of all extant birds. The fact that the elongate maxillae were not present in more derived taxa implies that in Aves this skull configuration provided less structural stability (O'Connor, Wang & Hu, 2016).

The structure and function of the extant avian rostrum in grasping is closely tied to cranial kinesis or zones of mobility or flexure (*Bock, 1964*; *Zusi, 1984*). Data from *Changzuiornis* may inform our spotty understanding of this transition. Small vascular foramina (nutrition foramina) are visible on the dorsal surface of the premaxilla, indicating that the anterior margin of the upper jaw was covered by a keratinous sheath or bill. However, as indicated by the comparatively broad nasals, the position of the holorhinal nostril (terminating anterior to the premaxilla/frontal contact), and the loss of teeth in the upper jaw, we think rhynchokinesis, the significant proximal and/or distal rostral flexure seen in many extant birds (e.g., cranes, rails, shorebirds, swifts and hummingbirds) may not have been possible (*Bock, 1964; Zusi, 1984; Bout & Zweers, 2001; Estrella & Masero, 2007*).

Prokinesis, in which the upper jaw pivots only at a narrow and well defined craniofacial hinge is widespread in extant birds including galloanserines and has been considered primitive for at least the group including Hesperornithiformes, *Ichthyornis* and Aves given the morphology of the premaxillae-nasofrontal contact in those taxa (Bühler, Martin & Witmer, 1988; Witmer, 1995). By contrast, the skull of Confuciusornis has been estimated to be only marginally kinetic or akinetic; it exhibits a relatively indistinct naso-frontal zone located approximately on the rostral edge of the cranial vault above part of the orbit (Chiappe et al., 1999; Fig. 4). Unlike Confuciusornis, but similar to Ichthyornis, Hesperornis and extant prokinetic taxa, in Changzuiornis the area of contact between the frontals and premaxillae lies anterior to the orbit and is demarcated by zone of dorsal concavity (Fig. 4). The development of an internarial septum in the new species could intuitively limit any more rostral bending (Zusi, 1984). However, the presence of an internarial septum in extant Palaeognthae which exhibit an apparently derived form of rynchokinesis problematizes any simple inference for or against further more rostral flexure (Zusi, 1984; Gussekloo & *Bout*, 2005). We propose that a form of kinesis may date to at least the common ancestor of Changzuiornis and Aves. However we caution that the rostrum of all of these basal taxa is not comprised of only a single bone element but has a prominent juncture in the middle of the facial margin between the small premaxilla and large maxilla that may be expected to affect bending. If Hesperornithes and Ichthyornis show a form of prokinesis, as previously proposed, then kinetic demands related to increased grasping function may predate or potentially drive the developmental shift observed.

#### Conclusions

With its combination of a long and slender bill, proximally-projected cnemial crests, relative long tibiotarsus, and small pedal unguals, *Changzuiornis* represents a distinct departure from other known Jehol birds and contributes to our understanding of morphological and ecological diversity. That highly specialized species usually occur in low numbers (*Julliard, Jiguet & Couvet, 2004*; *Şekercioğlu, Daily & Ehrlich, 2004*) in a given fauna, maybe one explanation for comparative paucity of previously known diversity in rostral morphology in the Jehol Biota. At the same time, Mesozoic avialans maybe be subject to developmental constraints on rostral shape (*Bhullar et al., 2012*) and most diversity in feeding ecology is reflected in diversity in dentition. If so, inferences of feeding ecology primarily from rostral shape in Mesozoic birds should be approached with caution (*Li et al., 2014*). *Changzuiornis* constrains further when this shift in rostral development (*Bhullar et al., 2012*; *Bhullar et al., 2015*) may have occurred. So far it appears to be a Late Cretaceous phenomenon that arose close to the timing of crown clade origin and as such should be further investigated as a possible key innovation in their remarkable radiation. Increased kinesis, possibly as a form of prokinesis, is estimated to predate this developmental shift.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Zhiheng Li for help with the data matrix and Anjan Bhullar, Arhat Abzhanov, and Zack Morris for discussion. The comments of two anonymous referees improved the manuscript.

#### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

#### Funding

This research is supported by the Special Scientific Research Fund of the Non-Profit Sector Project of China (Grant No 201511054) and the US National Science Foundation (NSF EAR 1251922 to J.A.C.). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### **Grant Disclosures**

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: Special Scientific Research Fund of the Non-Profit Sector Project of China: 201511054. US National Science Foundation: NSF EAR 1251922.

#### **Competing Interests**

Julia A. Clarke serves as an academic editor for PeerJ.

#### **Author Contributions**

- Jiandong Huang, Yuanchao Hu and Jia Liu contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools.
- Xia Wang and Julia A. Clarke conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Jennifer A. Peteya analyzed the data.

#### **Data Availability**

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

Some raw data (measurements) has been provided in Table S1 and the Supplemental Information.

#### **New Species Registration**

The following information was supplied regarding the registration of a newly described species:

Name of the new taxon: Changzuiornis ahgmi sp.nov.

LSID:urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:DE31419A-CFC4-40BC-A6C9-91B0FED3D967.

#### **Supplemental Information**

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.1765#supplemental-information.

#### REFERENCES

- Balanoff AM, Bever G, Norell MA. 2014. Reconsidering the avian nature of the oviraptorosaur brain (Dinosauria: Theropoda). *PLoS ONE* 9:e113559 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0113559.
- Bhullar B-AS, Marugán-Lobón J, Racimo F, Bever GS, Rowe TB, Norell MA, Abzhanov A. 2012. Birds have paedomorphic dinosaur skulls. *Nature* 487:223–226 DOI 10.1038/nature11146.

- Bhullar B-AS, Morris ZS, Sefton EM, Tok A, Tokita M, Namkoong B, Camacho J, Burnham DA, Abzhanov A. 2015. A molecular mechanism for the origin of a key evolutionary innovation, the bird beak and palate, revealed by an integrative approach to major transitions in vertebrate history. *Evolution* **69**:1665–1677 DOI 10.1111/evo.12684.
- Bock WJ. 1964. Kinetics of the avian skull. *Journal of Morphology* 114:1–41 DOI 10.1002/jmor.1051140102.
- Bout RG, Zweers GA. 2001. The role of cranial kinesis in birds. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* 131(1):197–205 DOI 10.1016/S1095-6433(01)00470-6.
- Bühler P, Martin LD, Witmer LM. 1988. Cranial kinesis in the Late Cretaceous birds *Hesperornis* and *Parahesperornis*. *The Auk* 105(1):111–122.
- Chang S-C, Zhang H, Renne PR, Fang Y. 2009. High-precision 40 Ar/39 Ar age for the Jehol Biota. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 280:94–104 DOI 10.1016/j.palaeo.2009.06.021.
- **Chiappe LM, Ji SA, Ji Q, Norell MA. 1999.** Anatomy and systematics of the Confuciusornithidae (Theropoda, Aves) from the late Mesozoic of northeastern China. *Bulletin of the AMNH* **242**:1–89.
- Chiappe LM, Zhao B, O'Connor JK, Chunling G, Wang X, Habib M, Marugan-Lobon J, Meng Q, Cheng X. 2014. A new specimen of the Early Cretaceous bird *Hongshanornis longicresta*: insights into the aerodynamics and diet of a basal ornithuromorph. *PeerJ* 2:e234 DOI 10.7717/peerj.234.
- Clarke JA. 2004. Morphology, phylogenetic taxonomy, and systematics of *Ichthyornis* and *Apatornis* (Avialae: Ornithurae). *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 286:1–179 DOI 10.1206/0003-0090(2004)286<0001:MPTASO>2.0.CO;2.
- Clarke JA, Norell MA. 2002. The morphology and phylogenetic position of *Apsaravis ukhaana* from the Late Cretaceous of Mongolia. *American Museum Novitates* 3387:1–46 DOI 10.1206/0003-0082(2002)387<0001:TMAPPO>2.0.CO;2.
- Clarke JA, Zhou Z, Zhang F. 2006. Insight into the evolution of avian flight from a new clade of Early Cretaceous ornithurines from China and the morphology of *Yixianor-nis grabaui*. *Journal of Anatomy* 208:287–308 DOI 10.1111/j.1469-7580.2006.00534.x.
- Clarke JA, Ksepka DT, Salas-Gismondi R, Altamirano AJ, Shawkey MD, D'Alba L, Baby P. 2010. Fossil evidence for evolution of the shape and color of penguin feathers. *Science* 330(6006):954–957 DOI 10.1126/science.1193604.
- **Estrella SM, Masero JA. 2007.** The use of distal rhynchokinesis by birds feeding in water. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **210**(21):3757–3762 DOI 10.1242/jeb.007690.
- Forster CA, Sampson SD, Chiappe LM, Krause DW. 1998. The theropod ancestry of birds: new evidence from the Late Cretaceous of Madagascar. *Science* 279:1915–1919 DOI 10.1126/science.279.5358.1915.
- Gao C, Chiappe LM, Zhang F, Pomeroy DL, Shen C, Chinsamy A, Walsh MO. 2012. A subadult specimen of the Early Cretaceous bird *Sapeornis chaoyangensis* and a taxonomic reassessment of sapeornithids. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 32:1103–1112 DOI 10.1080/02724634.2012.693865.

- **Gauthier J, De Queiroz K. 2001.** Feathered dinosaurs, flying dinosaurs, crown dinosaurs, and the name Aves. In: Gauthier J, Gall LF, eds. *New perspectives on the origin and early evolution of birds*. New Haven: Peabody Museum of Natural History, Yale University, 7–41.
- **Godefroit P, Demuynck H, Dyke G, Hu D, Escuillie F, Claeys P. 2013.** Reduced plumage and flight ability of a new Jurassic paravian theropod from China. *Nature Communications* **4**:Article 1394 DOI 10.1038/ncomms2389.
- Gussekloo SW, Bout RG. 2005. The kinematics of feeding and drinking in palaeognathous birds in relation to cranial morphology. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 208(17):3395–3407 DOI 10.1242/jeb.01769.
- Haeckel EH. 1866. Generelle morphologie der organismen. Berlin: Georg Reimer, 462.
- Hieronymus Tobin L. 2015. Qualitative skeletal correlates of wing shape in extant birds (Aves: Neoaves). *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 15:30 DOI 10.1186/s12862-015-0303-7.
- Hou L. 1997. *Mesozoic birds of China*. Taiwan, Nan Tou: Taiwan Provincial Feng Huang Ku Bird Park, i0003–0082.
- Hou L, Chiappe LM, Zhang F, Chuong C-M. 2004. New Early Cretaceous fossil from China documents a novel trophic specialization for Mesozoic birds. *Naturwis-senschaften* 91:22–25 DOI 10.1007/s00114-003-0489-1.
- Julliard R, Jiguet F, Couvet D. 2004. Common birds facing global changes: what makes a species at risk? *Global Change Biology* 10(1):148–154 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2003.00723.x.
- Li Q, Gao KQ, Vinther J, Shawkey MD, Clarke JA, D'alba L, Prum RO. 2010. Plumage color patterns of an extinct dinosaur. *Science* **327**(**5971**):1369–1372 DOI 10.1126/science.1186290.
- Liu D, Chiappe LM, Zhang Y, Bell A, Meng Q, Ji Q, Wang X. 2014. An advanced, new long-legged bird from the Early Cretaceous of the Jehol Group (northeastern China): insights into the temporal divergence of modern birds. *Zootaxa* 3884:253–266 DOI 10.11646/zootaxa.3884.3.4.
- Li Z, Zhou Z, Wang M, Clarke JA. 2014. A new specimen of large-bodied basal enantiornithine *Bohaiornis* from the Early Cretaceous of China and the inference of feeding ecology in Mesozoic birds. *Journal of Paleontology* **88**:99–108 DOI 10.1666/13-052.
- Louchart A, Viriot L. 2011. From snout to beak: the loss of teeth in birds. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 26:663–673 DOI 10.1016/j.tree.2011.09.004.
- Martin LD. 2011. The other half of avian evolution: Cyril Walker's contribution. *Journal* of Systematic Palaeontology 9(1):3–8 DOI 10.1080/14772019.2010.512617.
- Mitchell JS, Makovicky PJ. 2014. Low ecological disparity in Early Cretaceous birds. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 281: 20140608.
- Nudds R, Atterholt J, Wang X, You HL, Dyke G. 2013. Locomotory abilities and habitat of the Cretaceous bird *Gansus yumenensis* inferred from limb length proportions. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 26:150–154 DOI 10.1111/jeb.12036.
- O'Connor JK, Gao K-Q, Chiappe LM. 2010. A new ornithuromorph (Aves: Ornithothoraces) bird from the Jehol Group indicative of higher-level diversity. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 30:311–321 DOI 10.1080/02724631003617498.

- O'Connor JK, Chiappe LM. 2011. A revision of enantiornithine (Aves: Ornithothoraces) skull morphology. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* **9**(1):135–157 DOI 10.1080/14772019.2010.526639.
- O'Connor JK, Wang M, Hu H. 2016. A new ornithuromorph (Aves) with an elongate rostrum from the Jehol Biota, and the early evolution of rostralization in birds. *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology* 14:1–10 DOI 10.1080/14772019.2015.1129518.
- O'Connor JK, Wang X, Chiappe LM, Gao C, Meng Q, Cheng X, Liu J. 2009. Phylogenetic support for a specialized clade of Cretaceous enantiornithine birds with information from a new species. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* **29**:188–204 DOI 10.1080/02724634.2009.10010371.
- Şekercioğlu ÇH, Daily GC, Ehrlich PR. 2004. Ecosystem consequences of bird declines. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101(52):18042–18047 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0408049101.
- Suarez MB, Ludvigson GA, González LA, Al-Suwaidi AH, You H-L. 2013. Stable isotope chemostratigraphy in lacustrine strata of the Xiagou Formation, Gansu Province, NW China. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications* 382:SP382.
- **Swofford DL. 2003.** *PAUP\*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\* and other methods).* Version 4 *Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb00191.x.*
- Turner AH, Pol D, Clarke JA, Erickson GM, Norell MA. 2007. A basal dromaeosaurid and size evolution preceding avian flight. *Science* **317**:1378–1381 DOI 10.1126/science.1144066.
- Vinther J, Briggs DE, Clarke J, Mayr G, Prum RO. 2009. Structural coloration in a fossil feather. *Biology Letters* 6:128–131 DOI 10.1098/rsbl.2009.0524.
- Wang M, Zheng X, O'Connor JK, Lloyd GT, Wang X, Wang Y, Zhou Z. 2015. The oldest record of ornithuromorpha from the early cretaceous of China. *Nature Communications* 6:Article 6987 DOI 10.1038/ncomms7987.
- Wang RF, Wang Y, Hu DY. 2015. Discovery of a new ornithuromorph genus, Juehuaornis gen. nov. from Lower Cretaceous of western Liaoning, China. *Global Geology* 34(1):7–11 DOI 10.1206/0003-0082(2002)387<0001:TMAPPO>2.0.CO;2.
- Wang X, Chiappe ML, Teng F, Ji Q. 2013. *Xinghaiornis lini* (Aves: Ornithothoraces) from the Early Cretaceous of Liaoning: An example of evolutionary mosaic in early birds. *Acta Geologica Sinica* 87(3):686–689 (English edition) DOI 10.1111/1755-6724.12080.
- Witmer LM. 1995. Homology of facial structures in extant archosaurs (birds and crocodilians), with special reference to paranasal pneumaticity and nasal conchae. *Journal of morphology* 225:269–327 DOI 10.1002/jmor.1052250304.
- Xu X, Norell MA. 2004. A new troodontid dinosaur from China with avian-like sleeping posture. *Nature* 431:838–841 DOI 10.1038/nature02898.
- Xu X, You H, Du K, Han F. 2011. An *Archaeopteryx*-like theropod from China and the origin of Avialae. *Nature* 475(7357):465–470 DOI 10.1038/nature10288.
- You H-L, Lamanna MC, Harris JD, Chiappe LM, O'Connor J, Ji S-A, Lu J-C, Yuan C-X, Li D-Q, Zhang X, Lacovara KJ, Dodson P, Ji Q. 2006. A nearly modern amphibious

bird from the Early Cretaceous of northwestern China. *Science* **312**:1640–1643 DOI 10.1126/science.1126377.

- **Zheng X, O'Connor J, Wang X, Zhang X, Wang Y. 2014.** New Information on Hongshanornithidae (Aves: Ornithuromorpha) from a new subadult specimen. *Vertebrata PalAsiatica* **52**:217–232.
- Zhou S, O'Connor JK, Wang M. 2014. A new species from an ornithuromorph (Aves: Ornithothoraces) dominated locality of the Jehol Biota. *Chinese Science Bulletin* 59:5366–5378 DOI 10.1007/s11434-014-0669-8.
- **Zhou S, Zhou Z, O'Connor J. 2012.** A new toothless ornithurine bird (*Schizooura lii* gen. et sp. nov.) from the Lower Cretaceous of China. *Vertebrata PalAsiatica* **50**:9–24.
- Zhou S, Zhou Z, O'Connor J. 2014. A new piscivorous ornithuromorph from the Jehol Biota. *Historical Biology* 26:608–618 DOI 10.1080/08912963.2013.819504.
- Zhou S, Zhou Z, O'Connor JK. 2013. Anatomy of the basal ornithuromorph bird Archaeorhynchus spathula from the Early Cretaceous of Liaoning, China. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 33:141–152 DOI 10.1080/02724634.2012.714431.
- Zhou Z, Clarke J, Zhang F. 2008. Insight into diversity, body size and morphological evolution from the largest Early Cretaceous enantiornithine bird. *Journal of Anatomy* 212:565–577 DOI 10.1111/j.1469-7580.2008.00880.x.
- **Zhou Z, Zhang F. 2001.** Two new ornithurine birds from the Early Cretaceous of western Liaoning, China. *Chinese Science Bulletin* **46**:1258–1264 DOI 10.1007/BF03184320.
- **Zhou Z, Zhang F. 2005.** Discovery of an ornithurine bird and its implication for Early Cretaceous avian radiation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **102**:18998–19002 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0507106102.
- Zhou Z, Zhang F. 2006. A beaked basal ornithurine bird (Aves, Ornithurae) from the Lower Cretaceous of China. *Zoologica Scripta* 35:363–373 DOI 10.1111/j.1463-6409.2006.00234.x.
- Zhou Z, Zhang F, Li Z. 2009. A new basal ornithurine bird (*Jianchangornis microdonta* gen. et sp. nov.) from the Lower Cretaceous of China. *Vertebrata PalAsiatica* 47:299–310.
- **Zusi RL. 1984.** A functional and evolutionary analysis of rhynchokinesis in birds. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* **395**:1–40.