

The Basal Nodosaurid Ankylosaur *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. from the Lower Cretaceous (Lower Albian) Escucha Formation of Northeastern Spain

James I. Kirkland^{1*}, Luis Alcalá², Mark A. Loewen^{3,4}, Eduardo Espílez², Luis Mampel², Jelle P. Wiersma^{3,4}

1 Utah Geological Survey, Salt Lake City, Utah, United States of America, **2** Fundación Conjunto Paleontológico de Teruel-Dinópolis, Museo Aragonés de Paleontología, Teruel, Spain, **3** Natural History Museum of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, United States of America, **4** Department of Geology & Geophysics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah, United States of America

Abstract

Nodosaurids are poorly known from the Lower Cretaceous of Europe. Two associated ankylosaur skeletons excavated from the lower Albian carbonaceous member of the Escucha Formation near Ariño in northeastern Teruel, Spain reveal nearly all the diagnostic recognized character that define nodosaurid ankylosaurs. These new specimens comprise a new genus and species of nodosaurid ankylosaur and represent the single most complete taxon of ankylosaur from the Cretaceous of Europe. These two specimens were examined and compared to all other known ankylosaurs. Comparisons of these specimens document that *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. is a nodosaur and is the sister taxon to the Late Cretaceous nodosaurids *Anoplosaurus*, *Hungarosaurus*, and *Struthiosaurus*, defining a monophyletic clade of European nodosaurids—the Struthiosaurinae.

Citation: Kirkland JI, Alcalá L, Loewen MA, Espílez E, Mampel L, et al. (2013) The Basal Nodosaurid Ankylosaur *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. from the Lower Cretaceous (Lower Albian) Escucha Formation of Northeastern Spain. PLoS ONE 8(12): e80405. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405

Editor: Richard J. Butler, University of Birmingham, United Kingdom

Received: June 11, 2013; **Accepted:** October 1, 2013; **Published:** December 2, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Kirkland et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This study is part of the paleontology research projects of Departamento de Educación, Universidad, Cultura y Deporte, Gobierno de Aragón and has been supported by its Dirección General de Patrimonio Cultural (exp. 201/2010, 201/10-2011, 201/10-11-2011), DINOSARAGÓN CGL2009-07792 R&D project (Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and FEDER Funds), FOCONTUR (Grupo de Investigación Consolidado E-62, Departamento de Industria e Innovación Ciencia, Tecnología y Universidad, Gobierno de Aragón), Instituto Aragonés de Fomento, Fundación SAMCA, and Fundación Conjunto Paleontológico de Teruel-Dinópolis. Partial funding for this project was provided by Craig Wilkerson through the Utah Friends of Paleontology. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: jameskirkland@utah.gov

Introduction

Ankylosaurs were first described from the Lower Cretaceous of England with *Hylaeosaurus armatus* (Valanginian) described in 1833 [1–3]. *Hylaeosaurus* is one of the three dinosaurs on which the Dinosauria were defined [4] and one of the first dinosaurs for which a full-sized life reconstruction was attempted at the Crystal Palace Park in London in 1854 [5]. Although first mentioned in an anonymous article in the September 16th 1865 issue of the “The Illustrated London News” by Sir Richard Owen [6], the Early Cretaceous (Barremian) *Polacanthus* was not described formally as *Polacanthus foxii* by Hulke until 1882 [7–10]. The abundant plates and spines of these ankylosaurs are characteristic of the Lower Cretaceous up into the lower part of the Aptian stage [11,12]. In 1867, Huxley described the fragmentary *Acanthopholis* from the base of the Upper Cretaceous (Cenomanian) [13–15]. Additionally, in 1879, Seeley [16] described the juvenile nodosaurid *Anoplosaurus curtonotus* [17] from the uppermost Lower Cretaceous (upper Albian) Cambridge Greensand. Subsequent descriptions of the fragmentary remains of ankylosaurs from the Early Cretaceous of Europe have been tentatively assigned to the genus *Polacanthus* [18].

Only nodosaurids have been described from the Upper Cretaceous of Europe with *Struthiosaurus austriacus* described from the Campanian of Austria in 1871 [19–24] followed by

Struthiosaurus transylvanicus [25,26,27] from the uppermost Cretaceous (upper Maastrichtian) strata of Romania. Until recently, all Late Cretaceous ankylosaur fossils in Europe have been assigned to *Struthiosaurus* [28–30] including *Struthiosaurus languedocensis* from the Campanian of southern France [31]. The primitive nodosaurid *Hungarosaurus tormai* [32,33] from the mid-Late Cretaceous (Santonian) is now known from multiple specimens and has become the best documented ankylosaur in Europe.

Fragmentary ankylosaur remains are also known from a number of localities from the Middle to Upper Jurassic strata of Europe, but have been relatively uninformative as specimens are based largely on isolated skeletal elements [34].

Northeastern Spain has contributed many dinosaur discoveries from both Lower and Upper Cretaceous strata in recent years [35]. The Early Cretaceous dinosaurs discovered to date include numerous sauropods, iguanodonts, and ankylosaurs from the Barremian-lower Aptian, with all the fragmentary ankylosaur material assigned tentatively to the genus *Polacanthus* [25,28,36–40]. All the Late Cretaceous ankylosaurs from Spain have in turn been assigned to *Struthiosaurus* [28–30].

The earliest reported dinosaur remains from Spain were found in the Escucha Formation, few significant vertebrate fossils had been recovered from these rocks in the 140 intervening years [41,42]. Current research on vertebrate sites in the Escucha Formation in the northern Teruel Province in the Community of

Aragón, Spain, by the Fundación Conjunto Paleontológico de Teruel-Dinópolis has resulted in the discovery of an extensive new dinosaur locality in the open-pit Santa María coal mine near Ariño (Fig. 1) operated by Sociedad Anónima Minera Catalano-Aragonesa (SAMCA Group) [42]. The most abundant dinosaur identified is a distinctive iguanodontian ornithomimid recently described as *Proa valdearminoensis* [43]. Among the many other significant fossils excavated are two associated partial skeletons of a new species of ankylosaur, described herein as *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. This new taxon is the most completely known ankylosaur in Europe and adds considerable new information about Early Cretaceous ankylosaurian phylogeny and biogeography.

Geological Setting

Counterclockwise rotation of the Iberian Plate toward the end of the Early Cretaceous resulted in the development of a series of syndepositional sub-basins bounded by active faults within Ebro Basin south of the Pyrenean ranges, northeast of the Iberian Range, and northwest of the Catalan/Coastal Range [44,45]. The new dinosaur locality is within the Oliete sub-basin on the northwest margin of the Escucha outcrop belt [42,44]. The *Formación Lignitos de Escucha* and overlying *Formación Arenas de Utrillas* were initially described in 1971 [46]. These largely Albian-aged strata were deposited along the northwestern margin of the Tethys Sea during the fragmentation of this terrain, and overlies Aptian strata in the center of each sub-basin and unconformably overlies progressively older strata toward their margins. Initially, the Escucha Formation was divided into three members [47] and interpreted to be an unconformity-bounded lower to middle Albian depositional sequence, representing a progradational, tidally-dominated delta sequence [44,48–52]. Recently, the upper “fluvial” member has been reinterpreted as an eolian depositional sequence separated from the underlying portions of the Escucha Formation by a regional unconformity [53]. We recognize this bipartite division of the Escucha Formation (Fig. 2).

The geologic age of the Escucha Formation has been considered to be early to middle Albian. It overlies Aptian strata in central basinal settings and is, in turn, overlain by the upper Albian

Utrillas Formation [44]. However, both calcareous plankton (foraminifera and nanoplankton) [54] and palynomorphs [55,56] indicate that the lower Escucha Formation is late Aptian in age. Both fresh and brackish coal-bearing strata are recognized below the regional unconformity within the Escucha [43]. However, reports on the microplankton restrict marine and marginal marine facies to the late Aptian in the lower Escucha Formation [54–56]. Marine ostracods have been reported from the upper Escucha Formation northeast of Teruel that confirm an Albian age for the upper portion of these strata in this area [57].

A sample of the matrix from the bonebed was processed for both palynomorphs and calcareous microfossils. The palynomorphs were exclusively of terrestrial origin and indicated an Albian age (Gerry Waanders, 2012, personal communication). The microfossils consisted exclusively of freshwater ostracods and charophytes. The ostracods represent new species and the charophytes are also reported from the Albian of Tunisia [58]. No arenaceous foraminifera were identified, which, along with the absence of dinoflagellates, indicates that the bonebed formed well inland of marine and brackish water influences (Fig. 3).

The bonebed is located immediately below the lowest mineable coal seam in the Santa María coal mine (Fig. 2), in a dark olive-gray to olive-black mudstone that preserves a high percentage of fossil plant debris. In overall appearance, the rock is much like the plant debris beds in the Wessex Formation on the Isle of Wight [59,60] and, as in those beds, there is a great amount of pyrite (iron sulfide) disseminated through the matrix and in the fossils. Significant amounts of iron sulfide in the coals were found to decrease up section, away from marine and brackish-water environments. In addition to this depositional relationship, it has been speculated that detrital evaporites from exposed Triassic strata on the north and northwest sides of the basin have secondarily contributed significant amounts of sulfur to these coals [43,61]. Additionally, the abundance of pyrite in the bones indicates that the long-term stability of the fossils is in question as pyrite breaks down in an expansive oxidation reaction that liberates corrosive sulfuric acid compounds that cannot be reversed [62]. The degradation by this pyrite is apparent on most of the bones soon after exposure to the surface. This is indicated by the rapid appearance of fine, powdery to crystalline gypsum




Figure 1. Locality maps. (A). Iberian Peninsula showing location of Santa María Coal Mine near Ariño, Teruel Province, Aragón, Spain with new dinosaur locality AR-1. (B) Teruel Province, Aragón, showing location of AR-1 east of Ariño. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g001




Figure 2. Generalized stratigraphy. (A) General Lower Cretaceous stratigraphy in the area of the Oliete sub-basin with the relative distribution of thyreophoran dinosaurs in Spain and southern England indicated. (B) General stratigraphy of the Escucha Fm. in the area around Ariño, Aragón, Spain showing approximate position of dinosaur locality AR-1. Stratigraphic nomenclature following Rodríguez-López and others [53]. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g002

coating bones and teeth, and by the expansion and shattering of some bones and teeth with internal gypsum formation (Fig. 4). Protocols are being developed to ensure the preservation of the primary data represented by these important fossils [42,62].

The bonebed was located many tens of meters underground prior to strip mining operations in the Santa María coal mine. As mining operations proceed, more of the plant debris stratum containing the bonebed is exposed as simultaneous reclamation covers the previously exposed surface. Thus, with the help of mine managers, efficient methodologies for the documentation and extraction of significant fossils have been established [42]. By the end of 2012, an area of approximately 25 ha had been investigated and the areal distributions of 101 vertebrate concentrations were documented; 33 of these consisted of associated dinosaur skeletons (mostly iguanodonts) and 68 consisted of other vertebrate remains (mostly turtles and crocodilians). During this stage of the project, numerous dinosaurs (ornithischian elements and associated skeletons, and saurischian teeth), two types of turtle, crocodilians, fish (both osteichthyans and selachians), coprolites, molluscs (freshwater bivalves and gastropods), arthropods (ostracods), and abundant plant remains (logs, plant fragments, palynomorphs, and amber) have been excavated.

The bonebed designated AR-1 contains more than 5000 identifiable vertebrate specimens recovered from isolated skeletal remains and associated individual animals. All fossils receive a consecutive number from the site, each association is numbered as well. Thus:

- AR-1-#fossil identifies each fossil found at the Ariño site (the ID written on each fossil);
- AR-1/#concentration identifies a collection of bones belonging to a single skeleton;
- AR-1-#fossil/#concentration identifies a fossil from a bone concentration # belonging or not belonging to a single skeleton.

The two associated ankylosaur skeletons described herein were separated by 200 meters. The location of the holotype AR-1/10 (Fig. 5) was still available for examination and sampling for microfossils in December of 2011 [58], while that of the paratype AR-1/31 (Fig. 6) was already inaccessible.

Materials and Methods

Paleontological Ethics Statement

All of the specimens described in this paper (AR-1/10 and AR-1/31) are deposited in the collections of the Fundación Conjunto Paleontológico de Teruel-Dinópolis/Museo Aragonés de Paleontología (FCPTD/MAP). Locality information is available from the registrar of the museum as per museum policy. All necessary permits were obtained for the described study, which complied with all relevant regulations. All of these specimens were collected under permits obtained from the Sociedad Anónima Minera Catalano-Aragonesa.




Figure 3. Paleogeographic reconstruction of the Escucha outcrop belt during deposition of coal under freshwater conditions in the Ariño area. Modified after Querol and others [44] with salinity data based on ostracods from Tibert and others [58].
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g003

Nomenclatural Acts

The electronic edition of this article conforms to the requirements of the amended International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, and hence the new names contained herein are available under that Code from the electronic edition of this article. This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains have been registered in ZooBank, the online registration system for the ICZN. The ZooBank LSIDs (Life Science Identifiers) can be resolved and the associated information viewed through any standard web browser by appending the LSID to the prefix “http://zoobank.org/”. The LSID for this publication is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:9246FFA7-6271-4734-8E01-5590BE4A80C2. The LSID for *Europelta carbonensis* is: urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:089040A3-1BCF-42D1-B99F-94840E2BB96D. The electronic edition of this work was published in a journal with an ISSN (1932-6203), and has been archived and is available from the following digital repositories: LOCKSS (<http://www.lockss.org>); PubMed Central (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc>).

Terminology

We do not refer to the “armor” on the skull roof as caputegulae, as we consider these patterns in the Nodosauridae to reflect impressions of scale boundaries on the skull roof as opposed to thickened remodeled cranial bone. We use the term caudal rib instead of caudal transverse process. We employ the monophyletic clade Polacanthidae of Carpenter [63] to facilitate comparison with and discussion of a number of similar taxa (*Gargoyleosaurus*, *Mymoorapelta*, *Hylaesaurus*, *Polacanthus*, *Hoplitosaurus*, and *Gastonia*).

The most recent analysis of polacanthids as a monophyletic subfamily of nodosaurids was by Yang and others [64], who similarly defined them as the most inclusive clade containing *Polacanthus foxii* but not *Ankylosaurus magniventris* or *Panoplosaurus mirus*.

Institutional Abbreviations

AMNH, American Museum of Natural History, New York, New York, **NHMK**, Natural History Museum, London, England, **CEUM**, Prehistoric Museum, Utah State University, Price, Utah, **DMNH**, Denver Museum of Nature and Science, Denver, Colorado, **MPC**, Geological Institute, Ulaan Bataar, Mongolia, **FCPTD/MAP**, Fundación Conjunto Paleontológico de Teruel-Dinópolis/Museo Aragonés de Paleontología, Teruel, Spain, **FMNH**, Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, **MPC**, Institute of Geology, Mongolian Academy of Sciences, Ulaan Baatar, Mongolia; **INBR**, Victor Valley Museum, Apple Valley, California, **IVPP**, Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology, Beijing, China, **KUVP**, Kansas Museum of Natural History, Lawrence, Kansas, **MPC**, Mongolian Paleontological Center, Ulaan Baatar, Mongolia; **MNA**, Museum of Northern Arizona, Flagstaff, Arizona, **NMC**, National Museum of Canada, Ottawa, Canada, **NMW**, National Museum of Wales, Cardiff, England, **PIN**, National Institute of Paleontology, Moscow, Russia, **QM**, Queensland Museum, Queensland, Australia, **ROM**, Royal Ontario Museum, Toronto, Canada, **SDNHM**, San Diego Natural History Museum, San Diego, California, **SGDS**, Saint George Dinosaur Discovery Site at




Figure 4. Diagenetic damage to bones on exposure to the atmosphere. Caudal vertebra AR-1-3616/31 in (A) lateral view showing damage to the bone from the growth of gypsum crystals. Maxillary tooth AR-1-424/10 in (B) labial view and (C) lingual views showing diagenetic damage to teeth. Skull of type of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp., AR-1-544/10 (D) as exposed in AR-1/10 and (E) X-ray image in dorso-ventral orientation. Light patches are areas of pyrite mineralization.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g004

Johnson Farm, St. George, Utah, **SMP**, State Museum of Pennsylvania, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, **SMU**, Schuler Museum, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas, **USNM**, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C.

Comparative Material

In addition to accessing the ever-expanding ankylosaur literature, the senior and third authors have had the opportunity to study firsthand much of the important ankylosaur material collected globally. From the basal thyreophorans: the type material of *Scutelosaurus lawleri* (MNA P1.175), the type material of *Scelidosaurus harrisoni* (NHMUK R 1111), and a large, exceptionally well-preserved, articulated *Scelidosaurus* specimen with intact armor, collected and owned by David Sole and currently exhibited at the University of Bristol. Also, a full cast of the left side of the skeleton (SGDS 1311) exhibited in southwestern Utah was examined.

In regards to Jurassic ankylosaurs: the extensive type and paratype material of *Mymoorapelta mayisi* housed at the Museum of Western Colorado, *Gargoyleosaurus parkpinorum* (DMNH 27726), and the dentary of *Sarcolestes leedsi* (NHMUK R 2682) were studied.

Early Cretaceous polacanthine ankylosaur material examined includes *Polacanthus foxii* (NHMUK R 175, 9293), *Hylaeosaurus armatus* (NHMUK R 3775), *Hoplitosaurus marshi* (USNM 4752), and the extensive material of *Gastonia burgei* material housed at the Prehistoric Museum (including holotype CEUM 1307 and paratype material), and cranial material from a minimum of six individuals at Brigham Young University's Earth Science Museum, together with the postcranial skeleton of an unnamed new species of polacanthine (BYU 245).

Among basal shamosaurine-grade ankylosaurids, *Cedarapelta bilbyhallorum* (including CEUM 12360 and paratype material), *Shamosaurus scutatus* (PIN 3779/2), and a cast of the skull of *Gobisaurus domoculus* (IVPP 12563) housed at the Royal Tyrrell Museum were studied.




Figure 5. Quarry sketch map of AR-1/10. Bones and armor shaded in green and skull shaded in purple.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g005




Figure 6. Quarry sketch map of AR-1/31. Bones and armor shaded in green.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g006

Among derived North American ankylosaurs, *Nodocephalosaurus kirtlandensis* (SMP-VP-900), *Ankylosaurus magniventris* (AMNH 5214, 5859; NMC 8880), *Anodontosaurus lambei* (NMC 8530), *Dyoplosaurus acutosquameus* (ROM 784), *Scolosaurus cutleri* (NHMUK, R 5161), and several important examples of *Euoplocephalus tutus*, (AMNH 5404, 5409; RTMP 91.127.1) were examined.

Asian ankylosaur material researched include an adult skull of *Tsaganetia longicranialis* (MPC 100/1306), China, *Pinacosaurus grangeri* (AMNH 6523) and three undescribed skulls personally excavated by JIK from the Djadokhta Formation, Shabarakh Usu (Flaming Cliffs, Mongolia) and housed at MAS, *Talarurus plicatospinus* (composite skeleton made up of parts of many individuals assigned to PIN 557), cast skull of *Saichania chulsanensis* (PIN 3141/251), a relatively complete specimen referred to *Saichania* with in situ armor but lacking its skull (MPC 100/1305), *Tarchia gigantea* (PIN 3142/250), a cast skull of *Minotaurasaurus ramachandrani* (INBR 21004), and a cast skeleton of *Crichtonsaurus benxiensis* housed in the Museum at the Chaoyang Bird National Geopark, Liaoning.

Numerous nodosaurids were examined, including the Early Cretaceous nodosaurids *Sauropelta edwardsi* (AMNH, 3016, 3032, 3035, 3036; YPM 5502, 5529, 5499, 5178), *Peloroplites cedrimontanus* (CEUM 26331 and the extensive paratype material), and *Pawpawsaurus campbelli* (SMU 73203; = “*Texasestes*” *pleurohalio* USNM 337987). The early Late Cretaceous nodosaurids reviewed include *Animantarx ramalonesi* (CEUM 6228), *Silvisaurus condrayi* (KUVP 10296), *Nodosaurus textilis* (YPM 1815), and *Stegopelta landerensis* (FMNH UR88) and the Late Cretaceous nodosaurids *Panoplosaurus mirus* (NMC 2759), *Edmontonia rugosidens* (USNM 11868; AMNH 5665), *Edmontonia longiceps* (NMC 8531), *Denverosaurus schlessmani* (DMNH 468), casts of *Struthiosaurus austriacus* at the Carnegie Museum (PIUW 2349) and *Struthiosaurus transylvanicus* (NHMUK R 4966).

Enigmatic taxa such as the skull of *Minmi paravertebrata* (QM F18101), the skeleton of *Liaoningosaurus paradoxus* (IVPP V12560), and *Aletopelta coombsi* (SDNHM 33909) were also examined.

Results

Systematic Paleontology

- Dinosauria Owen, 1842 [65]
- Ornithischia Seeley, 1887 [66]
- Thyreophora Nopcsa, 1915 [25]
- Ankylosauria Osborn, 1908 [67]
- Nodosauridae Marsh, 1890 [68]
- Struthiosaurinae Nopcsa, 1923 [69]

Diagnosis

Nodosaurid ankylosaurs that share a combination of characters including: narrow predentaries; a nearly horizontal, unfused quadrates that are oriented less than 30° from the skull roof, and condyles that are 3 times transversely wider than long; premaxillary teeth and dentary teeth that are near the predentary symphysis; dorsally arched sacra; an acromion process dorsal to midpoint of the scapula-coracoid suture; straight ischia, with a straight dorsal margin; relatively long slender limbs; a sacral shield of armor; and erect sacral armor with flat bases. **Struthiosaurinae is defined as the most inclusive clade containing *Europelta* but not *Cedarpetta*, *Peloroplites*, *Sauropelta* or *Edmontonia*.**

Europelta Kirkland, Alcalá, Loewen, Espílez, Mampel, and Wiersma 2013 **gen. nov.**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:62808E3D-85BE-4AE3-B771-9CFF2C6AC054

Etymology

“*Euro*” as a contraction for Europe in regard to its origin and “*pelta*” Greek for shield, a common root for ankylosaurian genera; “Europe’s shield”.

Diagnosis

Same as for the only known species below.

Europelta carbonensis Kirkland, Alcalá, Loewen, Espílez, Mampel, and Wiersma 2013 **gen. et sp. nov.**

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:089040A3-1BCF-42D1-B99F-94840E2BB96D

Figures 7–33

Etymology

The specific name “*carbonensis*” from the coal, is in honor of access to the fossil locality in the Santa María coal mine provided by Sociedad Anónima Minera Catalano-Aragonesa (SAMCA Group), which has been extracting coal in Ariño (Teruel) since 1919.

Holotype

AR-1/10, a disarticulated partial skeleton reposit at Fundación Conjunto Paleontológico de Teruel-Dinópolis/Museo Aragonés de Paleontología (FCPTD/MAP). The holotype consists of: a mostly complete skull (AR-1-544), isolated left and right nasals (AR-1-133, and AR-1-639), a dentary fragment (AR-1-362), 15 isolated teeth (AR-1-323 to AR-1-325, AR-1-343, AR-1-358, AR-1-417, AR-1-418, AR-1-423, AR-1-424, AR-1-428, AR-1-454, AR-1-482, AR-1-563, AR-1-564 and AR-1-567), an atlas (AR-1-649), five cervical vertebrae (AR-1-431, AR-1-449, AR-1-533, AR-1-637, AR-1-650), two cervical ribs (AR-1-450, AR-1-4452), AR-1-638 (possibly the first dorsal vertebrae), seven more posterior dorsal vertebrae (AR-1-154, AR-1-155, AR-1-322, AR-1-430, AR-1-448, AR-1-478, AR-1-535, AR-1-556), a section of synsacrum (AR-1-154), three isolated dorsal ribs (AR-1-331, AR-1-333, AR-1-476), seven dorsal rib fragments (AR-1-339, AR-1-341, AR-1-427, AR-1-534, AR-1-641, AR-1-642, AR-1-676), three caudal vertebrae (AR-1-562, AR-1-635, AR-1-636), four chevrons (AR-1-560, AR-1-561, AR-1-569, AR-1-4451), a coracoid with a small portion of scapula (AR-1-657), a scapular blade fragment (AR-1-429), two xiphosternal plates (AR-1-252, AR-1-4675), two partial humeri (AR-1-327, AR-1-655), a right ilium-ischium-pubis (AR-1-479), a left ischium-pubis (AR-1-129), and 70 osteoderms (AR-1-126 to AR-1-128, AR-1-192, AR-1-234, AR-1-241, AR-1-246, AR-1-247, AR-1-272, AR-1-276, AR-1-438, AR-1-444, AR-1-447, AR-1-461, AR-1-462, AR-1-464, AR-1-467, AR-1-472, AR-1-496 to AR-1-530, AR-1-553, AR-1-651 to AR-1-653, AR-1-659, AR-1-675, AR-1-4450, AR-1-4454 to AR-1-4463).

Paratype

AR-1/31, a partial skeleton deposited at Fundación Conjunto Paleontológico de Teruel-Dinópolis/Museo Aragonés de Paleontología (FCPTD/MAP). The paratype consists of a partial left jaw with dentary and surangular (AR-1-3698) and isolated angular (AR-1-2945), 10 teeth (AR-1-3432, AR-1-3495, AR-1-3524, AR-1-3650, AR-1-3699 to AR-1-3701, AR-1-3705, AR-1-3706, AR-1-3961), five cervical vertebrae (AR-1-3586, AR-1-3632, AR-1-3657, AR-1-3671, AR-1-3676), nine dorsal vertebrae (AR-1-3489, AR-1-3586, AR-1-3633, AR-1-3662, AR-1-3672 to 3675, AR-1-3677, AR-1-3704), three to four? dorsosacral vertebrae (AR-1-3450, AR-1-3451), a sacrum (AR-1-3446), a caudosacral vertebra (AR-1-3512), two sacral rib fragments (AR-1-3452, AR-1-3460),



Figure 7. Skull of type of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp., AR-1-544/10. Partial skull in: (A) anterior view, (B) posterior view, (C) dorsal view, (D) right lateral view, (E) left lateral view, and (F) ventral view. Abbreviations: bo = basioccipital, bs = basisphenoid, f = foramen magnum, lt = lower temporal fenestra, o = occipital condyle, p = paraoccipital process, ps = parasphenoid, q = quadrate, s = squamosal, sb = supraorbital boss, sh = suborbital horn, sqh = squamosal horn.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g007

14 caudal vertebrae (AR-1-2950, AR-1-3204, AR-1-3206, AR-1-3243, AR-1-3265, AR-1-3348, AR-1-3398, AR-1-3478, AR-1-3615, AR-1-3616, AR-1-3714 to 3717), a right ilium (AR-1-3490), two left ilium fragments (AR-1-3521, AR-1-3571), two ischia with fused pubes (AR-1-3648, AR-1-3649), a right femur (AR-1-3244), a right tibia (AR-1-3237), a right fibula (AR-1-3238), a calcaneum (AR-1-3239), four metatarsals (AR-1-3100, AR-1-3173, AR-1-3233, AR-1-3234), eight phalanges (AR-1-3032, AR-1-3066, AR-1-3174, AR-1-3179, AR-1-3324, AR-1-3234, AR-1-3292, AR-1-3356), nine unguals (AR-1-2952, AR-1-2986, AR-1-3172, AR-1-3181, AR-1-3182, AR-1-3288, AR-1-3291, AR-1-3386, AR-1-3711), and 90 osteoderms (AR-1-3024, AR-1-3030, AR-1-3074 to AR-1-3076, AR-1-3080, AR-1-3145, AR-1-3159, AR-1-3180, AR-1-3207 to AR-1-3209, AR-1-3216, AR-1-3223, AR-1-3226 to AR-1-3229, AR-1-3292, AR-1-3236, AR-1-3242, AR-1-3338 to AR-1-3340, AR-1-3390, AR-1-3438, AR-1-3447 to AR-1-3449, AR-1-3491, AR-1-3492, AR-1-3494, AR-1-3506, AR-1-3540, AR-1-3572 to AR-1-3576, AR-1-3587, AR-1-3588, AR-1-3590, AR-1-3597, AR-1-3598, AR-1-3608 to AR-1-3613, AR-1-3638, AR-1-3658, AR-1-3680 to AR-1-3684, AR-1-3687, AR-1-3708, AR-1-3720, AR-1-3721, AR-1-3932 to AR-1-3960).

Locality and Horizon

The type locality, Fundación Conjunto Paleontológico of Teruel-Dinópolis locality AR-1, is located east of Ariño, Teruel Province, Spain. The fossil horizon is below the lowest mineable coal seam at Sociedad Anónima Minera Catalano-Aragonesa Group's Ariño coal mine in a plant debris bed in the lower Escucha Formation [42]. The paratype AR-1/31 was located 200 m laterally from the holotype AR-1/10 in the same bed. Pyrite is common within the bone and the surrounding sediment of the bonebed, common also in plant debris beds in the older Wessex Formation on the Isle of Wight [58].

Age

Elsewhere, the Escucha Formation has been interpreted as late Aptian to early Albian in age based on nanofossils, planktonic foraminifera, dinoflagellates and palynomorphs [50,52]. An analysis of the palynomorphs, ostracods, and charophytes from AR-1 indicates that the site is completely of early Albian age [57].

Diagnosis

The quadrate is shorter and mediolaterally wider than in any other ankylosaur. The posterior margin of the skull is concave in




Figure 8. Isolated skull fragments from type of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp., AR-1-544/10. Right nasal AR-1/10 in: (A) dorsal view and (B) ventral view. Left nasal AR-1-639/10 in: (C) dorsal and (D) ventral view. Dentary fragment in AR-1-362/10 in: (E) dorsal view, (F) medial view, and (G) lateral view. Isolated right quadrate AR-1-544*/10 in: (H) posterior view, (I) anterior view, and (J) ventral view. Abbreviations: l = lateral condyle, m = medial condyle, qj = suture for quadratojugal, pt = broken margin of pterygoid.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g008

dorsal view. The sacrum is arched dorsally about 55° in lateral view. The pubis is fully and uniquely fused to the ischium with a slot-shaped foramen between the post-pubic process and the position of the pubic peduncle forming an ischiopubis. The tibia is longer relative to the length of the femur (90%) than in other ankylosaurs for which these proportions are known. Laterally compressed, flanged osteoderm with a flat plate-like base is present anteriorly on the pelvic shield.

Description and Comparisons

Skull

The skull (AR-1-544/10) was lying on its dorsal surface and is moderately well preserved although distorted through compaction (Fig. 7). The palate is crushed in toward the skull roof, resulting in the medial rotation of both maxillae with the posterior teeth displaced into the posterior palate. The sheet-like palatal bones are highly fragmented. The braincase is crushed along the plane of the cranial nerve openings and the fenestra ovalis completely obscures them. Unexpectedly, the right quadrate (Fig. 8 H–J) and associated portion of the palate was dislodged from the skull and subsequently crushed across the ventral side of the basicranium. This gives the impression that these bones had been expelled from inside the skull prior to compaction. Both the left and right nasals

were separated from the skull and the premaxillae (whereas possibly present upon discovery) have not been identified.

The skull has a minimum length of 370.3 mm from the anterior end of the maxillae to the rear margin of the squamosals. The skull has a maximum width of 299.1 mm at the orbits and narrows to 203.7 mm at the posterior end of the skull at the squamosals, giving the skull the “pear-shaped” dorsal profile characteristic of derived nodosaurids [70,71]. Although tapering posteriorly, there is no distinct post-temporal notch as in polacanthids and other nodosaurids [63].

The maxillae (Fig. 7 D–F) are irregularly sculptured externally with a flattened, horizontally oriented buccal recesses that are inset approximately 2 cm. The anterior margin of the maxilla appears to form the posterior margin of a relatively simple naris relative to derived nodosaurids and ankylosaurids. Medially, there is no evidence that the maxilla formed a portion of a secondary palate. The tooth row was arched ventrally with an estimated 22–25 alveoli increasing in size posteriorly as in *Edmontonia* [72]. In ventral orientation, the tooth rows are only moderately deflected medially, such that the palate would not have had a pronounced hourglass appearance typical of derived nodosaurs such as *Pawpawsaurus*, *Edmontonia*, and *Panoplosaurus* [73–75]. However, it is not dissimilar from that of the primitive nodosaurid *Silvisaurus* [76,77].




Figure 9. Drawing of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp., skull reconstruction. *Europelta* skull reconstruction in: (A) dorsal view and (B) left lateral view with reconstruction of mandible. Abbreviations: a = angular, asos = anterior supraorbital scale, co = circumorbital scales, d = dentary, fps = frontoparietal scale, is = intermediate scale, lt = lower temporal fenestra, m = maxilla, n = nasal, o = occipital condyle, p = paraoccipital process, psos = posterior supraorbital scale, sh = squamosal horn, soh = suborbital horn.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g009




Figure 10. *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. mandible from AR-1/31. Fused dentary and splenial AR-1-3698/31: Isolated splenial in (A) medial view. Dentary in: (B) medial view, (C) dorsal view with splenial inverted in medial view, and (D) dorso-medial view with splenial inverted in medio-ventral view, and (E) latero-ventral view with posterior splenial visible in dorsal view. Angular AR-1-2945/31 in: (F) lateral view and (G) medial view. Abbreviations: if = intermandibular foramen. s = mandibular symphysis, sc = splenial contact.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g010




Figure 11. *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. teeth. Maxillary teeth from holotype of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp., AR-1/10 (A–FF). Well-preserved tooth AR-1-324/10 in: (A) occlusal view, (B) distal view, (C) lateral view, (D) lingual view, and (E) anterior view. AR-1-482/10 in: (F) labial view and (G) lingual view. AR-1-325/10 in: (H) labial view and (I) lingual view. AR-1-563/10 in: (J) labial view and (K) lingual view. Possible premaxillary tooth AR-1-343/10 in: (L) labial view and (M) lingual view. AR-1-417/10 in: (N) labial view and (O) lingual view. AR-1-418/10 in: (P) labial view and (Q) lingual view. AR-1-358/10 in: (R) labial view and (S) lingual view. AR-1-423/10 in: (T) labial view and (U) lingual view. AR-1-564/10 in: (V) posterior view, (W) occlusal view, (X) labial view, (Y) lingual view, and (Z) mesial view. AR-1-428/10 in: (AA) labial view and (BB) lingual view. AR-1-323/10 in: (CC) labial view and (DD) lingual view. AR-1-567/10 in: (EE) labial view and (FF) lingual view. Dentary teeth from type of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp., AR-1/31 (GG–FFF). AR-1-3650/31 in: (GG) posterior view, (HH) occlusal view, (II) labial view, (JJ) lingual view, and (KK) mesial view. AR-1-3700/31 in: (LL) occlusal view, (MM) posterior view, (NN) labial view, (OO) lingual view, and (PP) mesial view. AR-1-3705/31 in: (QQ) labial view and (RR) lingual view. AR-1-3706/31 in: (SS) labial view and (TT) lingual view. AR-1-3524/31 in: (UU) labial view and (VV) lingual view. AR-1-3699/31 in: (WW) labial view and (XX) lingual view. AR-1-3432/31 in: (YY) labial view and (ZZ) lingual view. AR-1-3495/31 in: (AAA) labial view and (BBB) lingual view. AR-1-3701/31 in: (CCC) labial view and (DDD) lingual view. AR-1-3961/31 in: (EEE) labial view and (FFF) lingual view.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g011

The nasals (AR-1-133/10, AR-1-639/10) are relatively large and subrectangular, tapering somewhat anteriorly (Fig. 8 A–D). Both nasals extend laterally from their relatively straight, unfused midline suture before flexing down to a sutural contact with the maxillae that extends for most of their length. When rearticulated onto the skull, they appear to fit well, despite the skull's distortion. Most ankylosaurs have fused nasals except the nodosaurids *Silvisaurus* [76,77] and *Niobrassaurus* [78], although the nasals are unknown in European nodosaurids [24,32,33]. A distinct tongue-like process projects from the nasal's posterior margin and would have overlapped the frontals. The external surface is lightly textured and the internal surface is relatively smooth, suggesting the narial passage was large and simple, rather than convolute as in derived nodosaurids and ankylosaurids [79,80].

The orbits are somewhat crushed and the sutures of the bones surrounding them are obscured by fusion. The orbits are

subrectangular in shape, are slightly more elongate anteroposteriorly and are directed anterolaterally. The prominent and evenly rounded suborbital horn is formed mostly from the quadratojugal posterior to the ventral margin of the orbit, as in most derived ankylosaurs [81,82] and unlike that in polacanthids such as *Mymoorapelta*, *Gargoylesaurus*, and *Gastonia* where the suborbital horn is below the orbit and is formed exclusively by the jugal [83–85]. The suborbital horn appears to be unornamented and hides the head of the quadrate in lateral view.

The lateral wall of the skull extends posteriorly behind orbit with a dorsoventrally wide posterior notch, such that the lower temporal opening is just visible in lateral view. There is no lateral wall of skull behind the orbits in polacanthids [70,81] and most nodosaurids other than *Peloroplites* [86], *Silvisaurus* [76], *Struthiosaurus transylvanicus* [22,23] and one specimen from the Dinosaur Park Formation assigned to *Edmontonia* (ROM 1215) [88],



Figure 12. Cervical vertebrae and ribs of holotype of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/10. Atlas AR-1-649/10 in: (A) anterior view, (B) posterior view, (C) right lateral view, (D) left lateral view, (E) dorsal view, and (F) ventral view. Mid-cervical rib AR-1-450/10 in: (G) anterior view and (H) posterior view. Anterior cervical vertebra AR-1-650/10 in: (I) anterior view, (J) posterior view, (K) right lateral view, (L) left lateral view, (M) dorsal view, and (N) ventral view. Anterior cervical vertebra AR-1-637/10 in: (O) anterior view, (P) posterior view, (Q) right lateral view, (R) left lateral view, (S) dorsal view, and (T) ventral view. Mid-cervical vertebra AR-1-449/10 in: (U) anterior view, (V) posterior view, (W) right lateral view, (X) dorsal view, and (Y) ventral view. Mid-cervical vertebra AR-1-431/10 in: (Z) anterior view, (AA) posterior view, (BB) left lateral view, (CC) right lateral view, (DD) dorsal view, and (EE) ventral view. Posterior cervical vertebra AR-1-533/10 in: (FF) anterior view, (GG) left lateral view, (HH) dorsal view, and (II) ventral view. Posterior right cervical rib AR-1-4452/10 in: (JJ) posterior view, (KK) anterior view, and (LL) ventral view. Abbreviation pvf = paired ventral fossae. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g012

although in these taxa the lower temporal opening is still visible in lateral view as in *Europelta*. The lower temporal opening is completely obscured in lateral view in *Cedarpetta* [84,86], *Shamosaurus* [89–91], *Gobisaurus*, [92] *Zhongyuansaurus* [93] and all derived ankylosaurids.

Although the palate is fragmented and crushed along the internal surface of the skull roof, the fragments of the vomer suggest it did not extend ventrally to the level of the tooth row. Additionally, the broad sheet-like pterygoids appear to have been flexed nearly dorsally against the anterior portion of the basicranium as in nodosaurids and not like the open transversely oriented pterygoids characteristic of ankylosaurids or polacanthids [94].

The posterolateral margin of the pterygoid is fully fused to the quadrate. There is a sutural contact between the straight, nearly vertical quadrates and the quadratojugal laterally. The quadrates are wide transversely and thin rostrocaudally as compared to the mediolaterally narrower quadrates of other ankylosaurs [82]. The contact with the squamosal is also transversely wide, unlike the narrow, rounded contact seen in many ankylosaurs such as

Mymoorapelta (Kirkland, pers. obs.) and *Cedarpetta* [63,86]. The mandibular articulation is proportionally wider than in any other ankylosaur examined as a part of this study and the medial condyle larger than the lateral condyle. The ratio of mediolateral quadrate width to dorsoventral quadrate length is 0.77 (94 mm/122 mm). The anteroposterior length of the quadrate condyle is 31 mm. There is no fusion between the quadrates and the paroccipital processes.

Vertical compaction has obscured the posterior view of the skull, in particular the foramen magnum and the supraoccipital. However, even with compaction it is apparent that in occipital view the skull was subrectangular and wider than tall as in *Gargoylesaurus*, *Gastonia*, and most other derived ankylosaurs, and unlike the narrow, highly arched occipital region of *Struthiosaurus* [22]. The paroccipital processes extend horizontally lateral to the foramen magnum and then flare dorsoventrally by approximately 100% of their minimum widths. They angle posteriorly at about 30 degrees when viewed ventrally (Fig. 7 F). In morphology and orientation, they are most similar to those in *Gargoylesaurus* [95] although ventral twisting is not present. In most other ankylosaurs, the paroccipital processes extend straight laterally [81,96] or may



Figure 13. Cervical vertebrae of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/31. Anterior cervical vertebra AR-1-3671/31 in: (A) anterior view. Anterior cervical vertebra AR-1-3671/31 in: (B) anterior view, (C) posterior view, (D) left lateral view, (E) dorsal view, and (F) ventral view. Mid-cervical vertebra AR-1-3676/31 in: (G) anterior view, (H) posterior view, (I) right lateral view, (J) left lateral view, (K) dorsal view, and (L) ventral view. Posterior cervical vertebra AR-1-3632/31 in: (M) anterior view, (N) posterior view, (O) right lateral view, (P) left lateral view, (Q) dorsal view, and (R) ventral view. Posterior cervical vertebra AR-1-3586/31 in: (S) anterior view, (T) posterior view, (U) right lateral view, (V) left lateral view, (W) dorsal view, and (X) ventral view. Abbreviation pvf = paired ventral fossae.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g013

be flexed ventrally as in *Gastonia* [83]. A triangular wedge of bone of unknown identity is fused to the anterior ventrolateral margin of the paroccipital, separating it from the quadrate.

The subspherical occipital condyle (Fig. 7 B, F) has a width of 59.4 mm and height of 46.5 mm and lacks a distinct neck to separate it from the rest of the basicranium. Although no cranial sutures are visible, the occipital condyle does appear to be composed exclusively of the basioccipital. It is similar in overall morphology to that of the basal ankylosaurid *Cedarpetta* [88] except that the occipital condyle angles somewhat ventrally, but not as much as in more derived nodosaurids [71,82]. The ventral surface of the relatively elongate basioccipital is broadly convex. Again, as in *Cedarpetta* [88], there are no distinct, separate basal tubera between the basioccipital and the short basisphenoid, but instead there is a prominent transverse flange extending across the ventral surface of the basicranium along the line of this suture. The pterygoid processes appear to be short, but are completely obscured by crushed pterygoids bone fragments that wall off the anterior part of the braincase as in most nodosaurids.

The skull roof (figs. 7 C, 9 A) is roughened texturally by remodeling of the bone surface as in *Cedarpetta*, the nodosaurids *Sauropelta* and *Peloroplites*, and the shamosaurine-grade ankylosaurids *Shamosaurus* and *Gobisaurus* [81,86,88]. *Europelta* differs from these specimens in that some of the margins of the scale impressions on the skull roof are visible, as seen in *Edmontonia*, *Panoplosaurus* and *Struthiosaurus* [22,77]. These scale margins are represented by shallow grooves that are difficult to see relative to

the textured surface of the skull and the cracks in the bone due to compaction. These grooves are particularly evident along the lateral margins of the skull roof above the orbit. An extensive median scale appears to have covered much of the central portion of the skull between and posterior to the orbits on the frontals and parietals as other nodosaurids [63,82]. There does not appear to be any distinct nuchal ornamentation. The skull is thickened above the orbit, but there is not a distinct supraorbital boss, a condition similar to *Peloroplites*, *Cedarpetta*, *Shamosaurus*, and *Gobisaurus* [86,88–90,92]. Narrow grooves along the margin of the skull in this area above the orbits suggest that a particularly robust pair of scales were present in this area as indicated by a deep groove bisecting this ornamented area directly above the orbit. Weak grooves delineate a small scale without underlying ornamentation separating the posterior supraorbital scale from the squamosal horn forming the posteriolateral margin of the skull roof. The squamosal horn is ornamented by narrow grooves radiating from its apex onto the skull roof. Grooves on the anterolateral sides of the fronto-parietal scale appear to delineate two scales between the anterior supraorbital scales. Unfortunately, no distinctive scale boundaries are recognizable on the nasals, although the dorsal surfaces of the nasals are textured. Several elongate scales rimmed the lateral raised margin around the orbit.

In dorsal view, the posterior margin of the skull is concave, whereas it is nearly straight or convex in all other nodosaurids. This reflects the posterior angulation of the paraoccipital processes and the squamosal horns. Interestingly, the occipital condyle is




Figure 14. Dorsal vertebrae of holotype of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/10. Anterior dorsal vertebra AR-1-638/10 in: (A) anterior view, (B) posterior view, (C) right lateral view, (D) left lateral view, (E) dorsal view, and (F) ventral view. Anterior dorsal vertebra with fused rib fragments AR-1-535/10 in: (G) dorsal view, (H) anterior view, (I) right lateral view, (J) posterior view, and (K) ventral view. Anterior dorsal vertebra with fused rib fragment AR-1-478/10 in: (L) posterior view, (M) right lateral view, (N) dorsal view, (O) left lateral view, (P) anterior view, and (Q) ventral view. Anterior dorsal vertebra with bases of fused ribs AR-1-448/10 in: (R) posterior view, (S) left lateral view, (T) right lateral view, (U) dorsal view, and (V) ventral view. Mid-dorsal vertebra AR-1-430/10 in: (W) anterior view, (X) posterior view, (Y) left lateral view, (Z) right lateral view, (AA) dorsal view, and (BB) ventral view. Mid/dorsal vertebra with bases of fused ribs AR-1-322/10 in: (CC) anterior view, (DD) posterior view, (EE) left lateral view, (FF) right lateral view, and (GG) ventral view. Mid-dorsal vertebra AR-1-566/10 in: (HH) posterior view, (II) anterior view, (JJ) left lateral view, and (KK) ventral view. Posterior dorsal vertebra AR-1-155/10 in: (LL) anterior view, (MM) posterior view, (NN) right lateral view, and (OO) ventral view.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g014

barely visible, though not completely obscured in dorsal view. There is no evidence of any distinct nuchal sculpturing. The skull roof is relatively flat but a slight dome may have been present prior to crushing. However, it is clear that the skull roof is not as highly domed as in many other nodosaurids, such as *Struthiosaurus* [22,26].

Attempts were made to image the skull using X-ray photography and CT scanning. The abundance of pyrite present in the skull (Fig. 4E) presents a strong limitation in the use of these techniques as pyrite is opaque to X-rays.

Mandible

A small dentary fragment extending for only four complete alveolae (AR-1-133/10) was preserved from the holotype skeleton (Fig. 8 E–G). However, a robust left dentary and splenial are preserved together (AR-1-3698/31) from the paratype specimen (Fig. 10 A–E). The splenial is not in its posteromedial position relative to the dentary, but is fused across the posterior portion of the tooth row transversely. Additionally, an isolated left angular with a distinct highly sculptured scale along its ventral margin (AR-1-2945/31), was recovered (Fig. 10 F, G).

The dentary is 184.7 mm long with a minimum of 21 tooth positions, with no possibility of more than two unpreserved alveoli as determined by the position of the suture with the angular and surangular. As with the maxillary teeth, the alveoli are more than twice as large posteriorly. There is only 1.5 cm between the anteriormost alveoli and the symphysis, suggesting that there may have been premaxillary teeth as at least nine anterior teeth would have been positioned to oppose the premaxilla. The primitive ankylosaurs *Sarcolestes* [34,98], *Gargyleosaurus*, [85], *Silvisaurus* [76],

Animantarx [97], *Sauropelta* [99], *Anoplosaurus* [17], *Hungarosaurus* [33] and *Struthiosaurus* [22] have a short anterior diastema, and thus a narrow predentary, whereas this diastema is longer in ankylosaurs with wide predentaries. However, the symphysis in *Europelta* is robust and dorsoventrally deeper (45.0 mm deep and 29.00 mm across) than in ankylosaurs [82], and is most similar to the deep symphysis of *Hungarosaurus* [32], further suggesting a reduced predentary with a rudimentary ventral process. The symphysis is marked by two deep anteroposteriorly directed grooves. A row of foramina extends posteriorly on the lateral surface of the dentary from just dorsal to the buccal recess to the notch for the surangular, whereas nutritive foraminae are not clearly visible ventral to the alveolae on the medial side of the dentary as in other ankylosaurs. The recessed tooth row is deflected medially and forms a convex arch in lateral view. The dentary of *Hungarosaurus* is deeper dorsoventrally than that of *Europelta* [33].

The splenial (Fig. 10 A–D) is a thin bone with a convex ventral margin 156.6 mm long that contacts the angular. It has the appearance of an obtuse triangle in medial view. There is large, well-developed intermandibular foramen (7 mm long and 5.3 mm wide) 50 mm from its anterior end.

The angular (Fig. 10 F, G) has a maximum length of 175 mm. The lateral margin is highly rugose, because the bone is textured and remodeled to support a large scale, extending about 10–12 mm ventral to the ventral margin of the angular for most of its length. A distinct ridge marks the dorsal limit of the mandibular ornament medially, where it is in contact with the ventral margin of the splenial. Dorsal to this contact the bone is smooth. The ventral extent of the textured bone supporting the mandibular scale is similar to that observed in ankylosaurids such as




Figure 15. Dorsal vertebrae of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/31. Anterior dorsal vertebra with rib fragment AR-1-3662/31 in: (A) posterior view, (B) cranial view. Anterior dorsal vertebra AR-1-3672/31 in: (C) cranial view, (D) posterior view, (E) dorsal view, and (F) ventral view. Medial cervical vertebra AR-1-3633/31 in: (G) cranial view, (H) posterior view, (I) right lateral view, (J) left lateral view, (K) dorsal view, and (L) ventral view. Medial dorsal vertebra AR-1-3674/31 in: (M) cranial view, (N) posterior view, and (O) left lateral view. Medial dorsal vertebra AR-1-3489/31 in: (P) cranial view, (Q) posterior view, (R) right lateral view, (S) left lateral view, (T) dorsal view, and (U) ventral view. Medial dorsal vertebra AR-1-3675/31 in: (V) cranial view, (W) right lateral view, (X) left lateral view, (Y) dorsal view, and (Z) ventral view. Mid-dorsal vertebra AR-1-3704/31 in: (AA) cranial view, (BB) posterior view, (CC) left lateral view, (DD) ventral view, and (EE) dorsal view. Mid-dorsal vertebra AR-1-3673/31 in: (FF) cranial view, (GG) posterior view, (HH) right lateral view, (II) dorsal view, and (JJ) ventral view.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g015

Euoplocephalus [95] and *Minataurasaurus* [100], rather than the more lateral orientation found in *Gargoyleosaurus* [93] and in nodosaurids like *Sauropelta* [99] and *Panoplosaurus* [101].

Teeth

A large number of teeth are preserved from both the holotype AR-1/10 (20+) and the paratype AR-1/31 (15+) although many have drifted away from the alveolae. We assume that the teeth associated with the holotype pertain to the maxilla (several are preserved in the palate and in the maxilla) and those of the paratype pertain to the dentary (several are preserved in the dentary). In general, the cutting surfaces of the teeth are not well preserved, but a few exceptions exist. Wear facets were not observed on any of the teeth. The roots for both dentary and maxillary teeth are swollen lingually, are three to four times the length of the crowns, and are subquadrate in cross-section. One small tooth (AR-1-343/10) is more highly asymmetrical mesiodistally and may represent a premaxillary tooth (Fig. 11 L, M).

The isolated maxillary teeth (Fig. 11 A–K, N–FF) have a weakly developed labial cingulum and a strongly developed lingual cingulum. The best preserved right tooth AR-1-324/10 is 11.50 mm wide, 9.99 mm tall with seven to eight mesial denticles and five to six distal denticles (Fig. 11 A–E). A large right tooth AR-1-564/10 is 17.23 mm wide and 12.95 mm tall with eight to nine mesial denticles and ~six to seven distal denticles (Fig. 11 V–Z).

The isolated dentary teeth (Fig. 11 GG–FFF) are identical to the maxillary teeth and have a weak lingual cingulum and a strongly developed labial cingulum. The best preserved tooth AR-1-3700/31 is 14.03 mm wide and 12.69 mm tall with eight to nine mesial denticles and six to seven distal denticles (Fig. 11 LL–PP). The largest dentary tooth AR-1-3650/31 is 16.58 mm wide and 13.50 mm tall (Fig. 11 GG–KK).

With their relatively large size and well-developed cingula, the teeth of *Europelta* are most comparable to those of other nodosaurids [72]. They similar to the teeth of *Cedarpetta*, *Sauropelta* [34,97,102], *Edmontonia* and *Panoplosaurus* [72], but are not as high




Figure 16. Ribs of holotype of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/10. Complete rib AR-1-476/10 in: (A) anterior view and (E) posterior view. Partial rib AR-1-331/10 in: (B) posterior view and (F) anterior view. Partial rib AR-1-331/10 in: (C) posterior view and (D) anterior view.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g016

crowned as in the Jurassic ankylosaurs *Sarcolestes* and *Priodontognathus* [103], the Jurassic polacanthids *Gargoylesaurus* [93] and *Mymoorapelta* (Kirkland, pers. obs.), the nodosaurids *Peloroplites* [84] or *Hungarosaurus* [33]. Additionally, the large teeth of *Gobisaurus* are more inflated labiolingually than in *Europelta* and other ankylosaurs. The teeth of *Gastonia* and putative *Polacanthus* teeth are also inflated, but are smaller proportionally [83,103]. The teeth of *Europelta* differ from an isolated tooth from the Cenomanian of France which is about half the size, and proportionally is longer mesiodistally with more deeply divided denticles forming ridges on the labiolingual surfaces of the tooth [104]. Likewise, lower Cenomanian teeth assigned to “*Acanthopholis*” have more deeply divided denticles in what is a proportionally taller tooth [17]. The

teeth of *Struthiosaurus languedocensis* [31] from the lower Campanian of France also differ in size and in having longer, lower tooth crowns.

Axial skeleton

There are numerous ribs and vertebrae preserved from the holotype (AR-1/10) and the paratype specimen (AR-1/31). Vertebral measurements are presented in Table 1.

The complete atlas (AR-1-649/10) from the holotype has a total width of 195.6 mm (Fig. 12 A–F). The neural arch is divided dorsally with the left side fused to the centrum and the right side unattached. The anterior face of the atlantal intercentrum is 73.7 mm wide by 71.7 mm tall and its posterior face is 99.9 mm



Figure 17. Sacrum of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/31. Caudosacral vertebra AR-1-3512/31 in: (A) posterior view, (B) right lateral view, (C) anterior view, (D) left lateral view, (K) dorsal view, and (P) ventral view. Sacrum AR-1-3446/31 in: (E) right lateral view, (J) left lateral view, (L) dorsal view, (O) anterior view, (Q) ventral view, and (V) posterior view. Medial section of synsacral rod (AR-1-3450/31) in: (F) left lateral view, (M) dorsal view, and (R) ventral view. Anteriormost centrum of synsacral rod (AR-1-3451/31) in: (G) right lateral view, (N) dorsal view, and (S) ventral view. Intermediate left sacral rib (AR-1-3460/31) in: (H) posterior view and (T) posterior view. Anterior left sacral rib (AR-1-3452/31) in: (I) dorsal and (U) ventral view. Anterior end of synsacrum from AR-1/10; AR-1-154/10 in: (W) anterior view and (X) right lateral view. Initial reconstruction of the sacrum AR-1-3446, 3450, 3512/31 inverted for consistency in (Y) right lateral view.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g017

wide by 61.2 mm tall with a length of 62.0 mm. The axis is not present in either associated skeleton.

There are five post-axis cervical vertebrae (AR-1-431/10, 449, 533, 637, 650) preserved from the holotype skeleton (Fig. 12 I–II) and five from the paratype skeleton; of which four are illustrated (AR-1-3586/31, 3632, 3671, and 3676) (Fig. 13). Overall, they are typical of most other described ankylosaur cervical vertebrae. The centra are amphicoelus, wider than tall, anteroposteriorly short, and medially constricted. Anterior and mid-cervical vertebrae have the anterior faces of the centra dorsally elevated relative to the posterior faces. This is in contrast to the posterior cervical centra which have horizontally aligned faces. The ventral sides of

the anterior centra are characterized by two anteroposteriorly-oriented paired fossae separated by a low keel (Figs. 12, N, T, Y, EE, II, 13, F), as observed in the primitive nodosaurid *Animantarx* [97]. The dorsal ends of the neural spines are expanded transversely. AR-1-638/10 may either be the last cervical vertebra or the first dorsal vertebra based on the position of the parapophyses.

There are two complete cervical ribs preserved for the holotype. AR-1-450/10 is a relatively anterior cervical rib (Fig. 12 G, H) and AR-1-4452/10 is a posterior cervical rib. There is no evidence of fusion of cervical ribs to the cervical vertebrae as in the ankylosaurid *Saichania* [105,106] or *Ankylosaurus* [107]. The cervical




Figure 18. Proximal posterior vertebrae of holotype of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/10. Proximal posterior vertebra (2 or 3) AR-1-635/10 in: (A) anterior view, (B) posterior view, (C) right lateral view, (D) left lateral view, (E) dorsal view, and (F) ventral view. Proximal chevron AR-1-4451/10 in: (G) anterior view, (H) lateral view, and (I) posterior view. Proximal posterior vertebra (4 or 5) AR-1-562/10 in: (J) anterior view, (K) posterior view, (L) right lateral view, (M) left lateral view, (N) dorsal view, and (O) ventral view. Proximal chevron AR-1-569/10 in: (P) anterior view, (Q) lateral view, and (R) posterior view. Proximal posterior vertebra (5 or 6) AR-1-636/10 in: (V) anterior view, (W) posterior view, (X) right lateral view, (Y) left lateral view, (Z) dorsal view, and (AA) ventral view. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g018

ribs are Y-shaped overall and much like the cervical ribs of other ankylosaurs such as *Silvisaurus* [76,78,82].

Several amphiplatan to amphicoelous dorsal vertebrae are preserved: eight for the holotype AR-1/10 and nine for the paratype AR-1/31. The diapophyses originate at the level of the post-zygopophyses at the dorsal extent of the neural canal. The more anterior vertebrae have large cylindrical amphiplatan centra which lack a constricted ventral keel with circular neural canals and fused ribs (AR-1-448/10, 478, and 535). The broad transverse processes are T-shaped in cross-section and angled dorsally, unlike the laterally directed transverse processes in *Polacanthus* [10,38]. Two dorsal vertebrae from the holotype appear to be pathological with the centra overgrown by about 0.5 cm of lumpy reactive bone (Figs. 14, G–K, W–BB). One of these pathologic vertebrae (AR-1-535/10) has fused ribs (Fig. 14 G–K) although the other (AR-1-430/10) does not (Fig. 14 W–BB). Two additional dorsal vertebrae (AR-1-478/10, 448) with fused ribs are not pathologic (Fig. 14 L–V). More posterior dorsal vertebrae have shorter, taller, more medially constricted centra, laterally compressed neural canals, more dorsally directed transverse processes, and lack fused ribs (AR-1-155/10, 322, and 556). The neural spines are thin and rectangular with narrowly expanded dorsal ends as in *Sauropelta* [99]. The neural spines are oriented dorsally as opposed to the posteriorly inclined neural spines of some other ankylosaurs such as *Sauropelta* [97]. None of the paratype vertebrae (AR-1-3489/31, 3633, 3662, 3672, 3673, 3674, 3675, 3677 and 3704) have fused ribs (Fig. 15), suggesting that this character is ontogenetic because the paratype AR-1/31 represents a somewhat smaller (and presumably younger) individual than the holotype AR-1/10. More expanded neural spines are present in *Shamosaurus* [91].

There are a number of rib fragments preserved with AR-1/10, but there are only three (AR-1-331/10, 333, 476) relatively complete ribs (Fig. 16). As with most other ankylosaurs, the ribs

are sharply arched and L-shaped in cross-section proximally in anterior ribs and broadly arched and T-shaped in cross-section proximally in more posterior ribs.

The sacrum is not preserved in AR-1/10 other than an anteriormost centrum (AR-1-154/10) of the synsacrum (Fig. 17 W, X). However, for the paratype, AR-1-3466/31, there is a largely complete but fragmented synsacrum (Fig. 17 A–V) that includes an interpreted anteriormost synsacral centrum (AR-1-3451/31), more of the anterior synsacrum composed of two dorsal centra (AR-1-3450/31), four sacral vertebrae with the sacral ribs from the left side (AR-1-3446/31), two sacral ribs from the right side (AR-1-3452/31, 3460), and one caudosacral vertebra (AR-1-3512/31). Given that at least one intermediate and one anterior fused synsacral dorsal vertebra are missing, the vertebral formula for the synsacrum would be five or more dorsosacral vertebrae, four sacral vertebrae, and one sacrocaudal vertebra. The entire synsacrum would have been over 50 cm long and measures about 44 cm across the sacral ribs. The middle section of the preserved dorsal synsacrum thins anteriorly from about 7 cm wide to about 5.5 cm wide. It then expands again anteriorly as indicated by the anteriormost centrum of the synsacrum. This differs from the sacrum of *Euoplocephalus* [108] and *Saichania* [106] in which each centrum making up the synsacrum is constricted medially. The sacrum is distinctive in being more strongly arched anteroposteriorly than other described ankylosaur sacra. The neural spines are dorsoventrally shorter than the height of the centra and are fused into a vertical sheet of bone along the length of the sacrum. The caudosacral neural spine is longer and unexpanded, transitional in form between the sacral neural spines and those of the proximal caudal vertebrae. The neural spines are broken off the anterior end of the synsacrum. The ventral side of the sacrum and anterior synsacrum is longitudinally depressed. The distal ends of the sacral ribs are expanded and the most robust medial sacral rib is about




Figure 19. Mid- to distal caudal vertebrae of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/31. Mid-caudal vertebra AR-1-3717/31 in: (A) anterior view, (B) posterior view, (C) right lateral view, (D) dorsal view, and (E) ventral view. Mid-caudal vertebra AR-1-3348/31 in: (F) anterior view, (G) posterior view, (H) right lateral view, (I) dorsal view, and (J) ventral view. Medial posterior vertebra AR-1-3716/31 in: (K) posterior view and (L) right lateral view. Mid-caudal vertebra AR-1-3616/31 in: (M) anterior view and (N) right lateral view. Distal posterior vertebra with fused chevron AR-1-3615/31 in: (O) anterior view, (P) posterior view, (Q) right lateral view, (R) dorsal view, and (S) ventral view. Distal posterior vertebra AR-1-3478/31 in: (T) anterior view and (U) right lateral view. Distal posterior vertebra AR-1-3243/31 in: (V) anterior view and (W) right lateral view. Distal posterior vertebra with fused chevron AR-1-3206/31 in: (X) anterior view and (Y) right lateral view. Distal posterior vertebra AR-1-3265/31 in: (Z) posterior view and (AA) right lateral view. Distal posterior vertebra with fused chevron AR-1-2950/31 in: (BB) anterior view, (CC) posterior view, (DD) left lateral view, (EE) dorsal view, and (FF) ventral view. Fused pair of extreme distalmost caudal vertebrae with fused chevron AR-1-3714/31 in: (GG) right lateral view, (HH) dorsal view, and (II) ventral view. Terminal four fused posterior vertebrae with fused chevrons AR-1-3204/31 in: (JJ) right lateral view, (KK) dorsal view (KK), and (LL) ventral view.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g019

50% taller (9.4 cm) than wide (6 cm) at its attachment with the ilium. There is no sign of expansion of the dorsal termination of the neural spine on the sacrocaudal vertebra. Additionally, the caudal rib is reduced compared to the sacral ribs.

The sacrum of *Struthiosaurus languedocensis* [31] is similar overall, but based on the description is not so strongly anteroposteriorly arched as in *Europelta*. Similarly, the sacrum of *Hungarosaurus*, as exhibited at the Hungarian Natural History Museum, appears to be moderately arched. The moderate angulation of the faces of the sacral centra (somewhat wedge-shaped in lateral view) in *Anoplosaurus* [17] indicates that a moderately arched sacrum may have been present in this taxon as well. Among North American nodosaurids, we have observed only a moderate anteroposteriorly arching of the synsacrum of *Silvisaurus*, which appears to be restricted to the posterior part of the sacrum and two sacrocaudals. In other ankylosaurs, the downward flexure of the tail from the hips is taken up in the proximal caudal vertebrae as in *Mymoorapelta* [84,109] and *Euoplocephalus* [70,82].

Only three proximal caudal vertebrae (AR-1-562/10, 635, 636) are present (Fig. 18 A–F, J–O, V–AA). The proximal-most caudal vertebrae are not preserved for the holotype. The preserved vertebrae probably represent caudal vertebrae positions in the interval of about 3–7. The centra are anteroposteriorly shorter than dorsoventrally tall and somewhat wedge-shaped in anterior and posterior views. The posterior chevron facets are well developed. The neural spines are inclined posteriorly and the

dorsal ends of the neural spines are only slightly expanded transversely as in *Gargoylesaurus* [95] and some other ankylosaurs such as *Cedarapelta* [86], *Edmontonia* [110], *Hungarosaurus* [32] and *Euoplocephalus* [70,82]. The neural spines are strongly expanded in most polacanthids such as *Mymoorapelta* [84,109], *Gastonia* [83], and *Polacanthus* [10], and some North American nodosaurids such as *Sauropelta* [99], and *Silvisaurus* [76]. The neural spine of AR-1-562/10 is broken, erroneously giving it the appearance of being strongly inclined posteriorly. The caudal ribs (transverse processes) in *Europelta* originate high on the sides of the centrum and angle ventrally proximal to flexing laterally, giving them a dorsally concave profile in anterior view like *Hungarosaurus*, *Struthiosaurus*, and *Peloroplites*, and unlike the ventrally flexed caudal ribs of many polacanthids [10,84,109] and the caudal vertebra assigned to “*Acanthopholis*” [17] or straight caudal ribs of *Gargoylesaurus* [95], *Cedarapelta*, *Peloroplites* [86], and *Edmontonia* [87]. The proximal caudal ribs of *Hylaeosaurus* differ in being swept back posteriorly [111]. The lateral terminations of the caudal ribs do not expand dorsoventrally as they do in *Peloroplites* [86] and *Struthiosaurus*, which actually appear to bifurcate [25,26].

Additionally, there are four chevrons preserved from about the same region of the tail (AR-1-560/10, 561, 569, and 4451) of which three are illustrated (Fig. 18 G–I, P–U). The proximal chevrons are approximately as long as the neural spines as in most other ankylosaurs. They are relatively straight and expanded into teardrop shapes distally in lateral view. Unlike in many




Figure 20. Pectoral girdle of holotype of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/10. Posterior right scapular blade AR-1-429/10 in: (A) lateral view, (B) dorsal view, and (C) medial view. Left coracoid AR-1-657/10 in: (D) anterior view, (E) lateral view, (F) posterior view, (G) medial view, and (H) ventral view. Right xiphisternal AR-1-252/10 in: (I) ventral view and (J) medial view. Left xiphisternal AR-1-4675/10 in: (K) ventral view and (L) medial view. Abbreviations: g = glenoid, s = sutural contact between coracoid and fragment of scapula.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g020

ankylosaurs, there is no fusion of proximal chevrons to their respective caudal vertebrae as in *Pinacosaurus* and *Saichania* [105,106], *Ankylosaurus* [107,112], and *Edmontonia* (ROM 1215) [87].

Several more distal caudal vertebrae are preserved in the paratype. The two most proximal of these (AR-1-3348/31, AR-1-3717/31) have centra of nearly equal height, width, and length, with a ventral groove, and caudal ribs shorter than the diameter of the centrum that extend laterally and angle posteriorly (Fig. 19 A–J). The chevron facets are well developed with the posterior facets more strongly developed than the anterior facets. The neural spines are not developed and the zygapophyses only extend a short distance beyond the anterior and posterior margins of the centra. These vertebrae are interpreted to represent mid-caudal vertebrae. Two more distal mid-caudal vertebrae (AR-1-3616/31, AR-1-3716/31) are similar in morphology except that the caudal ribs are reduced to anteroposteriorly directed ridges on the lateral margins of the centra (Fig. 19 K–N). Their neural spines incline posteriorly, merging with the postzygapophyses as posterior processes extending laterally past the faces of the centra to overlie and articulate between the paired prezygapophyses of the immediately distal vertebra. This morphology is retained in the distal caudal vertebra. More distally, as in AR-1- 2950/31, 3206, 3243, 3265, 3478, and 3615, the caudal ribs are lost and the centra become more elongate (Fig. 19 O–FF). Unlike many ankylosaurs, the faces of the centra maintain a well-rounded to heart-shaped surface distally down the caudal series [82]. For many of these vertebrae, ventrally anteroposteriorly elongated skid-shaped (inverted T) chevrons are fused to the posterior chevron facets. Fusion of distal chevrons to their respective vertebrae is widespread among ankylosaurs [84,106,110] although it is not

present in some, such as *Nodosaurus* [113]. One pair of distal caudal vertebrae is fused by their mutually shared chevron (Fig. 19 GG–II) such as has been documented in *Mymoorapelta* [84]. The most distal four caudal vertebrae (Fig. 19 JJ–LL) and their chevrons are fused together in AR-1-3204/31 to form a tapering, terminal rod of bone at the end of the tail somewhat similar to that of *Sauropelta* [71].

Pectoral Girdle

Parts of the right scapulocoracoid are preserved. A portion of the distal scapular blade (AR-1-429/10) is preserved with a portion of the distal ventral margin missing with a curved section broken away. There is no evidence of any distal expansion of the scapular blade as in many nodosaurids [94].

The coracoid (AR-1-657/10) is preserved with only the most proximal portion of the scapula fused on (Fig. 20 D–H). It appears to have been sheared off just dorsal to the suture between the coracoid and the scapula, perhaps in the process of removing the overlying coal seam. The coracoid is relatively equidimensional (201.3 mm long by 186.5 mm tall) relative to the elongate coracoids characteristic of many other nodosaurids [114] such as *Peleroplites* [86], *Texasites* [77,115], and *Animantarx* [97]. The medial surface is concave and the lateral surface is convex giving it a bowl-shaped appearance. The ventral margin is evenly convex as in many polacanthids and nodosaurids and there is no anteroventral process as in all ankylosaurids, including *Shamosaurus* [91,94]. The articular surface of the ventrally directed glenoid is wide, bounded by a flange that extends beyond the medial surface of the coracoid.

Both xiphisternal plates are preserved (Figure 20I–L). The best preserved xiphisternal is approximately 350 mm long. They



Figure 21. Forelimb of holotype of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/10. Left proximal humerus AR-1-655/10 in: (A) lateral view, (B) posterior view, (C) proximal view, and (D) anterior view. Shaft of right humerus AR-1-327/10 in: (E) medial view, (F) posterior view, (G) lateral view, and (H) anterior view. Abbreviations: d = deltopectoral crest, h = humeral head, it = internal tuberosity.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g021

appear to be arcuate flat bones. Xiphisternal plates are only known in a few nodosaurids, but those of *Europelta*, whereas similar in overall shape to other nodosaurid xiphisterna, are not fenestrate or scalloped along their margins as in North American nodosaurids for which they are known [82,87,116].

Forelimb

Parts of both humeri are preserved. The right humerus (AR-1-655/10) is represented by the proximal end (Fig. 21 A–D). It is 249.2 mm wide with a well-developed proximal head 91.9 mm wide that extends onto the posterior side of the humerus. Distinct notches separate both the laterally directed deltopectoral crest as in nodosaurids such as *Sauropelta* [70,71,99] and the internal tuberosity from the humeral head. The deltopectoral crest extends laterally from the humerus and is not flexed anteriorly as in polacanthids and ankylosaurids [94].

The left humerus (AR-1-327/10) is represented by a midshaft for which both the proximal and distal ends appear to have rotted off and the core of the shaft has rotted away (Fig. 21 E–H). The shaft is deeply waisted relative to the proximal and distal ends. Although relatively uninformative, enough of this humerus is preserved to indicate that the deltopectoral crest would have made up less than 50% of the length of the humerus as in nodosaurids [71,117] and in the basal ankylosaur *Mymoorapelta* (Kirkland, pers. obs.) compared to the longer deltopectoral crests of ankylosaurids [70,71]. Overall, the humerus of *Europelta* is similar in proportions to *Niobrassaurus* [118,119]. The wide proximal end of the humerus

figured by Ősi and Prondvai [120] as cf. *Struthiosaurus* is similar to that of *Europelta*, whereas the humerus of co-occurring *Hungarosaurus* is more slender proportionally.

Among the nine unguals preserved for AR-1/31, one specimen (AR-1-3711/31) may represent a manual ungual. It is more equidimensional than the other eight more elongate unguals.

Pelvic Girdle

The right ilium of AR-1/10 is fused with its ischium and pubis (AR-1-479/10) which are flexed medially due to compaction (Fig. 22 A–D). The acetabulum is completely enclosed as in all derived ankylosaurs [70,71,82,94,108]. Only *Mymoorapelta* is known to retain an open acetabulum [84,109]. The acetabulum is directed ventrally and is situated medially near the contact of the ilium with the sacrum so that the ilium extends far out beyond the acetabulum laterally for a distance nearly equal to its width. The lateral and anterior margins of the laterally oriented ilium are broken away. The prepubic portion of the ilium diverges from the midline of the sacrum at about 30 degrees and is thickened ventrally along its midline. Large, fairly equi-dimensional, closely appressed osteoderms (7–10 cm in diameter) cover the dorsal surface of the ilium posterior to and medial to the acetabulum. As discussed below, this morphology of sacral armor compares well with “Category 3” pelvic armor of Arbour and others [121]. Anteriorly, the smooth dorsal surface of the ilium is exposed. The pubis is fully fused to the anterior margin of the ischium with no visible sutures; its presence is indicated by a slot-shaped foramen




Figure 22. Pelvis of holotype of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/10. Right ilium with fused pubis and ischium AR-1-479/10 in: (A) dorsal view, (B) medial view, (C) ventral view, and (D) posterior view. Left ischium and fused pubis AR-1-129/10 in: (E) medial view and (F) lateral view. Abbreviations: a=acetabulum, is=ischium, of=obturator foramen between fused ischium and pubis, p=pubis, o=osteoderms, sa=sacral attachment area.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g022

along the anterior side of the ischium. This foramen represents the obturator notch between the postpubic process and the main body of the pubis as in *Scelidosaurus* and stegosaurs [122]. The distal end of the ischium is broken away. Additionally, AR-1-129/10 is a poorly preserved, proximal left ischium with the pubis fully fused to its anterior margin (Fig. 22 E, F).

Beyond some relatively uninformative fragments of the ilium (Fig. 23 A–C), AR-1/31 includes both the right (AR-1-3648/31) and the left (AR-1-3649/31) ischia with fully fused pubes (Fig. 23 D–M). Both exhibit the slot-shaped foramen along the anterior side of the ischium formed by the obturator notch. The proximal ends appear enrolled such that the anterior and posterior margins are nearly parallel due to compaction. Both display an anterior kink at their distal end as in *Cedarpetta* [86,88], but overall are straight-shafted as in the Ankylosauridae [70,82,123] and the other European nodosaurids *Struthiosaurus* [31] and *Hungarosaurus* [32]. The distal end of the left ischium is the best preserved and measures 299.9 mm long along its anterior margin, including the fully fused pubis forming an ischiopubis. Given the asymmetry of the proximal end of the fused ischium and pubis and the position of the obturator foramen, it appears that the pubis still makes up some of the acetabular margin. The contact between the ilium and the fused ischiopubis is straight with about one-fourth to one-third of the acetabulum formed by the fused ischiopubis.

A straight ischium has been considered to be the primitive character state for ankylosaurs, with the bent ischium of *Polacanthus* and nodosaurids, a derived character [63,82,83,94,114,123]. It is possible that as opposed to being primitive, a straight ischium may

be secondarily acquired in the ankylosaurids and European nodosaurids. The only known ischium from the Jurassic ankylosaur (*Mymoorapelta*) is bent, a trait that is also observed in some stegosaurs such as *Kentrosaurus* [124]. Stegosaur ischia, even when straight, have an angular thickening near the mid-point of the posterior margin [124] that is shared by the polacanthids *Mymoorapelta* (Kirkland pers. obs.) and *Gastonia* [83]. *Europelta* is the oldest known ankylosaur preserving a straight ischium. The slight kink in the distal end of the ischium of *Europelta* suggests the straight ischium in European nodosaurids and ankylosaurids is achieved by shortening the ischium distal to the bend.

Hindlimb

The right femur, tibia, and fibula were closely associated (Fig. 24 A–F). The robust right femur (AR-1-3244/31) is 502.9 mm long and 178.9 mm wide at the proximal end and has been flattened anteroposteriorly, with the most distortion to the mid-shaft region. The femoral head is distinct with much of its articular surface directed dorsally and only somewhat medially. It forms an angle of about 115° with the long axis of the femur. The femoral head is directed more dorsally under the ilium in polacanthids [7,12,82,95,125], and several nodosaurids. In addition, the femoral head of *Europelta* is expanded such that it overhangs the femoral shaft both anteriorly and posteriorly. The greater trochanter is well demarcated from the femoral head by a constriction across the proximal end of the femur, and the anterior trochanter forms a ridge ventral to the greater trochanter that is fully fused to the femur. The robust fourth trochanter overlaps the



Figure 23. Pelvis of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/31. Fragment of ilium AR-1-3490/31 in: (A) lateral view and (B) ventral view. Fragment of ilium AR-1-3649/31 in: (C) ventral view. Left ischium and fused pubis AR-1-3649/31 in: (D) lateral view, (E) proximal view, (F) anterior view, (G) distal view, (H) medial view, and (I) posterior view. Right ischium and fused pubis AR-1-3648/31 in: (J) lateral view, (K) anterior view, (L) medial view, and (M) posterior view. Red arrows indicate obturator foramen between fused ischium and pubis. Abbreviations: a = acetabulum, of = obturator foramen between fused ischium and pubis, p = pubis.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g023

midpoint of the femoral shaft and its midpoint is located proximal at the midpoint of the femur. Polacanthids and nodosaurid ankylosaurs have this configuration, whereas in ankylosaurids the fourth trochanter is distal to the middle of the shaft [63,82,95,120,125]. The distal end of the femur is flattened and forms a planar articular surface relative to the straight femoral shaft. The intercondylar notch is not expressed ventrally, and is better developed posteriorly than anteriorly.

The right tibia (AR-1-3237/31) and fibula (AR-1-3238/31) were closely associated (Fig. 6) and post-depositionally compressed. Compression has distorted the distal end of the tibia such that the wide posterior surface is twisted counterclockwise in line with the wide lateral side of the anterior end relative to the orientation of the proximal and distal ends of the tibia in most other ankylosaurs, such as *Mymoorapelta* [84] (Kirkland, pers. obs.). The fibula was taphonomically displaced ventrally and with the ventral end rotated posteriorly relative to its position in life with the tibia.

The tibia is 458.8 mm long and robust for its entire length (Fig. 24 G–K, Q) as in *Cedarapelta* [86]. The proximal end is 169.2 mm wide by 93.1 mm wide and its distal end is 146.8 mm wide by 70.2 mm. It is significantly more narrowly waisted in

Mymoorapelta [84], *Gastonia* [83], *Polacanthus* [7,12,18], *Sauropelta* [69,71,99,108], *Peloroplites* [86], and in *Zhejiangosaurus* [126] and ankylosaurids like *Saichania* [106]. The cnemial crest is broadly rounded. The even curvature of the distal end of the tibia suggests that the astragalus was fully fused to it with no evident sutural contact as in most ankylosaurs [63,82,121]. The astragalus is not fused to the distal end of the tibia in *Mymoorapelta* [84], *Gastonia* [83], *Hylaeosaurus* [11], and *Peloroplites* [86].

Generally, ankylosaurids have tibiae that are less than two-thirds the length of their femora, as opposed to nodosaurids which have proportionally longer lower leg elements [127]. With a tibia to femur ratio of 0.91, *Europelta* has the proportionally longest tibia of any ankylosaur for which this ratio is known. Both *Cedarapelta* and *Peloroplites* have relatively longer tibiae than other ankylosaurs [86], with a tibia to femur ratio of 0.82 in both. *Peloroplites* differs in its proportionally more narrowly waisted tibial shaft.

The fibula is 395.5 mm long (Fig. 24 L–P, R) and laterally flattened. The proximal end is not expanded anteroposteriorly, such that the slender fibula changes little in size and shape from the proximal to distal end. In lateral view, the proximal end is rounded and the distal end is concave. In cross-section, it is



Figure 24. Hindlimb of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/31. Right femur AR-1-3244/31 in: (A) medial view, (B) posterior view, (C) lateral view, (D) anterior view, (E) proximal view, and (F) distal view. Right tibia AR-1-3237/31 in: (G) proximal view, (H) medial view (I), posterior view, (J) lateral view, (K) anterior view, and (Q) distal view. Right fibula AR-1-3238/31 in: (L) medial view, (M) posterior view, (N) proximal view, (O) lateral view, (P) anterior view, (R) distal view. Right calcaneum AR-1-3239/31 in: (S) lateral view, and (T) medial view. Abbreviations: a = astragalus, at = anterior trochanter, c = cnemial crest, fh = femoral head, ft = fourth trochanter, gt = greater trochanter, lc = lateral condyle, mc = medial condyle. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g024

flattened medially and convex laterally. It is longer relative to the tibia than in most other ankylosaurs [108].

A calcaneum (AR-1-3289/31) was identified in association with the lower right leg of AR-1/31. It is laterally compressed, convex laterally and concave medially (Fig. 24 S, T). Its dorsal margin is flattened where it would articulate with the fibula. Calcanea are practically unknown in ankylosaurs, but one has been identified in the juvenile specimen of the derived ankylosaur *Anodontosaurus* [128]. The type of *Niobrariasaurus coleii* preserves an articulated lower hind limb, with an astragalus fully fused with the tibia and possessing an articulation with the distal end of the fibula and an unfused calcaneum of similar morphology to that of *Europelta*

[118]. The calcaneum is fully fused to the distal end of the fibula in *Saichania* [106].

A number of metatarsals and phalanges are associated with AR-1/31. The metatarsals have subrectangular proximal ends, indicating that they were closely articulated in a well-integrated pes in life (Fig. 25 A–W). The pedal phalanges (Fig. 25 X–III) are short, as in other ankylosaurs. There are eight relatively large, elongate, spade-like unguals (Fig. 25 KKK–WWWW) of a morphology similar to pedal unguals in other ankylosaurs in which the unguals are nearly as long as the digits [82], which indicates that portions of both feet are present in AR-1/31. We interpret that the pes of *Europelta* possesses four pedal phalanges as in most other nodosaurids [80]. *Liaoningosaurus* has three digits on

(
t
t
t
/,
ft
x
al
/,
x
/,
1
n:
))
ft
ft
al
al
/,
al

of
n
r
e
r
n

O

S
Sof
n
r
e
r
n
ll
o
s
s




Figure 26. Larger osteoderms of holotype of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/10. Type A dermal armor; cervical or pectoral spine AR-1-128/10 in: (A) anterior view, (B) dorsal view, (C) basal view, and (D) ventral view. Type B dermal armor; caudosacral plate-like osteoderm AR-1-675/10 in: (E) ventral view, and (F) dorsal view. Type A-B dermal armor; distal spine AR-1-444/10 in: (G) anterior view (H) posterior view, (I) dorsal view, and (J) basal view (J). Possible pelvic spine AR-1-653/10 in: (K) dorsal view, (L) ventral lateral, (M)?right lateral view, and (N)?left lateral view.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g026




Figure 27. Caudosacral plate-like osteoderms from *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/31. Type B dermal armor; caudosacral plate-like osteoderm AR-1-3223/31 in: (A) dorsal view, (B) basal view, and (C) ventral view. Type B dermal armor; caudosacral plate-like osteoderm AR-1-3236/31 in: (D) dorsal view, (E) basal view, and (F) ventral view. Type B dermal armor; caudosacral plate AR-1-3075/31 in: (G) dorsal view, (H) basal view, and (I) ventral view. Type B dermal armor; caudosacral plate-like osteoderm AR-1-3540/31 in: (J) external view, (K) ventral view, and (L) basal view.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g027



Figure 28. Dorsal osteoderms of holotype of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/10. Type C armor AR-1-127/10 in: (A) external view and (B) basal view. Type C armor AR-1-467/10 in: (C) external view and (D) basal view. Type C-D armor AR-1-461/10 in: (E) external view and (F) basal view. Type C-D armor AR-1-652/10 in: (G) external view and (H) basal view. Type D armor AR-1-553/10 in: (I) external view and (J) basal view. Type D armor AR-1-464/10 in: (K) external view and (L) basal view. Type C armor AR-1-4450/10 in: (M) external view and (N) basal view. Type B-C armor AR-1-462/10 in: (O) external view and (P) basal view. Type E armor AR-1-472/10 in: (Q) external view and (R) basal view. Type D-E armor AR-1-651/10 in: (S) external view and (T) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-234/10 in: (U) external view and (V) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-241/10 in: (W) external view and (X) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-659/10 in: (Y) external view and (Z) basal view. Type G armor AR-1-192/10 in: (AA) external view and (BB) basal view. Irregular armor mass AR-1-447/10 in: (CC) lateral view, (DD) external view, (EE) lateral oblique view, and (FF) basal view. Small type F armor AR-1-247/10 in: (GG) external view and (HH) basal view. Small type F armor AR-1-126/10 in: (II) external view and (JJ) basal view. Small type F armor AR-1-496/10 in: (KK) external view and (LL) basal view. Small type F armor AR-1-246/10 in: (MM) external view and (NN) basal view. Small osteoderm AR-1-438/10 in: (OO) external view and (PP) basal view.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g028

descriptions. The term osteoderm is used to describe relatively larger dorsal and lateral armor elements with the presence of an external keel or tubercle, whereas the term ossicle describes relatively smaller dermal armor lacking a keel, in the sense of Blows [130]. It is recognized that a consistent methodology for describing armor is achievable, but must be done within a phylogenetic framework to be of maximum utility.

Osteoderm surface texture may be broadly useful in differentiating ankylosaurids from nodosaurids [132,133]. The vast majority of the osteoderms examined in *Europelta* has a moderately rugose texture with sparse pitting more in keeping with nodosaurids and basal ankylosaurids rather than more derived ankylosaurids. Whereas histological studies have proven useful in the study of thyreophorans [132,134,135], that is beyond the scope of this study.

It is noteworthy that no portions of distinct cervical rings were recovered, although cervical vertebrae are known for both

skeletons of *Europelta*. Additionally, only one spine from the cervical or pectoral region was tentatively identified. We postulate that these elements were lost through the process of coal removal or may have been taphonomically removed from the skeletal associations. Only the discovery of additional specimens of *Europelta* can further reveal the presence of cervical half-rings.

Type A armor. An isolated fragmentary spine (AR-1-128/10), possibly from the cervical or pectoral region, is recognized from the holotype (Fig. 26 A–D). It appears to represent only the anterior half and may have been cut in two as the overlying coal was removed. This sharp, broken margin reveals an asymmetric, Y-shaped cross-section. The base flares more and is less excavated than in a Type 2 caudal plate, suggesting that it was positioned on a broad flank of the body. From the possible anterior margin, the spine slopes posteriorly 15 cm to the broken margin in a gradual arc. There is no indication that the spine could not have been longer. The spine is compressed as in the cervical spines of




Figure 29. External osteoderms of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/31. Type C armor AR-1-3449/31 in: (A) external view and (B) basal view. Type C armor AR-1-3608/31 in: (C) external view and (D) basal view. Type B-C armor AR-1-3491/31 in: (E) external view and (F) basal view. Type B-C armor AR-1-3492/31 in: (G) external view and (H) basal view. Type D armor AR-1-3590/31 in: (I) external view and (J) basal view. Type D armor AR-1-3587/31 in: (K) external view and (L) basal view. Type D armor AR-1-3438/31 in: (M) external view and (N) basal view. Type D armor AR-1-3390/31 in: (O) external view and (P) basal view. Type D armor AR-1-3030/31 in: (Q) external view and (R) basal view. Type D armor AR-1-3209/31 in: (S) external view and (T) basal view. Type D-F armor AR-1-3572/31 in: (U) external view and (V) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3681/31 in: (W) external view and (X) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3340/31 in: (Y) external view and (Z) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3448/31 in: (AA) external view and (BB) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3228/31 in: (CC) external view and (DD) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3447/31 in: (EE) external view and (FF) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3226/31 in: (GG) external view and (HH) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3080/31 in: (II) external view and (JJ) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3576/31 in: (KK) external view and (LL) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3638/31 in: (MM) external view and (NN) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3658/31 in: (OO) external view and (PP) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3683/31 in: (QQ) external view and (RR) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3573/31 in: (SS) external view (TT) and basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3574/31 in: (UU) external view and (VV) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3597/31 in: (WW) external view and (XX) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3610/31 in: (YY) external view and (ZZ) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3682/31 in: (AAA) external view and (BBB) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3339/31 in: (CCC) external view and (DDD) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3180/31 in: (EEE) external view and (FFF) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3687/31 in: (GGG) external view and (HHH) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3609/31 in: (III) external view and (JJJ) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3680/31 in: (KKK) external view and (LLL) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3684/31 in: (MMM) external view and (NNN) basal view. Small type D armor AR-1-3575/31 in: (OOO) external view and (PPP) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3074/31 in: (QQQ) external view and (RRR) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3708/31 in: (SSS) external view and (TTT) basal view. Type F armor AR-1-3720/31 in: (UUU) external view and (VVV) basal view.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g029

Sauropelta [77,99] and *Edmontonia* [110,136], and the pectoral spines of *Gastonia* [83] and *Polacanthus* [7,10]. The base is asymmetrical in a manner similar to the elongate osteoderms in *Mymoorapelta* [84], with one side of the base extending lower anteriorly and the other posteriorly. There is no evidence of a basal plate incorporated into fusion of the cervical half-ring as in mature ankylosaurs like *Mymoorapelta* [84] *Gargoylesaurus* [85,95], *Gastonia* [83], *Polacanthus* [10,130], and *Sauropelta* [77,99]. This may relate to the anchoring of larger elements into the dermis in *Gastonia* and *Polacanthus* [130]. We tentatively interpret AR-1-128/

10 as a pectoral spine. However, if the complete element extends beyond the break for more than twice the length of the preserved portion, it would fall into the category of Type B armor, although that is unlikely because it is more massive form than the Type B elements.

Type B armor. Dorsoventrally compressed, hollow, asymmetric-based plate-like osteoderms with sharp anterior and posterior edges and lateroposteriorly directed apices are identified for AR-1/10 (Fig 26 E–J) and AR-1/31 (Fig. 27 A–L). Similar large osteoderms have been described as caudal plate osteoderms in




Figure 30. Elongate armor elements of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/31. Type D-E armor AR-1-3024/10 in: (A) external view and (B) basal view. Type D-E armor AR-1-3145/10 in: (C) external view and (D) basal view. Type D-E armor AR-1-3229/10 in: (E) external view and (F) basal view. Type E armor AR-1-3588/31 in: (G) external view and (H) basal view. Type D-E armor AR-1-3207/31 in: (I) external view and (J) basal view. Type E armor AR-1-3216/31 in: (K) external view and (L) basal view. Type E armor AR-1-3242/31 in: (M) external view and (N) basal view. Type E armor AR-1-3208/31 in: (O) external view and (P) basal view. Type E armor AR-1-3494/31 in: (Q) external view and (R) basal view. Type E armor AR-1-3612/31 in: (S) external view and (T) basal view. Type E armor AR-1-3598/31 in: (U) external view and (V) basal view. Type E armor AR-1-3338/31 in: (W) external view and (X) basal view. Type E armor AR-1-3932/31 in: (Y) external view and (Z) basal view. Type E armor AR-1-3611/31 in: (AA) external view and (BB) basal view. Type E armor AR-1-3227/31 in: (CC) external view and (DD) basal view. Type E armor AR-1-3613/31 in: (EE) external view and (FF) basal view. Deeply excavated osteoderm AR-1-3292/31 in: (GG) external view and (HH) basal view. Deeply excavated osteoderm AR-1-3721/31 in: (II) external view and (JJ) basal view.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g030

Mymoorapelta [84,109], *Gargyleosaurus* [85,95], *Gastonia* [83], and *Polacanthus* [8–10,38,130]. Similar, more anteroposteriorly symmetrical caudal plate osteoderms are also known in *Minmi* [137,138] and several Asian ankylosaurids [131]. The few plate-like osteoderms of this morphology that are identified in *Europelta* are mediolaterally shorter and anteroposteriorly longer with a more posteriorly swept apices. Two pairs of similar plates are known for the holotype of *Sauropelta* (AMNH 3032), with one of the larger plates being illustrated [99]. One plate from the Yale collections of *Sauropelta* has a unique double apex (YPM 5490). Given the rarity of Type B armor in *Sauropelta* and *Europelta* we hypothesize that caudal plates in these nodosaurids ran down the sides of the tail but decreased in size more rapidly, such that long-keeled osteoderms of Type E morphology made up the lateral armor down most of the length of the tail. It is also possible that these large plate-like osteoderms were on the lateral margin of the sacrum as has been documented by Carpenter and others [106] in *Saichania*. *Struthiosaurus* preserves several osteoderms of this morphology that have been reconstructed as in *Polacanthus* as being medial, dorsally-projecting caudal osteoderms [25,26]. The relative rarity of these plate-like osteoderms suggests that they were restricted to the base of the tail as well.

Type C armor. Both AR-1/10 (Fig. 28 A–H, O, P) and AR-1/31 (Fig. 29 A–F) preserve fairly large (~15–25 cm long) subrectangular to subtrapezoidal, solid osteoderms with low, evenly developed keels running down the long axis of the osteoderm either medially or to one side of the mid-line. Their distal and medial surfaces are subparallel and the entire plate may be slightly flexed across the short axis perpendicular to the crest. The straight, longer margins of these plates appear to have been tightly affixed but not fused to adjoining osteoderms. Armor of Type C morphology is not common but is most similar to medial cervical osteoderms of half-rings, and most distinctively, across the mid-line of the pectoral region in some nodosaurids such as

Stegopelta [138], *Niobrariasaurus* [140,141], *Panoplosaurus* [74,101], and *Edmontonia* [74,110].

Type D armor. Both AR-1/10 and AR-1/31 preserve large (~10–20 cm long) asymmetric, diamond (Fig. 28 I–N, Q–T; Fig. 29 M–P) to tear-drop shaped (Fig. 29 G–L, Q, R, U,V) osteoderms with a long keel rising to an apex medially to posteriorly and in some specimens extending past the posterior margin of the base. They are distinguished from Type E osteoderms because they are wider than 50% of their length. The wider osteoderms are thinner and more solid than the narrower osteoderms with small pockets under the apices. The more diamond-shaped forms may be more closely appressed to each other in anterior bands similar to Type C armor.

Type D Armor is widely known in the nodosaurids such as *Sauropelta* [99], *Panoplosaurus* [101], and *Edmontonia*. *Gastonia* is documented to have similar armor [142], although more solid in cross section with less basal excavation, which occurs in oblique rows anterior to the sacrum with each osteoderm separated by a single row of small Type H ossicles. This pattern is similar to the dorsal dermal ornamentation documented for the ankylosaur *Tarchia* by Arbour and others [130], except that in *Tarchia* most of the intermediate scales lacked ossified cores. Similar armor is known from the lateral sides of the legs in some ankylosaurs such as *Saichania* [106].

Type E armor. Both AR-1/10 and AR-1/31 preserve large (10–15 cm long) moderately asymmetric osteoderms more than twice as long as wide with a long keel higher on the assumed posterior end (Fig. 28 Q, R, II–NN; Fig. 30 G–FF). These osteoderms have proportionally more deeply excavated bases than Type D armor, have chevron-shaped cross-sections, and are distinguished from Type D armor by their width being less than 50% of the length. Type E armor is gradational with Type D armor (Fig. 28 S–T; Fig. 29 A–F) and may represent lateral or distal armor from the trunk of the body and along the sides of the




Figure 31. Interstitial Type H armor ossicles of holotype of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/10. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-497/10 in: (A) external view and (B) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-498/10 in: (C) external view and (D) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-499/10 in: (E) external view and (F) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-500/10 in: (G) external view and (H) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-501/10 in: (I) external view and (J) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-502/10 in: (K) external view and (L) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-503/10 in: (M) external view and (N) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-504/10 in: (O) external view and (P) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-505/10 in: (Q) external view and (R) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-506/10 in: (S) external view and (T) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-507/10 in: (U) external view and (V) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-508/10 in: (W) external view and (X) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-509/10 in: (Y) external view and (Z) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-510/10 in: (AA) external view and (BB) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-511/10 in: (CC) external view and (DD) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-512/10 in: (EE) external view and (FF) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-513/10 in: (GG) external view and (HH) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-514/10 in: (II) external view and (JJ) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-515/10 in: (KK) external view and (LL) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-516/10 in: (MM) external view and (NN) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-517/10 in: (OO) external view and (PP) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-518/10 in: (QQ) external view and (RR) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-519/10 in: (SS) external view and (TT) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-520/10 in: (UU) external view and (VV) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-521/10 in: (WW) external view and (XX) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-522/10 in: (YY) external view and (ZZ) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-4454/10 in: (AAA) external view and (BBB) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-523/10 in: (CCC) external view and (DDD) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-524/10 in: (EEE) external view and (FFF) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-525/10 in: (GGG) external view and (HHH) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-526/10 in: (III) external view and (JJJ) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-527/10 in: (KKK) external view and (LLL) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-528/10 in: (MMM) external view and (NNN) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-529/10 in: (OOO) external view and (PPP) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-530/10 in: (QQQ) external view and (RRR) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-4459/10 in: (SSS) external view and (TTT) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-4455/10 in: (UUU) external view and (VVV) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-4460/10 in: (WWW) external view and (XXX) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-4456/10 in: (YYY) external view and (ZZZ) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-4461/10 in: (AAAA) external view and (BBBB) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-4457/10 in: (CCCC) external view and (DDDD) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-4462/10 in: (EEEE) external view and (FFFF) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-4458/10 in: (GGGG) external view and (HHHH) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-4463/10 in: (IIII) external view and (JJJJ) basal view.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g031

tail. This armor type is present in *Sauropelta* [99] and *Texasetes* [115]. Similar armor is present on the sides of the limbs in *Scelidosaurus* and *Saichania* [106].

Type F armor. Medium to large (~5–15 cm long) oval to circular osteoderms of low profile with a median keel extending into an apex near or overhanging the posterior margin of the osteoderm are represented in both AR-1/10 (Fig. 28 U–Z) and AR-1/31 (Fig. 29 W–VVV). The basal surface of the osteoderm is generally solid except for a small pocket under the apex, reminiscent of Type D armor. Less commonly, the base may be more extensively excavated. Armor of this morphology is abundant in many nodosaurids and makes up the major elements of the armor of *Sauropelta* anterior to the sacrum in AMNH 3036 [142] and is present in *Panoplosaurus* [101]. These osteoderms may reside within more expansive spaces among the larger dorsal armor as in *Edmontonia* (AMNH, 5665) and the polacanthids

[81,82,93,107], or may be major armor elements on the posterior portion of the sacrum as in *Sauropelta* (AMNH 3036). They may also lie on the tail between the Type B caudal plate-like osteoderms, or could be arranged along the lateral side of the limbs as in *Saichania* [106].

Type G armor. One piece (AR-1-192/10) of flat, oval to subtriangular armor (AR-1-192/10) from AR-1/10 is about 12 cm long and 7 cm wide and is about 0.5 cm thick throughout (Fig. 28 AA, BB). A pair of similar, osteoderms from the *Sauropelta* specimen AMNH 3032 was curated with a note from the collector, Barnum Brown, stating that these distinct osteoderms were associated with the forelimbs. Therefore, we suggest a similar position for Type G armor in *Europelta*.

Type H armor. Small (~1–4 cm long) solid ossicles are abundant, with 71 examples from both AR-1/10 (Fig. 31) and AR-1/31 (Fig. 32) illustrated. These ossicles range in shape from




Figure 32. Interstitial Type H ossicles of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. AR-1/31. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3933/31 in: (A) external view and (B) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3934/31 in: (C) external view and (D) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3935/31 in: (E) external view and (F) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3936/31 in: (G) external view and (H) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3937/31 in: (I) external view and (J) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3958/31 in: (K) external view and (L) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3938/31 in: (M) external view and (N) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3939/31 in: (O) external view and (P) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3940/31 in: (Q) external view and (R) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-313941 in: (S) external view and (T) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3959/31 in: (U) external view and (V) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3942/31 in: (W) external view and (X) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3943/31 in: (Y) external view and (Z) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3944/31 in: (AA) external view and (BB) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3945/31 in: (CC) external view and (DD) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3946/31 in: (EE) external view and (FF) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3076/31 in: (GG) external view and (HH) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3947/31 in: (II) external view and (JJ) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3948/31 in: (KK) external view and (LL) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3949/31 in: (MM) external view and (NN) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3950/31 in: (OO) external view and (PP) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3957/31 in: (QQ) external view and (RR) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3951/31 in: (SS) external view and (TT) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3952/31 in: (UU) external view and (VV) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3953/31 in: (WW) external view and (XX) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3956/31 in: (YY) external view and (ZZ) basal view. Interstitial ossicle AR-1-3960/31 in: (AAA) external view and (BBB) basal view.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g032

round, to oval and even irregularly shaped, and are probably filling in the spaces between larger osteoderms. Small interstitial ossicles are not known for every ankylosaur taxon, but appear to be present in many nodosaurid taxa such as *Sauropelta* [99,143] and *Edmontonia* [74,136], in polacanthid ankylosaurs such as *Gastonia* [83] and in some ankylosaurids such as *Tarchia* [131], in which epidermal scales interstitial to osteoderms do not preserve deeper, interstitial ossicles. Their absence may be real, in that they never form deep to the epidermal scales, taphonomic, in that they are selectively transported away because of their small size and low density, or ontogenetic; in that they only ossify late in ontogeny. The surface texture of *Gastonia* ossicles is smoother than those of *Europelta*.

Sacral armor. Armor is present on the posterior margin of the ilium AR-1-479/10. It is composed of large, subequal-sized (7–10 cm) osteoderms that are tightly sutured together (Fig. 22 A) as in the poorly known *Stegopelta* [139], *Nodosaurus* [113], *Aletopelta* [127], and *Glyptodontopelta* [132,144]. These low-relief ossicles lack a central apex or keel. The boundary between the margins of the osteoderms and the area devoid of osteoderms on the ilium is sharply demarcated along the margins of unbroken osteoderms, suggesting the armor was not coossified as in *Aletopelta* [127] and unlike the fully fused sacral armor in the polacanthids *Polacanthus*

and *Gastonia* [63,83]. This form of pelvic armor fits that of Arbour and others' Category 3 pelvic armor [121].

Additionally, there is a unique osteoderm AR-1-653/10 that has a large, posteriorly-curved, plate-like keel extending out from the surface that, considered in isolation, is comparable in size and morphology to Type B armor (Fig. 26 K–N). The base is smooth and gently convex, suggesting it may have been closely appressed to the more anterior portion of the ilium. In overall morphology, this large osteoderm is comparable to the spine-bearing armor plate-like osteoderm identified in *Hungarosaurus* and interpreted to be present in *Struthiosaurus* [33].

Unique armor pieces. Some irregularly shaped armor specimens are not represented by more than one element among this material or in the armor from other taxa. At this time, we can offer no positional interpretation of this armor. AR-1-447/10 is an irregular mass of what we interpret as an osteoderm, although it could be sacral armor (Figure 28 CC–FF). AR-1-438/10 is a small, cap-shaped shaped with a small excavation in the center of the external surface (Fig. 28 OO, PP). Two small, deeply basally excavated, oval osteoderms (Fig. 30 GG–JJ) were collected from AR-1/31(AR-1-3239/31, 3721). These osteoderms lack the external excavation.




Figure 33. Skeletal reconstruction of *Europelta carbonensis* n. gen., n. sp. Skeletal reconstruction in: (A) lateral view with unknown parts of the skeleton shaded in gray, (B) lateral view with hypothetical distribution of the armor indicated, and (C) dorsal view with hypothetical distribution of the armor on right side of body indicated.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.g033

Discussion

Europelta (Fig. 33) can be distinguished from any of the ankylosaurs assigned to the Polacanthidae (sensu Kirkland's Polacanthinae [83] and Carpenter's Polacanthidae [63] from the Upper Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous as defined by Yang and others [64]; see Terminology) by its rounded, tear-drop shaped skull and a suborbital horn developed on the posterior portion of the jugal and the quadratojugal posterior to the orbit, as opposed to a triangular-shaped skull that is widest at the posterior margin and a suborbital horn developed exclusively on the jugal (as seen in polacanthids). Post-cranially, it can also be distinguished from polacanthids, by its elongate lower hind limbs, the apparent rarity of cervical, pectoral, and thoracic spines, and reduction in the number of caudal plate-like osteoderms. Likewise, it has an abundance of Type D, asymmetric, tear-drop shaped osteoderms

like those observed in many nodosaurids and absent in all polacanthids.

Europelta is also distinguished from derived ankylosaurids by its weakly ornamented teardrop-shaped skull in which the lower temporal opening is visible in lateral view. The absence of a tail club also distinguishes the taxon from these ankylosaurids. More basal "shamosaurine grade" ankylosaurids [63,86] are more similar to *Europelta*, but also have the lower temporal openings completely obscured laterally by expanding the lateral margin of their skulls. "Shamosaurine grade" ankylosaurids also possess skulls that are approximately as wide mediolaterally between the orbits as they are across the posterior margin.

Europelta shares a number of derived characters with nodosaurids [71,72,83,94,114]. It has a tear-drop shaped skull that is longer than wide with its greatest width dorsal to the orbits, whereas the short, boxy skulls of *Minmi* and all ankylosaurids are essentially as wide at the posterior edge of the skull, as are the elongate skulls of

Table 1. Measurements of *Europelta* vertebrae.

<i>Europelta</i> VERTEBRAL MEASUREMENTS IN MM											
	Anterior		Posterior		Overall	Neural	Neural	Total	Neural	Transverse	Transverse
	Centrum Face		Centrum Face		Centrum	Canal	Canal	Vertebral	Spine	Processes	Processes
	Width	Height	Width	Height	Length	Width	Height	Height	Height	Width	Length
	(above canal)										
AR/10	* estimated										
Cervical Vertebrae											
AR-1-431	109.2	78.8	-	-	*85.2	30.6	30.6	186.1	86.4	203.8	79.2
AR-1-449	100.1	74.3	-	-	66.3	31.6	22.4	185.5	90.9	198.2	61.1
AR-1-533	94.9	69.9	-	-	*81.7	*23.1	*22.9	*218.9	*133.2	*203.1	72.5
AR-1-637	*81.5	*60.5	*78.3	*60.5	*96.8	*25.8	*17.1	-	-	-	47.3
AR-1-638	93.1	68.9	*85.0	*73.8	75.6	20.6	30.3	-	-	*160.2	86.7
AR-1-649	73.2	70.1	99.9	61.2	62.0	28.8	19.1	-	-	-	77.4
AR-1-650	*81.4	*57.7	80.6	62.5	*61.0	*26.8	*14.4	122.5	*56.0	*104.1	*29.0
Dorsal Vertebrae											
AR-1-154	-	-	-	-	79.4	-	-	-	-	-	-
AR-1-155	*60.0	*69.8	75.5	*68.4	*79.2	-	-	*159.5	129.3	-	-
AR-1-322	89.9	76.3	94.6	78.9	82.5	14.8	24.0	-	133.1	-	*85.2
AR-1-430	91.4	77.7	97.5	83.6	84.6	*20.1	*25.2	222.9	-	*175.8	*76.5
AR-1-448	90.3	78.5	95.5	79.0	90.7	*16.8	*23.7	-	-	*120.0	*73.3
AR-1-478	91.4	78.2	94.8	84.0	*86.1	16.7	22.1	219.8	139.2	114.4	85.7
AR-1-535	98.5	81.7	92.8	*82.0	93.5	22.4	26.3	239.9	-	142.5	91.2
AR-1-556	*88.4	*79.2	*83.0	*76.3	*70.7	*21.0	*27.4	-	-	-	*89.8
Caudal Vertebrae											
AR-1-562	76.2	73.8	81.6	79.3	72.4	14.3	26.0	178.8	85.8	193.9	64.8
AR-1-635	82.2	79.8	92.4	92.1	79.4	19.4	26.7	192.2	80.7	240.6	74.0
AR-1-636	82.7	80.7	89.1	94.2	*66.2	*23.10	*21.3	*193.0	*88.8	*211.3	77.4
AR/31											
Cervical Vertebrae											
AR-1-3632	*76.5	*63.4	*66.0	*63.9	*52.9	*9.0	*18.5	*154.8	*68.2	*139.6	*60.6
AR-1-3657	67.9	53.5	76.0	-	*51.8	13.7	21.1	-	-	*151.6	50.1
AR-1-3662	69.1	60.3	*67.1	60.2	*53.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
AR-1-3671	*69.0	*49.5	*78.0	*52.4	*53.9	*25.2	*11.8	*134.1	*57.3	*120.5	*41.3
AR-1-3676	*52.1	*55.6	*60.3	*60.0	*60.4	*8.8	*19.5	*136.1	*51.1	*89.8	*26.9
Dorsal Vertebrae											
AR-1-3489	65.6	59.3	65.0	61.8	79.0	*12.0	*15.1	178.9	105.0	-	68.0
AR-1-3586	75.6	61.6	72.7	61.8	54.6	14.3	19.6	157.5	74.7	140.9	62.9
AR-1-3633	76.4	60.1	67.0	58.6	62.7	13.1	18.9	178.5	94.7	*139.1	73.2
AR-1-3672	68.7	52.7	77.1	57.8	73.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
AR-1-3673	66.6	60.5	66.5	55.4	72.5	*11.8	*15.5	-	-	*119.6	58.0
AR-1-3674	*59.1	*65.7	*53.7	*63.8	*72.9	-	-	*168.5	*88.7	-	85.1
AR-1-3675	*64.6	56.9	66.7	63.2	66.3	15.9	22.3	*171.8	*104.4	*133.9	74.2
AR-1-3704	67.0	62.8	*64.7	*59.9	79.1	-	*14.4	-	-	*154.6	63.3
Caudal Vertebrae											
AR-1-2950	31.1	25.3	28.7	24.2	50.2	6.1	4.9	37.0	9.0	-	-
AR-1-3204	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	49.8	-
AR-1-3206	39.0	29.5	35.4	30.0	50.6	*8.04	*7.0	-	-	-	-
AR-1-3243	43.0	38.8	38.7	31.7	51.0	5.3	6.0	47.3	20.7	-	-
AR-1-3265	45.1	*30.0	45.2	32.0	52.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
AR-1-3348	*60.7	49.5	*55.3	*45.5	*53.7	-	-	73.1	15.1	*99.0	38.2

Table 1. Cont.

<i>Europelta</i> VERTEBRAL MEASUREMENTS IN MM											
	Anterior		Posterior		Overall	Neural	Neural	Total	Neural	Transverse	Transverse
	Centrum Face		Centrum Face		Centrum	Canal	Canal	Vertebral	Spine	Processes	Processes
	Width	Height	Width	Height	Length	Width	Height	Height	Height (above canal)	Width	Length
AR/10	* estimated										
AR-1-3398	42.5	34.6	32.4	35.3	51.9	3.3	6.2	50.1	13.2	42.5	-
AR-1-3478	48.9	34.8	46.7	38.2	52.2	4.8	8.0	52.2	-	46.1	-
AR-1-3615	51.4	37.1	49.0	26.1	56.1	6.5	11.2	47.7	*8.4	-	-
AR-1-3616	*48.9	*42.8	*45.2	*39.7	*56.9	-	-	*58.9	-	49.3	-
AR-1-3714	30.2	23.7	-	-	42.1	5.7	4.8	-	-	-	-
AR-1-3715	-	-	25.6	22.2	37.7	-	-	31.6	-	-	-
AR-1-3716	-	-	*48.1	43.9	-	-	-	*70.1	15.5	-	-
AR-1-3717	61.4	40.0	58.1	39.6	54.2	7.9	*5.1	-	-	95.4	29.7

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0080405.t001

“shamosaurine-grade” ankylosaurids. Grooves in the remodeled textured skull roof define epidermal scale impressions, with the largest covering the frontoparietal area. Although poorly preserved, the laterally extensive pterygoids are pressed up against the anterior face of the braincase. All known nodosaurid scapulae have a prominent acromion process extending on to the blade of the scapula that terminates in an expanded knob. Unfortunately, this portion of the scapula is as yet unknown in *Europelta*.

Some character states considered typical of nodosaurids are absent in *Europelta*. Instead of having a distinct hourglass-shaped palate typical of nodosaurids [70,71,82,83,114], the upper tooth rows show less lateral emargination and diverge posteriorly. This is also true of *Silvisaurus*, which also shares an expanded lateral wall of the skull [76,77]. The coracoid of *Europelta* is nearly as long as it is tall, whereas in other nodosaurids, for which the coracoid is known, it is expanded anteriorly and longer than tall [71,72,83,94,114].

The only other Early Cretaceous nodosaurid to have large cranial scales as in *Europelta* is *Propanoplosaurus*, known only from an embryonic to hatchling specimen from the base of the Potomac Group of Maryland [145]. However, only the anterior cranial scales are well defined in *Propanoplosaurus*, whereas only the posterior scale pattern in *Europelta*. The unusual preservation and extremely small size of *Propanoplosaurus* lead us to suspect that the fossil preserves the actual scales overlying the skull and not the remodeled skull roof, because this is such a young specimen and remodeling of the cranial bones is not expected to have occurred so early in ontogeny [129,146].

Additionally, a number of important characters traditionally used to define nodosaurids are not known in *Europelta*, as yet, because of the missing anteroventral half of the scapula and the absence of premaxilla and surangulars. Thus, the presence/absence of premaxillary teeth, if the tooth row joined the margin of premaxillary beak, the morphology of the naris, the height of the coronoid process, and the morphology of the acromion process are unknown for *Europelta*.

Europelta is distinguishable from European nodosaurids from the Albian through the Cenomanian. The juvenile *Anoplosaurus* from the Albian Gault Clays of southern England differs in a number of characters, such as possessing a proportionally longer coracoid, a

narrower proximal end of the humerus, and a femur with a separate anterior trochanter [17] although the latter two characters are consistent with the juvenile nature of *Anoplosaurus*. No pectoral spines of the morphology described for “*Acanthopholis*” from the Cenomanian Lower Chalk in southern England by Huxley [13] are known in *Europelta*. Additionally, the tall teeth assigned to “*Acanthopholis*” are distinct in the long apicobasal ridges extending from the denticles to the root on medial and lateral faces of the teeth, and in the presence of caudal ribs that extend laterally and flex ventrally, whereas the caudal ribs in *Europelta* extend ventrolaterally and flex laterally [16,17]. *Europelta* is like other Late Cretaceous European nodosaurids in having a short symphysis for the predentary, a mediolaterally wide and anteroposteriorly thin quadrate, an anteroposteriorly arched sacrum, and a straight ischium [21,32].

The domed skull and elongate cervical vertebrae in *Struthiosaurus* clearly distinguish it from *Europelta*. Likewise, *Hungarosaurus* also has more elongate cervical vertebrae [32]. Both *Hungarosaurus* and *Struthiosaurus* possess a pair of spines on the anterior portion of the pelvis [33], whereas we interpret the presence of a pair of upright plate-like armor elements in this position in *Europelta* (Fig. 33).

The lateral wall of the skull in most North American nodosaurids is typically narrow [82], whereas in *Europelta* it is relatively wider, although a broad notch along its posterior margin permits the caudal margin of the lower temporal opening to be observed in lateral view. This morphology in *Europelta* is similar to that in the nodosaurids *Silvisaurus* [76,77] and *Peloroplites* [86]. Although, the skull of *Struthiosaurus transylvanicus* is highly reconstructed [22], it appears that the lateral wall of the skull is expanded laterally, whereas not completely obscuring the lower temporal opening. This character state is not known in other species of *Struthiosaurus*, but appears to be moderately developed in *Hungarosaurus* [32].

Comparisons of *Europelta* with the Asian “nodosaurids” *Zhongyuansaurus* [93] and *Zhejiangsaurus* [126] from the lower Upper Cretaceous of China hinges partially on the question of whether those taxa have been validly referred to Nodosauridae. Carpenter and others [86] noted that the skull of *Zhongyuansaurus* is morphologically similar to that of a “shamosaurine-grade” (like *Shamosaurus* and *Gobisaurus*) ankylosaurids and was the first



to the incomplete nature of the specimen. Thus, we do not presently recognize the presence of true nodosaurids in Asia.

In his seminal paper defining a bipartite division of the Ankylosauria into Ankylosauridae and Nodosauridae, Coombs [71] hypothesized that *Acanthopholis* (as a *nomen dubium* in which he would have included *Anoplosaurus*) and *Struthiosaurus* might represent a separate lineage of European nodosaurids. Unlike *Hylosauros* (in which he included *Polacanthus*), these taxa had a well-developed supraspinous fossa developed anteriorly on the scapula as did all North American nodosaurids. This European lineage was hypothesized based on their small body size, presence of premaxillary teeth, and their possessing an unfused scapula and coracoid. Although, none of the characters are valid in defining such a group, our research on *Europelta* has resulted in supporting the taxonomic hypothesis of Coombs [71,72] as correct, just for the wrong reasons.

We use Struthiosaurinae to define the clade of European nodosaurs. Nopcsa [25] proposed Acanthopholidae as a family of relatively lightly built thyreophorans, that included *Acanthopholis* (= *Anoplosaurus*), *Polacanthus*, *Stegopelta*, *Stegoceras*, and *Struthiosaurus*. In 1923, he divided the Acanthopholidae into an Acanthopholinae and a Struthiosaurinae without comment [69]. Subsequently, he relegated the Acanthopholidae to a subfamily of the Nodosauridae, in which he also included *Ankylosaurus* and restricted the Acanthopholinae to *Acanthopholis*, *Hylaesaurus*, *Rhodanosaurus*, *Struthiosaurus*, *Troodon* [26,151]. This artificial grouping included a polacanthid ankylosaur [72,83], a pachycephalosaur [152] and *Acanthopholis*, now considered a *nomen dubium* [17,82]. Thus, the term Acanthopholinae is not acceptable for this newly recognized clade of nodosaurids. Thus, Struthiosaurinae is the next published term available to use for this clade and is derived from the first described and youngest member of this clade. Struthiosaurinae is defined as the most inclusive clade containing *Europelta* but not *Cedarbelta*, *Peloroplites*, *Sauropelta* or *Edmontonia*.

In order to determine the systematic position of *Europelta*, it was found that previous cladistic analyses [71,72,82,83,114], did not include many of the character states that we identify as significant in our research on Upper Jurassic and Lower Cretaceous ankylosaurs. A major weakness of these analyses is the limited recognition of postcranial skeletal and dermal characters that restricts the testing the phylogenetic relationships for taxa for which skulls are either poorly known or not known at all.

We present a character based definition of Struthiosaurinae as: nodosaurid ankylosaurs that share a combination of characters including: narrow predentary; a nearly horizontal, unfused quadrate that is oriented less than 30° from the skull roof, and mandibular condyles that are 3 times transversely wider than long; premaxillary teeth and dentary teeth that are near the predentary symphysis; dorsally arched sacrum; an acromion process dorsal to midpoint of the scapula-coracoid suture; straight ischium, with a straight dorsal margin; relatively long slender limbs; a sacral shield of armor; and erect pelvic osteoderms with flat bases. This suite of characters unites *Europelta* with the European nodosaurids *Anoplosaurus*, *Hungarosaurus* and all species assigned to *Struthiosaurus*. This clade of European nodosaurids has not been previously recognized. *Europelta* represents the earliest member of the European clade Struthiosaurinae.

Biogeogeographic Implications

The near simultaneous appearance of nodosaurids in both North America and Europe is worthy of consideration (Fig. 34). *Europelta* is the oldest nodosaurid known in Europe, it derived from strata in the lower Escucha Formation that is dated to early Albian. The oldest nodosaurid from western North America is *Sauropelta*, which in the lower part of its range is in the lower Albian Little Sheep Mudstone Member (B interval) of the Cloverly Formation in northern Wyoming and southern Montana [99,153] with an ash bed 75 meters above the base near the top of the member providing an age of 108.5 ± 0.2 Ma [154]. Nodosaurid remains from eastern North America appear to be older. Teeth of a large nodosaurid *Priconodon crassus* are known from the Arundel Clay of the Potomac Group [77,155], which palynology dates as near the Albian-Aptian stage boundary [156]. The hatchling *Propanoplosaurus* is from the base of the underlying Patuxent Formation of the Potomac Group of Maryland, which has been dated as late Aptian [157,158], making *Propanoplosaurus* the oldest known nodosaurid. Polacanthid ankylosaurs characterize pre-Aptian faunas in both Europe [11,12,37-39] and North America [70,95,159]. We have not been able to document a specific example of *Polacanthus* in the Lower Aptian Vectis Formation of the Wealden Group, although *Polacanthus* has been reported to occur in those strata [10-12,82,160]. However, polacanthids are present in the lower Aptian Morella Formation of northeastern Spain [40]. Blows [10] illustrated a block with ankylosaur dorsal vertebrae with the uninformative ventral portion of a pelvic shield fragment and noted it as being from Charmouth, suggesting that there were upper Albian polacanthids in England [160]. However, the specimen NMW 92.34G.2 was actually found on the beach further west at Charton Bay and may have come from either the Aptian (Lower Greensand) or Albian (Upper Greensand). Only preparation of the dorsal surface of the pelvic shield would reveal if the specimen is a polacanthid or nodosaurid. A large polacanthid (BYU R254) occurs in the Poison Strip Sandstone Member of the Cedar Mountain Formation [156]. It is not a nodosaurid close to *Sauropelta* as reported by Carpenter and others [97], but a polacanthid that was initially described as cf. *Hoplitosaurus* [161]. These rocks have been dated as lower to middle Aptian by laser ablation of detrital zircons and by U-Pb dating of early diagenetic

carbonate [162]. A fragmentary large nodosaurid with massive cervical spikes that may be referred to as cf. *Sauropelta* (DMNS 49764) has been recovered from the overlying Ruby Ranch Member about 20 m up section in the same region [163] in strata interpreted to be of Lower Albian age [162]. Thus, the youngest polacanthids occur in the lower to possibly mid-Aptian and the oldest documented nodosaurids occur in the upper Aptian or lower Albian in both Europe and North America with no discernible stratigraphic overlap (Fig. 34). Why this faunal discontinuity occurs is unknown. There are no documented significant changes in sea level or shifts in geochemical indicators to suggest a geological or environmental change that would affect ankylosaurs on both continents at approximately the same time [164]. However, the OAE1a or “Sella” organic burial episode near the base of the Aptian was followed by a positive carbon isotope excursion that may have precipitated longer-term environmental effects that would result in the turnover of ankylosaurs in the “middle” Aptian [165]. In North America, “medial” grade iguanodonts (basal Steracosterna) are replaced by the considerably more primitive basal iguanodont *Tenontosaurus* at this time, while in Europe the lower Albian more derived iguanodont *Proa* is phylogenetically close to *Iguanodon* [43,159] at the base of Hadrosauriformes [43], documenting different patterns of faunal change for iguanodonts and ankylosaurs. Therefore, a cause for this faunal turnover, which might specifically have affected ankylosaurs, should be sought. Ankylosaurs are low feeders, so perhaps the rapid ongoing radiation of flowering plants at this time [166-170] might have driven their diversification. It has been proposed that this floral revolution was linked to a decline in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations [171] or, more likely, an increase in CO₂ and global warming resulting from massive early Aptian volcanic activity forming the Ontong Java and Manihiki plateaus [172,173-174]. Therefore the rapid domination of shrubby angiosperms may have caused a disruption in the availability of forage to which polacanthids were adapted. Kirkland and others have proposed that North America became isolated from Europe at the end of the Barremian [159,175]. Certainly the timing of the appearance of nodosaurids on both continents indicates that the origins of the clade preceded the complete isolation of North America and Europe pushing up this date in to at least the “middle” Aptian. The separation of the Nodosauridae into a North American Nodosaurinae and a European Struthiosaurinae by the end of the Aptian, would thus provide a revised date for the isolation of North America from Europe with rising sealevel.

Additionally, whereas there is no definitive evidence for nodosaurids in Asia, apparently polacanthids entered Asia in the later portion of the Early Cretaceous and survived there in isolation into the early Late Cretaceous.

Conclusions

Europelta carbonensis, a new nodosaurid ankylosaur from the lower Albian Escucha Formation in Spain represents the earliest member of a European clade of nodosaurs defined as the Struthiosaurinae. Other members of this Late Cretaceous clade include: *Anoplosaurus*, *Hungarosaurus*, and *Struthiosaurus*. This clade of nodosaurs replaced the polacanthids in Europe during the Albian, similar to the Albian replacement of polacanthids by nodosaurids in North America.

Acknowledgments

We appreciate the collaboration of Sociedad Anónima Minera Catalano-Aragonesa (SAMCA Group) staff, specifically president Ángel Luengo and

Ariño-based members including Justo Martín and Pedro Alcaine. This study is part of the paleontology research projects of Departamento de Educación, Universidad, Cultura y Deporte, Gobierno de Aragón and has been supported by its Dirección General de Patrimonio Cultural (exp. 201/2010, 201/10-2011, 201/10-11-2011), DINOSARAGÓN CGL2009-07792 R&D project (Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and FEDER Funds), FOCONTUR (Grupo de Investigación Consolidado E-62, Departamento de Industria e Innovación, Gobierno de Aragón), Instituto Aragonés de Fomento, Fundación SAMCA, and Fundación Conjunto Paleontológico de Teruel-Dinópolis. Fernando Galve and Gloria Martínez, from Hospital Obispo Polanco de Teruel, contributed to this work by X-raying the skull. The authors thank all the curators and collections staff at the institutions listed above for access to comparative specimens and palaeontologists and preparators at Fundación Conjunto Paleontológico de Teruel-Dinópolis which helped in recovering the fossils of Ariño. Palynomorphs were examined by Jerry Waanders. The many photographs of the pelvic armor of *Hungarosaurus* provided by Attila Ősi are appreciated.

References

- Mantell GA (1833) Geology of the south east of England. London: Longman. pp 1–415.
- Mantell GA (1841) Memoir on a portion of the lower jaw of *Iguanodon*, and on the remains of *Hylaesaurus* and other saurians, discovered in the strata of the Tilgate Forest, in Sussex. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London 1841: 131–151.
- Owen R (1857) Monograph of the fossil Reptilia of the Wealden and Purbeck Formations. Part IV. Dinosauria (*Hylaesaurus*), (Wealden). Palaeontographical Society of London Monographs 10: 8–26.
- Owen R (1841) Report on British fossil reptiles, Part II. Report of the British Association for the Advancement of Science 1841: 60–294.
- Sarjeant WAS (1997) Crystal Palace. In: Currie PJ, Padian K, editors. Encyclopedia of Dinosaurs, San Diego: Academic Press. 161–164.
- Anonymous (1865) A new Wealden dragon. Order, Sauria; Family, Dinosaurian; genus, *Polacanthus*; Species, *foxi*. The Illustrated London News 47:270 (September 16, 1865).
- Hulke JW (1882) *Polacanthus foxii*, a large undescribed dinosaur from the Wealden Formation in the Isle of Wight. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society 172: 653–662.
- Hulke JW (1888) Supplemental note on *Polacanthus foxii*, describing the dorsal shield and some parts of the endoskeleton imperfectly known in 1881. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society 178: 169–172.
- Nopcsa F (1905) Notes on British dinosaurs. Part II: *Polacanthus*. Geological Magazine 2: 241–250.
- Blows WT (1987) The armoured dinosaur *Polacanthus foxi* from the Lower Cretaceous of the Isle of Wight. Palaeontology 30: 557–580.
- Pereda-Suberbiola X (1993) *Hylaesaurus*, *Polacanthus* and the systematics and stratigraphy of Wealden armoured dinosaurs. Geological Magazine 130: 767–780.
- Pereda-Suberbiola X (1994) *Polacanthus* (Ornithischia: Ankylosauria), a transatlantic armoured dinosaur from the Early Cretaceous of Europe and North America. Palaeontographica, Abteilung A 232: 133–159.
- Huxley TH (1867) On *Acanthopholis horridus*, a new reptile from the Chalk-Marl. Geological Magazine 4: 65–67.
- Seeley HG (1869) Index to the remains of Aves, Ornithosauria, and Reptilia from the secondary strata arranged in the Woodwardian Museum of the University of Cambridge. Cambridge: Deighton Bell, pp 1–143.
- Nopcsa F (1923) Notes on British dinosaurs. Part IV: *Acanthopholis*. Geological Magazine 60: 193–199.
- Seeley HG (1879) On the Dinosauria of the Cambridge Greensand. Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London 35: 591–635.
- Pereda-Suberbiola X, Barrett PM (1999) A systematic review of ankylosaurian dinosaur remains from the Albian–Cenomanian of England. Special Papers in Palaeontology 60: 177–208.
- Blows WT (1996) A new species of *Polacanthus* (Ornithischia; Ankylosauria) from the Lower Cretaceous of Sussex, England. Geological Magazine, 133: 671–681.
- Bunzel E (1870) Notice of a fragment of a reptile skull from the Upper Cretaceous of Grünbach. Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society 26: 394.
- Bunzel E (1871) Die reptilfauna der Gausauformation in der Neuen Welt bei Wiener-Neustadt. Abhandlungen Geologische Reichsanstalt 5: 1–18.
- Seeley HG (1881) The reptile fauna from the Gosau Formation preserved in the geological museum of the University of Vienna. With a note on the geological horizon of the fossils of the Neue Welt, west of Werner Neustadt. Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London 37: 620–707.
- Pereda-Suberbiola X, Galton PM (1994) A revision of the cranial features of the dinosaur *Struthiosaurus austriacus* Bunzel (Ornithischia: Ankylosauria) from the Late Cretaceous of Europe. Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Abhandlungen, 191: 173–200.
- Pereda-Suberbiola X, Galton PM (1997) Armoured dinosaurs from the Late Cretaceous of Transylvania. Proceedings of the Mesozoic Vertebrate Faunas of Central Europe Symposium, Museul Civilizatiei Dacice si Romane, Deva. Sargetia, Scientia Naturae 17: 203–217.
- Pereda-Suberbiola X, Galton PM (2001a) Reappraisal of the nodosaurid ankylosaur *Struthiosaurus austriacus* from the Upper Cretaceous Gosau Beds of Austria. In: Carpenter K, editor. The Armored Dinosaurs. Bloomington: Indiana University Press. 173–210.
- Nopcsa FB (1915) The dinosaurs of the Transylvanian Province in Hungary. Communications of the Yearbook of the Royal Hungarian Geological Institute 23: 1–26.
- Nopcsa FB (1929) Dinosaurierreste aus Seibenburgen V. Geologica Hungarica, Series Palaeontologica 4: 1–76.
- Pereda-Suberbiola X, Galton PM (2004) Dwarf dinosaurs in the latest Cretaceous of Europe? Actas de las IV Jornadas internacionales sobre paleontología de dinosaurios y su entorno, Salas de los Infantes, Burgos, España pp 263–272.
- Pereda-Suberbiola X, Galton PM (2001) Thyreophoran ornithischian dinosaurs from the Iberian Peninsula, Actas de las I Jornadas internacionales sobre paleontología de dinosaurios y su entorno. Salas de los Infantes, Burgos, España pp 147–161.
- Pereda-Suberbiola X (1999) Ankylosaurian dinosaur remains from the Upper Cretaceous of Laño (Iberian Peninsula). In: Astibia H, Corral JC, Murelaga X, Orue-Etxebarria X, Pereda-Suberbiola X, editors. Geology and Palaeontology of the Upper Cretaceous Vertebrate-bearing Beds of the Laño Quarry (Basque-Cantabrian Region, Iberian Peninsula). Estudios del Museo de Ciencias Naturales de Alava 14 1: 273–288.
- Pereda-Suberbiola X, Astibia H, Buffetaut E (1995) New remains of the armoured dinosaur *Struthiosaurus* from the Late Cretaceous of the Iberian Peninsula (Laño locality, Basque-Cantabric Basin). Bulletin de la Société géologique de France 166: 105–109.
- García G, Pereda-Suberbiola X (2003) A new species of *Struthiosaurus* (Dinosauria: Ankylosauria) from the Upper Cretaceous of Villeveyrac (southern France). Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 23: 156–165.
- Ősi A (2005) *Hungarosaurus tormai*, a new ankylosaur (Dinosauria) from the Upper Cretaceous of Hungary. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 25: 370–383.
- Ősi A, Makádi L (2009) New remains of *Hungarosaurus tormai* (Ankylosauria, Dinosauria) from the Upper Cretaceous of Hungary: skeletal reconstruction and body mass estimation, Palaeontologische Zeitschrift 83: 227–245.
- Galton PM (1983) Armoured dinosaurs (Ornithischia: Ankylosauria) from the Middle and Upper Jurassic of Europe. Palaeontographica, Abteilung A 182: 1–25.
- Galobart A, Suiñer M, Poza B, editors. (2011) Dinosaurs of Eastern Iberia. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 1–322.
- Sanz JL (1983) A nodosaurid ankylosaur from the Lower Cretaceous of Salas de los Infantes (Province of Burgos, Spain). Geobios 16: 615–621.
- Pereda-Suberbiola X, Mejjide M, Torcida F, Welle J, Fuentes C, et al. (1999) Espinas dérmicas del dinosaurio anquilosaurio *Polacanthus* en las Facies Weald de Salas de los Infantes (Burgos, España). Estudios Geológicos 55: 267–272.
- Pereda-Suberbiola X, Fuentes C, Mejjide M, Mejjide-Fuentes F, Mejjide-Fuentes M Jr. (2007) New remains of the ankylosaurian dinosaur *Polacanthus* from the Lower Cretaceous of Soria, Spain. Cretaceous Research 28: 583–596.
- Pereda-Suberbiola X (2006) El dinosaurio acorazado *Polacanthus* del Cretácico Inferior de Europa y el estatus de los Polacanthidae (Ankylosauria). Actas de las III Jornadas internacionales sobre paleontología de dinosaurios y su entorno, Salas de los Infantes, Burgos, España, pp 85–104.
- Gasulla JM, Ortega F, Pereda-Suberbiola X, Escaso F, Sanz JL (2011) Elementos de la armadura dérmica del dinosaurio anquilosaurio *Polacanthus* Owen, 1865, en el Cretácico Inferior de Morella (Castellón, España). Ameghiniana 48(4): 508–519.
- Pereda-Suberbiola X, Ruiz-Omeñaca JI, Canudo JI, Torcida F, Sanz JL, (2012) Dinosaur faunas from the Early Cretaceous (Valanginian-Albian) of

- Spain. In: Godefroit P, editor. Bernissart dinosaurs and Early Cretaceous ecosystems. Bloomington: Indiana University Press. 379–407.
42. Alcalá L, Espílez E, Mampel L, Kirkland JI, Ortega M, et al. (2012) A New Lower Cretaceous Vertebrate Bonebed Near Ariño (Teruel, Aragón, Spain); Found and Managed in a Joint Collaboration Between a Mining Company and a Palaeontological Park. *Geoheritage* 4: 275–286. DOI 10.1007/s12371-012-0068-y.
 43. McDonald AT, Espílez E, Mampel L, Kirkland JI, Alcalá L (2012) An unusual new basal iguanodont (Dinosauria: Ornithomimidae) from the Lower Cretaceous of Teruel, Spain. *Zootaxa* 3595: 61–76.
 44. Querol X, Salas R, Pardo G, Ardevol L (1992) Albian coal-bearing deposits of the Iberian Range in northeastern Spain. In: McCabe PJ, Parrish JT, editors. Controls on the distribution and quality of Cretaceous coals. Geological Society of America Special Paper 267: 193–208.
 45. Rodríguez-López JP, Meléndez N, Soria AR, Liesa CL, Van Loon AJ (2007) Lateral variability of ancient seismites related to differences in sedimentary facies (the synrift Escucha Formation, mid-Cretaceous, Eastern Spain). *Sedimentary Geology* 201: 461–484.
 46. Aguilar MJ, Ramírez del Pozo J, Riba O (1971) Algunas precisiones sobre la sedimentación y paleoecología del Cretácico inferior en la zona de Utrillas-Villarroyo de la Pinares (Teruel). *Estudios Geológicos* 27: 497–512.
 47. Cervera A, Pardo G, Villena J (1976) Algunas precisiones litoestratigráficas sobre la Formación “lignitos de Escucha.” *Tecniterrae* 3(14): 25–33.
 48. Pardo G (1979) Estratigrafía y sedimentología de las formaciones detriticas del Cretácico inferior terminal en el Bajo Aragón turolense [Ph. D. Dissertation]. ZaragozaSpain: Zaragoza University 470 p.
 49. Ardevol LL (1983) Estudio estratigráfico y sedimentológico de las Formaciones Escucha y Utrillas, Cretácico inferior. Maestrazgo y mitad meridional de los Catalánides, Taarragona (9-5), Vinaròs (8-6), Tortosa (8-5). In: Instituto Geológica y Minero de España, eds. Estudio geológico del Maestrazgo y de la unidad meridional de los Catalánides.
 50. Salas R (1987) El Malmi el Cretácico inferior entre el Massís de Garraf i la Serra d'Espadà: Anàlisi de conca [Ph.D. Dissertation]. BarcelonaSpain: University of Barcelona 477 p.
 51. Pardo G, Ardevol L, Villena J (1987) Las Formaciones Escucha y Utrillas en la hoja 1:200,000 N°40 (DAROCA). Instituto Geológica y Minero de España, Estudio sedimentológico y sintensis paleogeográfica. 56 p.
 52. Querol X (1988) Estudio geológico de la Formación Escucha en la Cuenca del Maestrazgo, Cordillera Ibérica oriental [Thesis for licensure]. BarcelonaSpain: University of Barcelona 261 p.
 53. Rodríguez-López JP, Meléndez N, Soria AR, de Boer PL (2009) Reinterpretación estratigráfica y sedimentológica de las formaciones Escucha y Utrillas de la Cordillera Ibérica. *Revista de la Sociedad Geológica de España* 22: 163–219.
 54. de Gea GA, Rodríguez-López JP, Meléndez N, Soria AR (2008) Bioestratigrafía de la Fm. Escucha a partir del estudio de foraminíferos planctónicos y nanofósiles en el sector de Alcaine, Teruel. *Geogaceta* 44: 115–118.
 55. Peyrot D, Rodríguez-López JP, Barrón E, Meléndez N (2007a) Palynology and biostratigraphy of the Escucha Formation in the Early Cretaceous Oliete Sub-basin, Teruel, Spain. *Revista Española de Micropaleontología* 39: 135–154.
 56. Peyrot D, Rodríguez-López JP, Lassaletta L, Meléndez N, Barrón E (2007b) Contributions to the paleoenvironmental knowledge of the Escucha Formation in the Lower Cretaceous Oliete Sub-basin, Teruel, Spain. *Comptes Rendus Palevol* 6: 469–481.
 57. Schudack U, Schudack M (2009) Ostracod biostratigraphy in the Lower Cretaceous of the Iberian chain (eastern Spain). *Journal of Iberian Geology* 35: 141–168.
 58. Tibert NE, Colin J-P, Kirkland JI, Alcalá L, Martín-Closas C (2013) Lower Cretaceous Ostracods from the Escucha Formation, Arino, Spain (Iberian Basin); Paleontological implications for age and depositional environment. *Micropaleontology* 9(1): 83–91.
 59. Sweetman SC, Insole AN (2010) The plant debris beds of the Early Cretaceous (Barremian) Wessex Formation of the Isle of Wight, southern England: their genesis and palaeontological significance. *Paleogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 292: 409–424.
 60. Sweetman SC (2011) 4. The Wealden of the Isle of Wight. In: Batten DJ, editor. English Wealden fossils. Paleontological Association Field Guide to Fossils 14: 52–78.
 61. Querol X (1989) Iron sulphide precipitation sequence in Albian Coals from Maestrazgo basin, southeastern Iberian Range, northeastern Spain. *International Journal of Coal Geology* 11: 171–189.
 62. Shelton SY (1994) Conservation of vertebrate paleontology collections. In: Leiggi P, May P, editors. *Vertebrate Paleontological Techniques Volume 1*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 3–33.
 63. Carpenter K (2001) Phylogenetic Analysis of the Ankylosauria. In: Carpenter K, editor. *The Armoured Dinosaurs*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press 455–483.
 64. Yang J-T, You H-L, Li D-Q, Kong D-L (2013) First discovery of polacanthine ankylosaur dinosaur in Asia. *Vertebrata PaAsiatica* 51: 17–30.
 65. Owen R (1842) Report on British fossil reptiles, part II. Reports of the British Association for the Advancement of Sciences 11: 60–204.
 66. Seeley HG (1887) On the classification of the fossil animals commonly named Dinosauria. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* 43: 165–171.
 67. Osborn HF (1923) Two Lower Cretaceous dinosaurs from Mongolia. *American Museum Novitates* 95: 1–10.
 68. Marsh OC (1890) Additional characters of the Ceratopsidae with notice of new Cretaceous dinosaurs. *American Journal of Science, Third Series*, 39, 418–426.
 69. Nopcsa F (1923) Die familien der Reptilien. *Fortschritte der Geologie und Palaeontologie* 2: 1–210.
 70. Coombs WP (1971) The Ankylosauria. Ph. D. dissertation, New York, Columbia University 487 p.
 71. Coombs WP (1978) The families of the ornithischian dinosaur order Ankylosauria. *Palaeontology* 21: 143–170.
 72. Coombs WP (1990) Teeth and taxonomy in ankylosaurs. In: Carpenter K, Currie P, editors. *Dinosaur Systematics: Approaches & Perspectives*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 269–279.
 73. Bakker RT (1986) Review of the Late Cretaceous nodosauroid Dinosauria: *Denversaurus schlessmani*, a new armor-plated dinosaur from the latest Cretaceous of South Dakota, the last survivor of the nodosaurians, with comments on stegosaur-nodosaur relationships. *Hunteria* 1(3):1–23.
 74. Carpenter K (1990) Ankylosaur systematics: an example using *Panoplosaurus* and *Edmontonia* (Ankylosauria: Nodosauridae). In: Carpenter K, Currie P, editors. *Dinosaur Systematics: Perspectives and Approaches*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp 281–297.
 75. Lee Y-N (1996) A new nodosaurid ankylosaur (Dinosauria: Ornithischia) from the Paw Paw Formation (Late Albian) of Texas. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 16: 232–245.
 76. Eaton TH (1960) A new armoured dinosaur from the Cretaceous of Kansas. *University of Kansas Paleontological Contributions, Vertebrata* 8: 1–24.
 77. Carpenter K, Kirkland JI (1998) Review of Lower and Middle Cretaceous ankylosaurs for North America. *New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science, Bulletin* 14: 249–270.
 78. Carpenter K, Everhart MJ (2007) Skull of the ankylosaur *Niobrassaurus coleii* (Ankylosauria: Nodosauridae) from the Smoky Hill Chalk (Coniacian) of western Kansas. *Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Sciences* 110: 1–9.
 79. Witmer LM, Ridgely RC (2008) The paranasal air sinuses of predatory and armored dinosaurs (Archosauria: Theropoda and Ankylosauria) and their contributions to cephalic structure. *The Anatomical Record* 291: 1662–1388.
 80. Miyashita T, Arbour VM, Witmer LM, Currie PJ (2011) The internal cranial morphology of an armoured dinosaur *Euoplocephalus* corroborated by X-ray computed tomographic reconstruction. *Journal of Anatomy* 219: 661–675.
 81. Vickaryous MK, Russell AP, Currie PJ (2001) Cranial ornamentation of ankylosaurs (Dinosauria: Thyreophora): reappraisal of developmental hypotheses. In: Carpenter K, editor. *The armored dinosaurs*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 318–340.
 82. Vickaryous MK, Maryanska T, Weishampel DB (2004) Ankylosauria. In: Weishampel DB, Dodson P, Osmólska H, editors. *The Dinosauria*, 2nd Edition. Berkeley: University of California Press, 363–392.
 83. Kirkland JI (1998) A polacanthine ankylosaur (Ornithischia: Dinosauria) from the Early Cretaceous (Barremian) of eastern Utah. *New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin* 14: 271–281.
 84. Kirkland JI, Carpenter K, Hunt AP, Scheetz RD (1998) Ankylosaur (Dinosauria) specimens from the Upper Jurassic Morrison Formation. *Modern Geology* 23: 145–177.
 85. Carpenter K, Miles C, Cloward K (1998) Skull of a Jurassic ankylosaur (Dinosauria). *Nature* 393: 782–783.
 86. Carpenter K, Bartlett J, Bird J, Barrick R (2008) Ankylosaurs from the Price River quarries, Cedar Mountain formation (Lower Cretaceous), east-central Utah. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 28: 1089–1101.
 87. Russell LS (1940) *Edmontonia rugosidens* (Gilmore), an armoured dinosaur from the Belly River series of Alberta. *University of Toronto Studies, Geology Series* 43: 3–28.
 88. Carpenter K, Kirkland JI, Burge D, Bird J (2001) Disarticulated skull of a new primitive ankylosaurid from the Lower Cretaceous of eastern Utah. In: Carpenter K, editor. *The Armored Dinosaurs*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 211–238.
 89. Tumanova TA (1983) The first ankylosaurs from the Lower Cretaceous of Mongolia. *Transactions from the Joint Soviet–Mongolian Palaeontological Expedition* 24: 110–118 (in Russian).
 90. Tumanova TA (1985) Skull morphology of the ankylosaur *Shamosaurus scutatus* from the Lower Cretaceous of Mongolia. In: Taquet P, Sudre C, editors, *Les Dinosauriens de la Chine à la France, Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle de Toulouse et Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Chongqing*, 73–79.
 91. Tumanova TA (2000) Armoured dinosaurs from the Cretaceous of Mongolia. In: Benton MJ, Shishkin MA, Unwin DM, Kurochkin EN, editors. *The Age of Dinosaurs in Russia and Mongolia*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 517–532.
 92. Vickaryous MK, Russell AP, Currie PJ, Zhao X (2001) A new ankylosaurid (Dinosauria: Ankylosauria) from the Lower Cretaceous of China, with comments on ankylosaurian relationships. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences* 38: 1767–1780.
 93. Xu LJ, Zhang X, Jia S, Hu W, Zhang J, et al. (2007) A new nodosaurid dinosaur fossil from the Cretaceous period of Ruyang Henan. *Acta Geologica Sinica* 81:433–438.
 94. Thompson RC, Parisch JC, Maidment SR, Barrett PM (2012) Phylogeny of the ankylosaurian dinosaurs (Ornithischia: Thyreophora). *Journal of Systematic Paleontology* 10: 301–312

95. Kilbourne B, Carpenter K (2005) Redescription of *Gargyleosaurus parkinorum*, a polacanthid ankylosaur from the Upper Jurassic of Albany County, Wyoming. Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Abhandlungen 237: 111–160.
96. Vickaryous MK, Russell AP (2003) A redescription of the skull of *Euoplocephalus tutus* (Archosauria: Ornithischia): a foundation for comparative and systematic studies of ankylosaurian dinosaurs. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society 137: 157–186.
97. Carpenter K, Kirkland JJ, Burge D, Bird J (1999) Ankylosaurs (Ankylosauria: Ornithischia) of the Cedar Mountain formation, Utah, and their stratigraphic distribution. In: Gillette DD, editor. Vertebrate Paleontology in Utah, Utah Geological Survey Miscellaneous Publications 9: 243–251.
98. Galton PM (1983) *Sarcolestes leedsi* Lydecker, an ankylosaurian dinosaur from the Middle Jurassic of England. Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie 1983: 141–155.
99. Ostrom JH (1970) Stratigraphy and paleontology of the Cloverly Formation (Lower Cretaceous) of the Bighorn Basin area, Wyoming and Montana. Peabody Museum of Natural History Bulletin, 35: 1–234.
100. Miles CA, Miles CJ (2009) Skull of *Minotaurasaurus ramachandranii*, a new Cretaceous ankylosaur from the Gobi Desert. Current Science, 96: 65–70.
101. Lambe LM (1919) Description of a new genus and species (*Panoplosaurus minus*) of armored dinosaur from the Belly River Beds of Alberta. Transactions of the Royal Society of Canada Series Three 13: 39–50.
102. Galton PM (1980) Armored dinosaurs (Ornithischia: Ankylosauria) from the Middle and Upper Jurassic of England. Geobios 13: 825–837.
103. Blows WT, Honeysett K (2013) New nodosaurid teeth (Dinosauria, Ankylosauria) from the Lower Cretaceous of Southern England. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica in press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4202/app.2012.0131>
104. Buffetaut E, Brignon A (1999) Une dent de Nodosauridé (Dinosauria, Ankylosauria) dans le Cénomanien de Touraine. Géologie de la France 1: 49–52.
105. Maryańska T (1977) Ankylosauridae (Dinosauria) from Mongolia. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 37: 87–151.
106. Carpenter K, Hayashi S, Kobayashi Y, Maryańska T, Barsbold R, et al. (2011) *Saichania chulsanensis* (Ornithischia, Ankylosauridae) from the Upper Cretaceous of Mongolia. Palaeontographica, Abt. A 294: 1–61.
107. Carpenter K (2004) Redescription of *Ankylosaurus magniventris* Brown 1908 (Ankylosauridae) from the Upper Cretaceous of the Western Interior of North America. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 41: 961–986.
108. Coombs WP (1979) Osteology and myology of the hindlimb in the Ankylosauria (Reptilia, Ornithischia). Journal of Paleontology 53: 666–684.
109. Kirkland JJ, Carpenter K (1994) North America's first pre-Cretaceous ankylosaur (Dinosauria) from the Upper Jurassic Morrison Formation of west Colorado. Brigham Young University Geology Studies 40: 25–42.
110. Gilmore CW (1930) On dinosaurian reptiles from the Two Medicine Formation of Montana. Proceedings of the United States National Museum 77: 1–39.
111. Mantell GA (1849) Additional observations on the osteology of the *Iguanodon*, and *Hylaeosaurus*. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London 1849: 271–305.
112. Brown B (1908) The Ankylosauridae: a new family of armored dinosaurs from the Upper Cretaceous. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 24: 187–201.
113. Lull RS (1921) The Cretaceous armoured dinosaur *Nodosaurus textilis* Marsh. American Journal of Science, Fifth Series 1: 97–126.
114. Sereno PC (1986) Phylogeny of the bird-hipped dinosaurs (Ornithischia). National Geographic Research 2: 234–256.
115. Coombs WP (1995a) A nodosaurid ankylosaur (Dinosauria, Ornithischia) from the Lower Cretaceous of Texas. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 15: 298–312.
116. Godfrey SJ, Currie PJ (1994) A xiphisternal from the Dinosaur Park Formation (Campanian, Upper Cretaceous) of Alberta, Canada. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 31: 1661–1663.
117. Coombs WP (1978) Forelimb muscles of the Ankylosauria (Reptilia, Ornithischia). Journal of Paleontology 52: 642–657.
118. Mehl M (1936) *Hierosaurus coleii*: a new aquatic dinosaur from the Niobrara Cretaceous of Kansas. Journal of the Scientific Laboratories Denison University 31: 1–20.
119. Carpenter K, Dilkes D, Weishampel DB (1995) The dinosaurs of the Niobrara Chalk Formation (Upper Cretaceous, Kansas). Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 15: 275–297.
120. Ósi A, Prondvai E (2013) Sympatry of two ankylosaurs (*Hungarosaurus* and cf. *Struthiosaurus*) in the Santonian of Hungary. Cretaceous Research. 44: 58–63.
121. Arbour VM, Burns ME, Currie PJ (2011) A review of pelvic shield morphology in ankylosaurs (Dinosauria, Ornithischia). Journal of Paleontology 85: 298–302.
122. Maidment SCR, Wei S, Norman DB (2006) Re-description of the postcranial skeleton of the Middle Jurassic stegosaur *Huayangosaurus taibaii*. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 26, 944–956.
123. Coombs WP, Maryańska T (1990) Ankylosauria. In: Weishampel DB, Dodson P, Osmólska H, editors. The Dinosauria. 1st Edition. Berkeley: University of California Press. 456–483.
124. Galton PM, Upchurch P (2004) Stegosauria. In: Weishampel DB, Dodson P, Osmólska H, editors. The Dinosauria, 2nd Edition. Berkeley: University of California Press. 342–361.
125. Gilmore CW (1914) Osteology of the armoured Dinosauria in the United States National Museum, with special reference to the genus *Stegosaurus*. Bulletin of the United States National Museum 89: 1–137.
126. Lü J, Jin X, Sheng Y, Li Y, Wang G, et al. (2007) New nodosaurid dinosaur from the Late Cretaceous of Lishui, Zhejiang Province, China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition) 81: 334–350.
127. Ford TL, Kirkland JJ (2001) Carlsbad ankylosaur (Ornithischia, Ankylosauria): An ankylosaurid and not a nodosaurid. In: Carpenter K, editor. The Armored Dinosaurs. Bloomington: Indiana University Press. 239–260.
128. Coombs WP (1986) A juvenile ankylosaur referable to the genus *Euoplocephalus* (Reptilia, Ornithischia). Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 6: 162–173.
129. Maryańska T (1971) New data on the skull of *Pinacosaurus grangeri* (Ankylosauria). Palaeontologia Polonica 25: 45–53.
130. Blows WT (2001) Dermal armor of the polacanthine dinosaurs. In: Carpenter K, editor. The Armored Dinosaurs. Bloomington: Indiana University Press. pp. 363–385.
131. Arbour VM, Lech-Hernes NL, Guldberg TE, Harum JH, Currie PJ (2013) An ankylosaur dinosaur from Mongolia with in situ armour and keratinous scale impressions. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica. 58 (1): 55–64. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4202/app.2011.0081>
132. Burns ME (2008) Taxonomic utility of ankylosaur (Dinosauria, Ornithischia) osteoderms: *Glyptodontopelta minus* Ford, 2000: a test case. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 28: 1102–1109.
133. Burns ME, Burns ME, and PJ . Currie. (2014) External and internal structure of ankylosaur (Dinosauria; Ornithischia) osteoderms and their systematic relevance. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 34: in press.
134. Main RP, de Ricqlès A, Horner JR, Padian K (2005) The evolution and function of thyreophoran dinosaur osteoderms: implications for plate function in stegosaurs. Paleobiology 31: 291–314.
135. Hayashi S, Carpenter K, Scheyer TM, Watabe M, Suzuki D, (2010) Function and evolution of ankylosaur dermal armor. Acta Palaeontologica Polonica 55: 213–228.
136. Matthews WD (1922) A super-dreadnaught of the animal world: The armored dinosaur *Palaeoscincus*. Natural History 22: 333–342.
137. Molnar RE (1996) Preliminary report on a new ankylosaur from the Early Cretaceous of Queensland, Australia. Memoirs of the Queensland Museum 39: 653–668.
138. Molnar RE (2001) Armor of the small ankylosaur *Minmi*. In: Carpenter K, editor. The Armored Dinosaurs. Bloomington: Indiana University Press 341–362.
139. Moodie RL (1910) An armored dinosaur from the Cretaceous of Wyoming. Kansas University Science Bulletin 14: 257–273.
140. Wieland GR (1909) A new armored saurian from the Niobrara. American Journal of Science, Series 4, 29: 250–252.
141. Wieland GR (1911) Notes on the armored Dinosauria. American Journal of Science, Series 4, 31: 112–124.
142. Gaston RW, Schellenbach J, Kirkland JJ (2001) Mounted skeleton of a polacanthine ankylosaur *Gastonia burgei*. In: Carpenter K, editor, The Armored Dinosaurs. Bloomington: Indiana University Press 386–398.
143. Carpenter K. (1984) Skeletal reconstruction and life restoration of *Sauropelta* (Ankylosauria: Nodosauridae) from the Cretaceous of North America. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 21: 1491–1498.
144. Ford TL (2000) A review of ankylosaur osteoderms from New Mexico and a preliminary review of ankylosaur armor. New Mexico Museum of Natural History Bulletin 17: 157–176.
145. Stanford R, Weishampel DB, DeLeon VB (2011) The first hatchling dinosaur reported from the eastern United States: *Propanoplosaurus marylandicus* (Dinosauria: Ankylosauria) from the Early Cretaceous of Maryland, U.S.A. Journal of Paleontology 85: 916–924.
146. Burns ME, Currie PJ, Sissons RL, Arbour VM (2011) Juvenile specimens of *Pinacosaurus grangeri* Gilmore, 1933 (Ornithischia: Ankylosauria) from the Late Cretaceous of China, with comments on the specific taxonomy of *Pinacosaurus*. Cretaceous Research 32: 174–186.
147. Coombs WP (1995) Ankylosaurian tail clubs of middle Campanian to early Maastrichtian age from western North America, with description of a tiny tail club from Alberta and discussion of tail orientation and tail club function. Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences 32: 902–912.
148. Arbour VM, Burns ME, Sissons RL (2009) A redescription of the ankylosaurid dinosaur *Dyoplosaurus acutosquameus* Parks 1924 (Ornithischia: Ankylosauria) and a revision of the genus. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 29: 1117–1135.
149. Chen R, Zheng W, Azuma Y, Shibata M, Lou T, et al. (2013) A new nodosaurid ankylosaur from the Chaochuan Formation of Dongyang, Zhejiang Province, China. Acta Geologica Sinica (English Edition) 87: 658–671.
150. Hawakawa H, Manoto M, Carpenter K. (2005) Nodosaurid ankylosaur from the Cenomanian of Japan. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology 25: 240–245.
151. Nopcsa FB (1928) The genera of reptiles. Paleobiologica 1: 163–188.
152. Gilmore CW (1924) On *Troodon validus*: An Orthopodous Dinosaur from the Belly River Cretaceous of Alberta, Canada. Edmonton, University of Alberta Bulletin 1: 1–43.
153. Zalcza MJ (2006) Sevier orogenesis and nonmarine basin filling: Implications of new stratigraphic correlations of Lower Cretaceous strata throughout Wyoming, USA. Geological Society of America Bulletin 118: 886–896.
154. Burton D, Greenhalgh BW, Britt BB, Kowallis BJ, Elliott WS Jr, et al. (2006) Radiometric ages from the Cedar Mountain Formation, Utah and the Cloverly

- Formation, Wyoming: Implications for contained dinosaur faunas. Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs 38 (7): 52.
155. Marsh OC (1888) Notice of a new genus of Sauropoda and other new dinosaurs from the Potomac Formation. American Journal of Science (Series 3), 35: 89–94.
 156. Doyle JA (1992) Revised palynological correlations of the lower Potomac Group (USA) and the Cocobeach sequence of Gabon (Barremian-Aptian). Cretaceous Research 13: 337–349.
 157. Zarra L (1989) Sequence stratigraphy and foraminiferal biostratigraphy for selected wells in the Albemarle Embayment, North Carolina. North Carolina Geological Survey Open File Report 89-5: 1–48.
 158. Stanford R, Lockley M, Weems R (2007) Diverse dinosaur dominated ichnofaunas from the Potomac Group (Lower Cretaceous), Maryland. Ichnos 14: 155–173.
 159. Kirkland JI, Madsen SK (2007) The Lower Cretaceous Cedar Mountain Formation, eastern Utah: The view up an always interesting learning curve. In: Lund WR, editor. Field Guide to Geological excursions in southern Utah. Geological Society of America Rocky Mountain Section, 2007 Annual Meeting, Grand Junction Geological Society, Utah Geological Association Publication 35: 1–108 CD-ROM.
 160. Naish D, Martill DM (2001) 7. Armoured Dinosaurs: Thyreophorans. In: Martill DM, Naish D, editors. Dinosaurs of the Isle of Wight. Paleontological Association Field Guide to Fossils 10: 147–184.
 161. Bodily NM (1969) An armored dinosaur from the Lower Cretaceous of Utah. Brigham Young University Geology Studies 16: 35–60.
 162. Ludvigson GA, Joeckel RM, González LA, Gulbranson EI, Rasbury ET, et al. (2010) Correlation of Aptian-Albian Carbon Isotope Excursions in Continental Strata of Cretaceous Foreland Basin of eastern Utah. Journal of Sedimentary Research 80: 955–974.
 163. Warren D, Carpenter K (2004) A large nodosaurid ankylosaur from the Cedar Mountain Formation of Utah. Journal of Paleontology 24(3): 126A.
 164. Ogg JG, Hinnov LA (2012) Chapter 28: Cretaceous. In: Grandstein, FM, Ogg, JG, Schmitz M, Ogg G, editors. The Geological Timescale 2012, Amsterdam, Elsevier B.V. 793–853.
 165. Larson RL, Erba E (1999) Onset of the mid-Cretaceous greenhouse in the Barremian-Aptian: Igneous events and the biological, sedimentary, and geochemical responses. Paleocceanography 14: 663–678.
 166. Hickey LJ, Doyle JA (1977) Early Cretaceous fossil evidence for angiosperm evolution. The Botanical Review 43: 3–104.
 167. Friis EM, Penderson KR, Crane PR (2000) Reproductive structure and organization of basal Angiosperms from the Early Cretaceous (Barremian or Aptian) of western Portugal. International Journal of Plant Sciences 161 (6 suppl.): S169–S182.
 168. Friis EM, Penderson KR, Crane PR (2010) Cretaceous diversification of angiosperms in the western part of the Iberian Peninsula. Review of Paleobotany and Palynology 162: 341–361.
 169. Bell CD, Soltis DE, Soltis PS (2010) The age and diversification of the angiosperms re-visited. American Journal of Botany 97: 1296–1303.
 170. Grandstein SR, Kerp H (2012) Chapter 12: A Brief History of Plants on Earth: In: Grandstein, FM, Ogg, JG, Schmitz M, OggG, editors. The Geological Timescale 2012, Amsterdam, Elsevier B. V. 233–237.
 171. McElwain JC, Willis KJ, Lupia R (2005) Cretaceous CO₂ decline and the radiation and diversification of angiosperms. In: Ehleringer JR, Cerling TE, Dearing MD, editors, History of atmospheric CO₂ and Its effects on plants, animals, and ecosystems, Ecological Studies 177: 133–165.
 172. Erba E (1994) Nannofossils and superplumes: The Early Aptian nannoconid crisis. Paleocceanography 9: 483–501.
 173. Jenkyns HC (2003) Evidence for rapid climate change in the Mesozoic-Paleogene greenhouse world. Philosophical Transactions Royal Society London A 361: 1885–1916. doi:10.1098/rsta.2003.1240
 174. Weissart H, Erba E (2004) Volcanism, CO₂ and palaeoclimate: a Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous carbon and oxygen isotope record. Journal of the Geological Society 161:695–702. doi:10.1144/0016-764903-087.
 175. Kirkland JI, Lucas SG, Estep JW (1998) Cretaceous dinosaurs of the Colorado Plateau. In: Lucas, SG, Kirkland JI, Estep JW, editors. Lower to Middle Cretaceous Non-marine Cretaceous Faunas. New Mexico Museum of Natural History and Science Bulletin 14: 67–89.